### Canadian Council for Donation and Transplantation

# Medical Management to Optimize Donor Organ Potential: A Canadian Forum

February 23–25, 2004 Mont Tremblant, Quebec

# Report And Recommendations

October 1, 2004 Strachan•Tomlinson

#### © 2004 The Canadian Council for Donation and Transplantation

This report may be reproduced in its present format without permission. Any alteration of contents must be approved by the Canadian Council for Donation and Transplantation.

October, 2004

### **Contents**

| Prefa  | ce .   |   | 1  |
|--------|--|---|----|
| Steer  | ing C  | Committee   | 2  |
| Parti  | cipati   | ing Organizations   | 3  |
| Foru   | m Ov   | erview  | 4  |
| Pro    | cess.  |   | 7  |
| Ou     | tcome  | es  | 7  |
| Ov     | erarcl   | hing Themes   | 8  |
| Exp    | pert S   | peakers   | 9  |
| Exp    | pert V   | Vorking Group Members   | 10 |
| Part 1 | I – M  | ulti-system Management of the Multi-organ Donor   | 15 |
| 1.     |  | temic Arterial Hypertension Related to Intracranial Pressure                              |    |
|        | 1.1  | Thresholds and Preferred Therapy  | 17 |
| 2.     | Cardiovascular Performance, Monitoring and Hemodynamic Support |   |    |
|        | 2.1  | Central or Mixed Venous Oxygen Saturation Monitoring                                      | 19 |
|        | 2.2  | Serial Lactate Monitoring.  | 19 |
|        | 2.3  | Indications for Pulmonary Artery Catheterization  | 20 |
|        | 2.4  | First-Line Agents for Hemodynamic Support: Vasopressin                                    | 21 |
|        | 2.5  | Second-Line Agents for Hemodynamic Support: Norepinephrine, Epinephrine and Phenylephrine | 21 |
| 3.     | Glycemia and Nutrition   |   |    |
|        | 3.1  | Glycemic Control  | 22 |
|        | 3.2  | Nutrition   | 22 |
| 4.     | Diabetes Insipidus and Hypernatremia                           |   |    |
|        | 4.1  | Diabetes Insipidus  | 23 |
|        | 4.2  | Hypernatremia   | 24 |
| 5.     | Combined Hormonal Therapy                                      |   |    |
|        | 5.1  | Thyroid Hormone, Vasopressin and Methylprednisolone                                       | 25 |
|        | 5.2  | Corticosteroids and Lung Protection   | 26 |
| 6.     | Transfusion Thresholds   |   |    |
|        | 6.1  | Acceptable Targets for Hemoglobin, Platelets and Coagulation Parameters                   |    |
| 7.     |  |   |    |
|        | 7.1  | Daily Blood Cultures  |    |
|        | 7 2  | Broad Spectrum Antibiotics  | 29 |

| Part l | I – ( | Organ-Specific Considerations: Heart, Lungs and Intra-abdominal Organs   | 31 |
|--------|-------|--|----|
| 8.     | Hea   | rt   | 33 |
|        | 8.1   | Initial Evaluation of Cardiac Function                                   | 33 |
|        | 8.2   | Troponin Levels  | 34 |
|        | 8.3   | Coronary Angiography   | 34 |
| 9.     | Lur   | ngs  | 36 |
|        | 9.1   | Oxygenation Impairment   | 36 |
|        | 9.2   | Lower Limits of the Partial Pressure of Arterial Oxygen/Fraction of      |    |
|        |       | Inspired Oxygen Ratio  |    |
|        |       | Optimal Targets for Tidal Volume and PIP                                 |    |
|        |       | Bronchoscopy and Antimicrobial Therapy                                   |    |
| 10.    |       | er   |    |
|        |       | Upper Limits of Hepatic AST and ALT                                      |    |
|        |       | 2 Hepatic Ultrasound   |    |
|        |       | Indications for Liver Biopsy   |    |
| 11.    |       | ney  |    |
|        |       | Creatinine Clearance   |    |
|        |       | Renal Ultrasound   |    |
|        |       | Indications for Kidney Biopsy  |    |
|        |       | Other Systemic Challenges  |    |
| 12.    | _     | imal Time of Organ Procurement and Decisions Regarding Transplantability | -  |
|        |       | Optimal Time of Organ Procurement.                                       |    |
|        |       | 2 Decisions Regarding Transplantability                                  |    |
| 13.    |       | iatric Age-Related Adjustments   |    |
|        |       | Pediatric Age-Related Adjustments  |    |
|        |       | Standing Orders for Organ Donor Management                               |    |
| 1. 3   | Stand | ling Orders for Organ Donor Management: Adults                           | 53 |
| 2.     | Stand | ling Orders for Organ Donor Management: Pediatrics                       | 58 |
| Part \ | V - N | lational Research Agenda   | 63 |
| 1.     | Que   | stions Amenable to Survey Methodology                                    | 65 |
| 2.     | Que   | stions Amenable to Observational Studies                                 | 65 |
| 3.     | Que   | stions Amenable to Non-randomized Intervention Studies                   | 65 |
| 4.     | Тор   | ics Amenable to Simple Randomized Controlled Clinical Trials             | 65 |
| Part \ |       | Logistics and Knowledge Transfer   |    |
|        |       | al Challenges  |    |
| _      |       | dge Transfer Challenges  | 69 |

| Appendix #1: Summaries of Evidence |   |     |  |
|------------------------------------|---|-----|--|
| 1.                                 | Systemic Arterial Hypertension Related to Intracranial Pressure             | 73  |  |
| 2.                                 | Cardiovascular Performance, Monitoring and Hemodynamic Support              | 75  |  |
|                                    | a. Cardiovascular Performance and Monitoring                                | 75  |  |
|                                    | b. Hemodynamic Targets and Supports.  | 78  |  |
| 3.                                 | Glycemia and Nutrition  | 82  |  |
| 4.                                 | Diabetes Insipidus and Hypernatremia  | 84  |  |
| 5.                                 | Combined Hormonal Therapy   | 88  |  |
| 6.                                 | Transfusion Thresholds  | 95  |  |
| 7.                                 | Invasive Bacterial Infections   | 96  |  |
| 8.                                 | Heart   | 97  |  |
| 9.                                 | Lungs   | 99  |  |
|                                    | a. Bronchoscopy, Bronchopulmonary Infections and Antimicrobial Therapy      | 99  |  |
|                                    | b. Donor Lung Injury, Oxygenation and Ventilator Strategies                 | 101 |  |
| 10.                                | Liver   | 103 |  |
| 11.                                | Kidney  | 106 |  |
| 12.                                | Optimal Time of Organ Procurement and Decisions Regarding Transplantability | 110 |  |
| Appe                               | ndix #2: Key Terms and Acronyms   | 111 |  |
| Appe                               | ndix #3: Participants   | 113 |  |

#### **CD-ROM**

The CD-ROM included with this report includes electronic copies of the following documents:

- Steering Committee, Medical Management to Optimize Donor Organ Potential –
   A Canadian Forum. Report on Medical Management to Optimize Donor Organ Potential –
   A Canadian Forum. Edmonton: The Canadian Council for Donation and Transplantation,
   2004.
- 2. Kutsogiannis DJ, Shemie SD, Doig C et al. Donor Organ Management: Literature Review (full version). Edmonton: The Canadian Council for Donation and Transplantation, 2004.
- 3. Kutsogiannis DJ, Shemie SD, Doig C *et al. Donor Organ Management: Literature Review (short version)*. Edmonton: The Canadian Council for Donation and Transplantation, 2004.
- 4. Hornby K, Shemie SD. *Donor Organ Management: Survey of Guidelines and Eligibility Criteria*. Edmonton: The Canadian Council for Donation and Transplantation, 2004.
- 5. Meggison H, Kanji S, Shemie SD. *The Role of Thyroid Hormone in Donation, Transplantation and Cardiovascular Diseases*. Edmonton: The Canadian Council for Donation and Transplantation, 2004.
- 6. Badovinac K. *Canadian Review of Organ Utilization*. Edmonton: The Canadian Council for Donation and Transplantation, 2004.
- 7. Hornby K, Ross H, Keshavjee S, Rao V, Shemie SD. *A Canadian Investigation Regarding Factors Contributing to Non-Utilization of Hearts and Lungs after Consent for Donation: An In-depth Multi-Centre Review for 2002*. Edmonton: The Canadian Council for Donation and Transplantation, 2004.
- 8. Planning Committee, Severe Brain Injury to Neurological Determination of Death A Canadian Forum. *Report on Severe Brain Injury to Neurological Determination of Death A Canadian Forum*. Edmonton: The Canadian Council for Donation and Transplantation, 2003.

#### **Preface**

The mandate of the Canadian Council for Donation and Transplantation (CCDT) is to strengthen Canada's donation and transplantation system through advice to the Federal, Provincial and Territorial (FPT) Conference of Deputy Ministers of Health. The CCDT Donation Committee took an initial step in this strategy by holding the Forum *Severe Brain Injury to Neurological Determination of Death* in April 2003. This Forum developed recommendations for a national agreement on the processes of care, commencing with severe brain injury and culminating with neurological determination of death, including standard diagnostic criteria and procedures across all age groups. The final report on this initiative was released in December 2003.

A second Forum, *Medical Management to Optimize Donor Organ Potential*, was convened to develop guidelines and recommendations that will enable Canadian health professionals to maximize donor organ potential. There is a widely recognized need to address and incorporate evolving management strategies and therapies that may improve donor organ function for the purposes of transplantation. This Forum addressed the interval of care that begins with neurological determination of death and consent to organ donation and culminates with surgical organ procurement. During this period, there is significant opportunity for enhancing multi-organ function and improving organ utilization.

This Forum was the first structured, cooperative assembly of health professionals in the critical care and transplantation fields and can be viewed as a landmark event in Canada. Bridging of these specialties is required to develop expert consensus recommendations on multi-organ protective therapies. End-of-life care in the intensive care unit (ICU) includes all efforts to actualize the desire and opportunity to donate organs. This evolving collaboration to establish best donor management practices in the ICU and operating room must be linked to ensuring optimal organ utilization. In turn, improving the utilization of organs must be linked to transplant graft and patient outcomes.

We appreciate the hard work and dedication of all individuals whose participation made this Forum a success. The results of the Forum will make a significant contribution to optimizing donor organ management and solidifying the collaborative relationship between critical care, donation and transplantation in the best interests of organ donors, donor families and transplant recipients.

Sam D. Shemie, MD Forum Chair, Medical Management to Optimize Donor Organ Potential

#### **Steering Committee**

#### Dr. Sam D. Shemie, Forum Chair

Division of Pediatric Critical Care, Montreal Children's Hospital, McGill University Health Centre Canadian Critical Care Society Canadian Council for Donation and Transplantation

#### Dr. Andrew J. Baker

Medical Director, Trauma and Neurosurgery Intensive Care Unit, St. Michael's Hospital, University of Toronto Trillium Gift of Life Network Canadian Critical Care Society Canadian Anesthesiologists' Society

#### Tracy Brand, RN, BSN

Provincial Program Manager, Saskatchewan Transplant Program President, Canadian Association of Transplantation

#### **Dr. Christopher Doig**

Adult Critical Care, Foothills Hospital Canadian Critical Care Society Chair, Donation Committee Canadian Council for Donation and Transplantation

#### Dr. Paul D. Greig

Director, G.I. Transplant, Toronto General Hospital, University Health Network Canadian Organ Replacement Register Canadian Society of Transplantation

#### Dr. Joe Pagliarello

Adult Critical Care
Medical Director,
Organ and Tissue Donation Programme,
The Ottawa Hospital
President-Elect,
Canadian Critical Care Society

#### **Dr. Heather Ross**

Medical Director, Cardiac Transplant Program, University Health Network President, Canadian Society of Transplantation

#### Kimberly Young, RN, BScN

Senior Program Analyst, Canadian Council for Donation and Transplantation Canadian Association of Transplantation

#### **Facilitation**

#### **Dorothy Strachan**

Strachan•Tomlinson and Associates

#### **Sponsored by:**

The Canadian Council for Donation and Transplantation

#### In collaboration with:

The Canadian Critical Care Society
The Canadian Association of Transplantation
The Canadian Society of Transplantation

## **Participating Organizations**

- Alberta Health and Wellness
- Alberta Intensive Care Society
- American Organ Procurement Organizations
- British Columbia Transplant Society
- Canadian Anesthesiologists' Society
- Canadian Association of Critical Care Nurses
- Canadian Association of Emergency Physicians
- Canadian Association of Transplantation
- Canadian Critical Care Society
- Canadian Institute of Health Information
- Canadian Neurocritical Care Group
- Canadian Neurological Society
- Canadian Neurosurgical Society
- Canadian Organ Replacement Registry
- Canadian Society of Transplantation
- Health Canada
- Human Organ Procurement and Exchange (HOPE) Program
- International Society for Heart and Lung Transplantation
- New England Organ Bank
- New Brunswick Health and Wellness
- New Brunswick Organ and Tissue Procurement Program
- Papworth Program, Cambridge, United Kingdom
- Quebec Society of Intensivists
- Quebec-Transplant
- Saskatchewan Transplant Program
- Trillium Gift of Life Network
- United Network for Organ Sharing

#### **Forum Overview**

Organ protective therapies rely on expert clinical management that is essential to promote donor eligibility and optimize organ function for the purposes of transplantation. There were two key areas of emphasis for experts involved in this Forum:

- How to enable optimal donor organ physiology
- How to expand eligibility and identify and explore logistical challenges from neurological determination of death to organ procurement.

This initiative did not address related challenges such as the consent process, post-procurement organ perfusion and preservation or surgical retrieval team logistics.

#### Forum objectives were:

- 1. To review and benchmark existing (national and international) practices, guidelines and policies related to donor organ management, including organ protective therapies; sources included articles and reports from basic science and clinical literature, regional, national and international donor management guidelines and related conferences and workshops
- 2. To develop expert consensus recommendations for organ protective therapies for the ICU and intraoperative management of the organ donor
- 3. To develop expert consensus recommendations for the Canadian Council for Donation and Transplantation, Canadian Society of Transplantation, Canadian Critical Care Society, Canadian Association of Transplantation, and other relevant organizations and groups
- 4. To develop a mechanism that bridges the critical care and transplant communities to review and update expert consensus recommendations for evolving therapies
- 5. To disseminate the Forum findings based on current research related to knowledge transfer in Canada
- 6. To develop recommendations for future research in this evolving field.

The Forum was held in Mont Tremblant, Quebec, on February 23–25, 2004, and was sponsored by the Canadian Council for Donation and Transplantation, in collaboration with the Canadian Critical Care Society, the Canadian Association of Transplantation and the Canadian Society of Transplantation. The participants were health care professionals from 27 organizations committed to optimizing the medical management of donor organs, including specialists in adult and pediatric critical care, physician and surgeon specialists in adult and pediatric organ-specific transplantation, neurologists, neurosurgeons, anesthetists, emergency medicine physicians, nurses and nurse practitioners. A working group of health administrators, policy makers, and donation/transplant agencies also provided input on logistical barriers and supports and knowledge transfer in support of effective medical management. Discussions focused on collaborative, consensus-based decision making at a national, strategic level.

Speakers and participants recognized that optimal resuscitation of the cardiopulmonary system benefits global multi-organ function and that this was represented in the distribution of Forum questions. The following five areas and related challenge questions were addressed at the Forum.

#### Part I – Multi-system Management of Multi-organ Donors

Questions for this area explored:

Systemic Arterial Hypertension Related to Intracranial Pressure

Cardiovascular Performance, Monitoring and Hemodynamic Supports

Glycemia and Nutrition

Diabetes Insipidus and Hypernatremia

Combined Hormonal Therapy

Transfusion Thresholds, and

Invasive Bacterial Infections.

#### Part II – Organ-Specific Considerations: Hearts, Lungs and Intra-abdominal Organs

Questions for this area explored:

Heart: indications and logistics for coronary angiography including luminal obstruction

thresholds, optimal courses of action in the presence of coronary artery disease and reduced ejection fraction, and troponin levels for standard monitoring

Lungs: bronchoscopy, bronchopulmonary infections and antimicrobial therapy, donor

lung injury, oxygenation impairment and alveolar recruitment, lower limits of the

PaO<sub>2</sub>/FiO<sub>2</sub> ratio that preclude transplantation, and lung protective strategies

Liver: indications for percutaneous liver biopsy, upper limits of liver enzymes (aspartate

aminotransferase [AST] and alanine aminotransferase [ALT]) precluding

transplantability, and liver imaging

Kidney: indications for routine biopsy and renal ultrasound, creatinine clearance,

prevention of contrast induced nephropathy.

#### Part III - Other Systemic Challenges

Optimal Time of Organ Procurement, and

Decisions Regarding Transplantability.

#### Part IV - National Research Agenda

Potential research questions were identified by participants during their discussions and then summarized as a starting point for a national research agenda to optimize donor organ management.

#### Part V – Logistics and Knowledge Transfer

A panel of key stakeholders identified, described and summarized potential challenges and provided considerations related to disseminating and implementing the recommendations that were developed at the Forum.

Forum Overview

#### **Process**

Substantive background documents were provided by the Steering Committee in advance of the Forum, including comprehensive literature reviews and related practice surveys. Each area was addressed during the Forum using the following process:

- 1. Presentations by experts were followed by open plenary discussions. Participants then worked in small groups guided by worksheets that provided:
  - a. a description of current, well-accepted care in the Canadian context<sup>1</sup>
  - b. a summary of existing scientific evidence
  - c. key considerations
  - d. a summary of national and international donor management guidelines
  - e. a list of references.
- 2. Small group discussions focused on specific questions related to the processes of care.
- 3. Meetings of the Forum Recommendations Group (FRG) and the Pediatric Recommendations Group (PRG) reviewed the results of small group and plenary discussions and developed unanimous recommendations for adults and children that were returned to plenary for discussion.
- 4. Participants' input related to research questions was gathered and summarized.
- 5. The Logistics and Knowledge Transfer (LKT) Group considered issues related to logistics and knowledge transfer that were identified during the Forum.

#### **Outcomes**

Results of the Forum will be used to help achieve the following overarching CCDT outcomes:

- increased number of donors providing transplantable organs
- increased number of organs transplanted per donor
- improved graft function, graft survival and patient survival.

Discussions at the Forum were lively, focused and collegial. Members of the FRG and PRG panels came to unanimous agreement on draft recommendations that mark a significant, positive advance beyond current practice. Potential areas for research issues related to organ transplantation were also identified, as well as logistical and knowledge transfer issues.

\_

Well-accepted care in the Canadian context was based on a review of donor management guidelines in effect in Canadian health care facilities (Hornby, Karen, Shemie, Sam D., *Donor Organ Management: Survey of Guidelines and Eligibility Criteria*. Edmonton: The Canadian Council for Donation and Transplantation, 2004). These guidelines, acknowledged to have variable application in practice, serve as the basis for well-accepted care in Canada.

#### **Overarching Themes**

Several overarching themes were identified during discussions:

- There is a need for prospective research to augment the existing levels of evidence used by experts to develop consensus on standards of care.
- The brain dead organ donor is a distinct pathophysiological condition.
- Temporal changes in multi-organ function after neurological determination of death (NDD) demand flexibility in identifying the optimal time of procurement. Participants recognized that:
  - Resuscitation of the cardiopulmonary system benefits the function of all end organs.
  - It is important to take the time necessary in the ICU to optimize multi-organ function for the purposes of improving transplant outcomes.
  - Reversible organ dysfunction may be improved with aggressive resuscitation and frequent re-evaluation.
  - Once organ dysfunction is optimized, surgical procurement should be arranged emergently.
- A four-centre Canadian review of heart and lung utilization identified potential deficits in the consent to individual organs, the offering of organs, and the utilization of offered organs. The Forum recommends that there be no predefined demographic or organ dysfunction that precludes:
  - consent for individual organs
  - offering of organs for transplantation.
- Final decisions about transplantability rest with the individual transplant programs represented by the organ-specific transplant doctors.
- Any initiatives aimed at improving donor organ potential should be evaluated not only by increases in organ utilization, but also linked to the corresponding transplant outcomes.
- ICU-transplant collaboration in this field involves ensuring reciprocal accountability by procurement and transplant services for the non-utilization of organs.

#### **Expert Speakers**

Forum expert speakers provided detailed presentations that were instrumental in the development of the recommendations in this report. They are listed below in the order in which they appeared on the agenda.

#### Part I – Multi-system Management of Multi-organ Donors

**Dr. Sam D. Shemie** Challenge Address

**Dr. Joe Pagliarello** Fundamentals of ICU-Based Donor Management

Mr. Kevin O'Connor U.S. Perspectives on Donor Management

**Dr. Bruce Rosengard**International Perspectives on Donor Management:

From Crystal City to Papworth

**Dr. Dimitri Novitzky**The Scientific Rationale for Hormonal Therapy in the Organ Donor **Dr. Myron Kauffman**Hormonal Therapy and the Impact on Transplantability in the USA

#### Part II - Organ-Specific Considerations: Hearts, Lungs and Intra-abdominal Organs

Dr. Vivek RaoExpert Organ-Specific Panel Presentation – HeartDr. Shaf KeshavjeeExpert Organ-Specific Panel Presentation – LungsDr. Paul GreigExpert Organ-Specific Panel Presentation – LiverDr. Sandra CockfieldExpert Organ-Specific Panel Presentation – Kidneys

#### **Part III – Other Systemic Challenges**

Ms. Kim Badovinac Organ Utilization from Canadian Cadaveric Donors: Canadian Organ

Replacement Registry Data

**Dr. Heather Ross** Canadian Multi-centre Review of Heart and Lung Utilization

#### **Expert Working Group Members**

Dr. Andrew Baker Chair, Clinical Advisory Committee, Trillium Forum Recommendations Group Gift of Life Network Medical Director, Trauma and Neurosurgery, ICU, St. Michael's Hospital, University of Toronto Canadian Anesthesiologists' Society Canadian Critical Care Society Resident Training Director, Pediatric Recommendations **Dr. Mary Bennett** Pediatric Critical Care. Group British Columbia Children's Hospital University of British Columbia Canadian Critical Care Society Ms. Tracy Brand Provincial Program Manager, Saskatchewan Forum Recommendations Group **Transplant Program** President. Canadian Association of Transplantation Dr. Sandra Cockfield Medical Director, Forum Recommendations Group Kidney Transplant Program, University of Alberta Hospital Canadian Society of Transplantation **Dr. David Creery** Pediatric Recommendations Pediatric Intensive Care Unit Group Children's Hospital of Eastern Ontario University of Ottawa Canadian Critical Care Society Dr. Anne Dipchand Pediatric Recommendations Clinical Head, Heart Transplant Program, Group Hospital for Sick Children, University of Toronto Canadian Society of Transplantation **Dr. Christopher Doig** Multisystems Intensive Care Unit, Foothills Forum Recommendations Group Hospital, Department of Critical Care University of Calgary Chair, Donation Committee, Canadian Council for Donation and Transplantation Canadian Critical Care Society **Dr. Catherine Farrell** Chair, Organ Donation Committee Pediatric Recommendations Division of Pediatric Intensive Care, Group Hôpital Sainte-Justine

University of Montreal

Canadian Critical Care Society

**Dr. Paul Greig** Director, GI Transplant, Forum Recommendations Group University Health Network University of Toronto Canadian Society of Transplantation **Dr. Cameron Guest** Chief Medical Officer, Forum Recommendations Group Trillium Gift of Life Network Department of Critical Care Medicine, Sunnybrook and Women's College Health Sciences Centre, University of Toronto Canadian Critical Care Society Dr. Diane Hebert Pediatric Recommendations Clinical Director, Pediatric Multi-organ Transplant Program, Group Hospital for Sick Children University of Toronto Canadian Society of Transplantation Ms. Karen Hornby Critical Care Nurse and Research Coordinator, Pediatric Recommendations Pediatric Intensive Care Unit, Group Montreal Children's Hospital, McGill University Health Centre Canadian Association of Critical Care Nurses Dr. Shaf Keshavjee Director. Forum Recommendations Group Toronto Lung Transplant Program, University Health Network University of Toronto Canadian Society of Transplantation Surgical Director, Transplantation, Pediatric Recommendations Dr. Michel Lallier Hôpital Sainte-Justine Group University of Montreal Canadian Society of Transplantation Dr. Peter Nickerson Director, Immunogenetics Laboratory Forum Recommendations Group University of Manitoba Health Sciences Centre Canadian Society of Transplantation Dr. Joe Pagliarello Medical Director, Forum Recommendations Group Organ and Tissue Donation Program, The Ottawa Hospital University of Ottawa President-Elect, Canadian Critical Care Society Dr. Vivek Rao Surgical Director, Forum Recommendations Group Cardiac Transplant Program, University Health Network University of Toronto Canadian Society of Transplantation

#### Medical Management to Optimize Donor Organ Potential: A Canadian Forum

Potential

**Dr. Heather Ross** Medical Director, Forum Recommendations Group Cardiac Transplant Program, University Health Network University of Toronto President. Canadian Society of Transplantation Dr. Sam D. Shemie Division of Pediatric Critical Care, Forum Recommendations Group Montreal Children's Hospital, McGill University Health Centre Honourary Staff, Pediatric Recommendations Department of Critical Care Medicine, Hospital Group for Sick Children, University of Toronto Canadian Council for Donation and Transplantation Canadian Critical Care Society Dr. Lori West Section Head, Pediatric Recommendations Heart Transplant Program, Group Hospital for Sick Children, University of Toronto Canadian Society of Transplantation Senior Program Analyst, Ms. Kimberly Young Forum Recommendations Group Canadian Council for Donation and Pediatric Recommendations Transplantation Secretariat, Group Health Canada Forum Project Manager, Medical Management to Optimize Donor Organ

#### **Logistics and Knowledge Transfer Group**

Ms. Debbie Gordon (Chair) Senior Operating Officer, Capital Health, Edmonton

Ms. Kim Badovinac Consultant, Canadian Organ Replacement Registry, Ontario

Mr. Bill Barrable Provincial Executive Director,

British Columbia Transplant Society

Ms. Tracy Brand Provincial Program Manager,

Saskatchewan Transplant Program

President, Canadian Association of Transplantation

Ms. Mance Cléroux Executive Director, Québec Transplant

**Dr. Christopher Doig** Multisystems Intensive Care Unit, Foothills Hospital,

Calgary

Chair, Donation Committee,

Canadian Council for Donation and Transplantation

**Mr. Thorsten Duebel** Acting Director,

Canadian Council for Donation and Transplantation Secretariat

**Ms. Nora Johnston** Project Team Leader,

Alberta Health and Wellness

Mr. Darwin Kealey President and CEO,

Trillium Gift of Life Network, Ontario

Ms. Joyce MacMullen Manager of Critical Care,

Queen Elizabeth II Health Sciences Centre, Nova Scotia

Mr. Burton Mattice Chair/VP/COO,

North Carolina Donor Services

Association of Organ Procurement Organizations,

Procurement Council

Ms. Ann Secord Senior Consultant,

New Brunswick Department of Health and Wellness

Medical Management to Optimize Donor Organ Potential: A Canadian Forum

## Part I – Multi-system Management of the Multi-organ Donor

Medical Management to Optimize Donor Organ Potential: A Canadian Forum

#### 1. Systemic Arterial Hypertension Related to Intracranial Pressure

#### 1.1 Thresholds and Preferred Therapy

We recommend that arterial hypertension after neurological determination of death (NDD) be treated according to the following:

- a. Thresholds:
  - Systolic blood pressure (BP) > 160 mmHg and/or
  - Mean arterial pressure (MAP) > 90 mmHg.
- b. Preferred therapy:
  - Nitroprusside (dosage: 0.5–5.0 μg/kg/min) and/or
  - Esmolol (dosage: 100–500 μg/kg bolus followed by 100–300 μg/kg/min). Infusions should be titrated to the desired clinical effect.

#### **Existing Canadian Practice**

Significant practice variation.

#### **Key Considerations**

- There is a need to distinguish acute intracranial pressure (ICP)-related autonomic storm and hypertension that may occur during herniation but prior to NDD. This period of care was not under consideration by this Forum.
- Given evolving changes and risk of deterioration in cardiovascular dysfunction after NDD, short-acting agents are preferable.
- Alternate agents include:
  - Nitroglycerin, e.g., to reduce the potential risk of coronary steal compared to nitroprusside
  - Labetolol, which is more commonly available and utilized than esmolol in clinical practice; however, there are concerns about its prolonged biologic half-life  $(t^{1/2} = 4-6 \text{ hours})$ .
- Hypertension in the setting of vasopressor use or inotropic support is an indication for decreasing support rather than initiating antihypertensives.

#### **Evidence**

Recommendation 1.1: page 73.

## 2. Cardiovascular Performance, Monitoring and Hemodynamic Support

#### **Overall Considerations**

- 1. The deterioration of cardiovascular function associated with intracranial hypertension will vary with:
  - a. rapidity of rise of intracranial pressure (ICP)
  - b. time after herniation
  - c. etiology of brain injury (e.g., traumatic myocardial contusion, ischemia after cardiac arrest or shock, hypoxemia).
- 2. It is recognized that intensivists titrate cardiovascular therapy to clinical, biochemical and hemodynamic endpoints that ensure restoration of intravascular volume status without hypervolemia and appropriate support of the myocardium and vascular system to ensure optimal cardiac output for organ perfusion.
- 3. The initiation of cardiovascular support assumes that patients will have been volume resuscitated to normovolemia.
- 4. Evaluation of cardiocirculatory status is a global assessment of multiple variables. No single measurement or one value in isolation should drive therapy.
- 5. Escalation of support should be accompanied by escalation of hemodynamic monitoring.
- 6. It is accepted that while these targets serve as guidelines for therapy, rigid adherence to numbers should be balanced by the overall evaluation of cardiovascular status by experienced clinicians.
- 7. Cardiovascular support should be based on rational physiology. Pure vasopressors (vasopressin, phenylephrine) should be distinguished from vasopressors with  $\beta$ -agonist inotropic action (norephinephrine, epinephrine).  $\beta$ -agonist therapy should be used with caution in potential heart donors given concerns about myocardial adenosine triphosphate (ATP) depletion and down regulation of  $\beta$ -receptors. If the heart is being considered for donation, dopamine or its equivalent should not be escalated beyond 10 µg/kg/min.

#### **Existing Canadian Practice**

The following were identified as areas of well-accepted practice and endorsed a priori:

- Standard monitoring: arterial line, central venous line
- Hemodynamic targets include:
  - i. Mean arterial pressure  $(MAP) \ge 70 \text{ mmHg}$
  - ii. Systolic blood pressure (BP) ≥ 100 mmHg
  - iii. Heart rate  $\geq 60 \leq 120$  bpm
  - iv. Central venous pressure 6–10 mmHg (normovolemia).

#### 2.1 Central or Mixed Venous Oxygen Saturation Monitoring

We recommend that mixed venous oxygen saturation monitoring be indicated in patients with ongoing hemodynamic instability. Hemodynamic therapy should be tailored to reach a target  $\geq 60\%$ .

#### **Existing Practice**

Data not available.

#### **Key Considerations**

- Serial trends are more useful than single measurements.
- Mixed venous oximetry may be determined by intermittent sampling from the pulmonary artery or continuously via oximetric catheters.
- Tissue oxygen extraction has not been well studied in patients declared neurologically dead. Low values may reflect reduced oxygen delivery; however, high values in the face of arrested neurological function and/or arrested brain circulation may not be interpreted reliably.
- Central venous oximetry is not well studied in patients declared neurologically dead.

#### **Evidence**

Recommendation 2.1: page 75.

#### 2.2 Serial Lactate Monitoring

We recommend that serial lactate measurements be performed in all patients. In the presence of elevated or rising lactate levels, investigations are recommended to determine etiology.

#### **Existing Practice**

Data not available.

#### **Key Considerations**

• Decreasing lactate levels reflect improvements in oxygen delivery.

#### **Evidence**

Recommendation 2.2: page 75.

#### 2.3 Indications for Pulmonary Artery Catheterization

We recommend that pulmonary artery catheterization be applied when:

- a. 2D echocardiographic assessment of ejection fraction is  $\leq 40\%$ . or
- b. Patients require (i) dopamine > 10 μg/kg/min (or equivalent), (ii) vasopressor support (where vasopressin is not included as part of hormone therapy), and/or (iii) an escalation of supports.

Pulmonary artery catheter (PAC) hemodynamic targets are pulmonary capillary wedge pressure (PCWP) 6–10 mmHg, cardiac index (CI) > 2.4 L/min- $m^2$ , systemic vascular resistance (SVR) 800–1200 dynes/sec-cm<sup>5</sup>.

#### **Existing Canadian Practice**

Significant practice variation.

#### **Key Considerations**

- "Vasopressor" refers to a vasoconstricting agent.
- While there is diminishing use of pulmonary artery catheterization in adult intensive care practice, the brain dead organ donor is a distinct pathophysiological state.
- Justifications for PAC are not limited to the precise titration of hemodynamic support but are also required for the evaluation of suitability for heart and lung transplantation.
- 2D echocardiography is primarily indicated to evaluate cardiac function and the suitability of the heart for the purposes of transplantation. The role of single or serial echocardiography in the assessment of cardiac function as a guide to hemodynamic therapy in the unstable organ donor is not well established.

#### **Evidence**

Recommendation 2.3: page 75.

#### 2.4 First-Line Agents for Hemodynamic Support: Vasopressin

We recommend that vasopressin be used for hemodynamic support when vasopressor agents are indicated. The maximum dose should be 2.4 Units/hour (0.04 Units/minute).

#### **Existing Canadian Practice**

Significant practice variation.

#### **Key Considerations**

- Standard cardiovascular support includes dopamine  $\leq 10 \,\mu\text{g/kg/min}$  (or equivalent).
- Vasopressin is a special agent because it can be used in a variety of applications, i.e., hemodynamic vasopressor support, diabetes insipidus therapy and hormonal therapy.
- Dosing units require standardization.
- Weaning of catecholamine support is the first approach to arterial hypertension while on vasopressin.

#### **Evidence**

Recommendation 2.4: page 78.

## 2.5 Second-Line Agents for Hemodynamic Support: Norepinephrine, Epinephrine and Phenylephrine

We recommend that norepinephrine, epinephrine and phenylephrine be used for hemodynamic support. Therapy should be titrated to clinical effect with no predetermined upper limit of dosing.

#### **Existing Canadian Practice**

Significant practice variation.

#### **Key Considerations**

• Escalating doses of catecholamines should be guided by PAC data. Doses beyond 0.2 μg/kg/min for any of these agents should be used with caution.

#### **Evidence**

Recommendation 2.5: page 78.

#### 3. Glycemia and Nutrition

#### 3.1 Glycemic Control

We recommend glucose control with insulin infusions titrated to a blood glucose target of 4–8 mmol/L.

#### **Existing Canadian Practice**

Significant practice variation.

#### **Key Considerations**

• The use of insulin should not be misinterpreted as a form of insulin dependence that might preclude islet cell transplantation. If clarification is required, hemoglobin (Hgb) A1C levels should be measured under these circumstances.

#### **Evidence**

Recommendation 3.1: page 82.

#### 3.2 Nutrition

We recommend that nutrition be provided as follows:

- a. *Intravenous (iv) dextrose infusions should be given routinely.*
- b. Routine enteral feeding should be initiated or continued as tolerated and discontinued on call to the operating room.
- c. Parenteral nutrition should not be initiated; however, in circumstances where it has been initiated, it should be continued.

#### **Existing Canadian Practice**

Data not available.

#### **Key Considerations**

Not applicable.

#### **Evidence**

Recommendation 3.2: page 82.

#### 4. Diabetes Insipidus and Hypernatremia

#### **Existing Canadian Practice**

The following were identified as areas of well-accepted practice and endorsed *a priori*:

- Serum sodium (Na): target range is  $\geq 130 \leq 150 \text{ mmol/L}$
- Urine output: target range is 0.5–3 ml/kg/hr (in adults and children)
- Diabetes insipidus can be defined as:
  - urine output > 4 ml/kg/hr in adults and children
    - associated with rising serum Na ≥145 mmol/L
    - associated with rising serum osmolarity ≥300 mosM and decreasing urine osmolarity ≤ 200 mosM
- 1-desamino-D-arginine vasopressin (DDAVP) dosing for diabetes insipidus:
  - adult:  $1-4 \mu g$  intravenous (iv) then  $1-2 \mu g$  iv q6h for urine output > 4 ml/kg/hr
  - children: 0.25 to 1  $\mu$ g iv q6h for urine output > 4 ml/kg/hr.

#### 4.1 Diabetes Insipidus

We recommend the following with respect to diabetes insipidus:

- a. Diabetes insipidus in isolation can be treated with a continuous iv vasopressin infusion (arginine vasopression  $[AVP] \le 2.4$  Units/hour) or intermittent iv DDAVP.
- b. Under the following circumstances, vasopressin infusion should be the first choice:
  - hemodynamic support with vasospressin required
  - combination hormonal therapy implemented.
- c. If required, DDAVP can be utilized as a supplement to vasopressin.
- d. DDAVP does not have to be discontinued prior to the operating room.

#### **Key Considerations**

DDAVP is an analog of AVP with a relatively pure antidiuretic effect and negligible vasopressor activity.

#### **Evidence**

Recommendation 4.1: page 84.

#### 4.2 Hypernatremia

We recommend that hypernatremia be treated in all donors if serum sodium levels are greater than 150 mmol/L.

#### **Key Considerations**

• In addition to sodium control, calcium, phosphate, potassium and magnesium levels should be normalized.

#### **Evidence**

Recommendation 4.2: page 84.

#### 5. Combined Hormonal Therapy

#### 5.1 Thyroid Hormone, Vasopressin and Methylprednisolone

We recommend that combined hormonal therapy be used in donors with 2D echocardiographic assessment of ejection fraction  $\leq 40\%$  or hemodynamic instability. Consideration should be given to its use in all donors.

Combined hormonal therapy is defined as:

- Thyroid hormone intravenous (iv) tetra-iodothyronine ( $T_4$ ) dosage 20  $\mu$ g iv bolus followed by 10  $\mu$ g/hour iv infusion
- Vasopressin − 1 unit iv bolus; 2.4 Units/hour iv infusion
- Methylprednisolone 15 mg/kg iv q24h.

#### **Existing Canadian Practice**

Significant practice variation.

#### **Key Considerations**

- Hemodynamic instability includes shock unresponsive to restoration of normovolemia and requiring vasoactive support (dopamine  $> 10 \mu g/min$ ) or any vasopressor.
- The weight of currently available evidence in a large retrospective U.S. cohort study (United Network for Organ Sharing [UNOS]) suggests a substantial benefit from triple hormone therapy with minimal risk. A multivariate logistic regression analysis of 18,726 brain dead donors showed significant increases in kidney, liver and heart utilization from donors receiving three-drug hormonal therapy. Significant improvements in one-year kidney graft survival and heart transplant patient survival were also demonstrated (Rosendale, Kauffman et al. manuscript in preparation, 2004). It is recognized that a prospective randomized trial has not been performed.
- As peripheral tissue conversion of  $T_4$  into tri-iodothyronine ( $T_3$ ) may be impaired in organ donors and in those on corticosteroids, iv  $T_3$  may be preferred but is not commercially available in Canada at this time. Intravenous  $T_4$  and enteral  $T_3$  are currently available.
- In those UNOS patients receiving hormone therapy, T<sub>4</sub> was used in 93% and T<sub>3</sub> in 6.9% of cases, with insufficient numbers to discriminate any benefit of T<sub>3</sub> over T<sub>4</sub>.
- When administered by continuous infusion, the bioavailability of iv T<sub>4</sub> may be affected by stability in solution and potential adherence to plastic tubing resulting from its hydrophobic nature. The quantitative impact of this pharmacological concern is unclear. Alternatively, iv T<sub>4</sub> may be given as follows: 100 ug iv bolus followed by 50 ug iv q12h.
- Should future data show a benefit of iv T<sub>3</sub> over iv T<sub>4</sub>, the recommendations subsequent to this Forum should be utilized to lobby the Canadian Health Protection Branch to make iv T<sub>3</sub> therapy available in Canada for this indication.

- Absorption and pharmacokinetic data on enteral T<sub>3</sub> in organ donors is required before recommending its use.
- Vasopressin should be initiated at a fixed rate. If arterial hypertension ensues, catecholamines should be weaned prior to decreasing vasopressin infusion rate.

#### **Evidence**

Recommendation 5.1: page 88.

#### 5.2 Corticosteroids and Lung Protection

We recommend that methylprednisolone at 15 mg/kg iv q24h be administered to all donors, to be initiated following neurological determination of death.

#### **Existing Canadian Practice**

Methylprednisolone: 15 mg/kg iv ( $\leq$  1 gm) for potential lung donors.

#### **Key Considerations**

• Corticosteroid therapy is currently indicated as the immune modulating therapy for potential lung donors, and protocols for administration of corticosteroids are non-uniform.

#### **Evidence**

Recommendation 5.2: page 93.

#### 6. Transfusion Thresholds

#### 6.1 Acceptable Targets for Hemoglobin, Platelets and Coagulation Parameters

We recommend the following with respect to hemoglobin, platelets and coagulation parameters:

- a. A hemoglobin target of 90-100 g/L is most appropriate to optimize cardiopulmonary function in the face of hemodynamic instability. Hemoglobin  $\geq 70$  g/L is the lowest acceptable limit for the Intensive Care Unit (ICU) management of stable donors.
- b. There are no defined targets for platelets, international normalized ratio (INR) or partial thromboplastin time (PTT) platelet or plasma factor replacement are indicated for clinical bleeding only.
- c. Blood drawing for donor serology and tissue typing should occur prior to transfusions to minimize the risk of false results related to hemodilution.
- d. No special transfusion precautions are required in organ donors.

#### **Existing Canadian Practice**

Significant practice variation.

#### **Key Considerations**

- Red blood cell transfusions can be associated with inflammatory activation related to the age of blood
- Consider the crystalloid sparing effect of red cell transfusions in potential lung donors with alveolar-capillary leak.
- Invasive procedures associated with bleeding risk may require correction of thrombocytopenia and coagulation status.
- Intraoperative transfusion of red blood cells, platelets and plasma factors by anesthetists and the transplant team should be individually tailored.
- In Canada, blood is routinely leukocyte depleted, and the risk of transmission of cytomegalovirus (CMV) is negligible. It is not necessary to give CMV-negative blood to CMV-negative donors.

#### **Evidence**

Recommendation 6.1: page 95.

#### 7. Invasive Bacterial Infections

#### 7.1 Daily Blood Cultures

We recommend the following with respect to blood cultures:

- a. Initial baseline blood culture should be obtained for all donors and repeated after 24 hours and on an as-needed basis.
- b. Positive blood cultures or confirmed infections are not contraindications to organ donation.
- c. Antibiotic therapy should be initiated in cases of proven or presumed infection.

  Duration of therapy depends on the virulence of the organism and is determined in consultation with the transplant team and infectious disease services.
- d. No minimum duration of therapy prior to organ procurement can be defined at this time.

#### **Existing Canadian Practice**

Standard care includes urine cultures.

#### **Key Considerations**

Not applicable.

#### **Evidence**

Recommendation 7.1: page 96.

#### **7.2 Broad Spectrum Antibiotics**

We recommend the following with respect to broad spectrum antibiotics:

- a. Empiric broad spectrum antibiotics are not indicated during the Intensive Care Unit (ICU) care of the organ donor.
- b. Decisions on the use of perioperative antibiotics should be at the discretion of the surgical team.

#### **Existing Canadian Practice**

Data not available.

#### **Key Considerations**

Not applicable.

#### **Evidence**

Recommendation 7.2: page 96.

Medical Management to Optimize Donor Organ Potential: A Canadian Forum

# Part II – Organ-Specific Considerations: Heart, Lungs and Intra-abdominal Organs

# 8. Heart

# **Existing Canadian Practice**

The following were identified as areas of well-accepted practice and endorsed a priori:

• Potential cardiac donors undergo routine screening by electrocardiogram (EKG) and 2D echocardiography.

# 8.1 Initial Evaluation of Cardiac Function

We recommend that if the initial evaluation of cardiac function demonstrates a 2D echocardiographic assessment of ejection fraction  $\leq$  40%, the optimal course be to insert a pulmonary artery catheter (PAC) and institute therapy tailored to the following PAC hemodynamic targets:

- a. Pulmonary capillary wedge pressure 6–10 mmHg
- b. Cardiac Index  $> 2.4 \text{ L/min-m}^2$
- c. Systemic vascular resistance 800–1200 dynes/sec-cm<sup>5</sup>
- d. Left ventricular stroke work index > 15 g/kg-min.

# **Key Considerations**

- Pulmonary artery catheterization data has been linked to favorable transplant outcomes.
- Initial echocardiography for heart donor evaluation should be performed only after hemodynamic resuscitation. Repeat echocardiography should be considered after ≥ 6 hours. There is a need to prospectively study the utility of serial echocardiography.
- Justifications for PAC are not limited to precision of hemodynamic support but are also required for the evaluation of suitability for heart and lung transplantation. Transplant decision making should reflect these recommendations. An abnormal echocardiogram followed by favorable hemodynamic data by pulmonary artery catheterization is acceptable assessment and does not mandate the need for a follow-up echocardiography.
- Pulmonary artery catheterization is recommended with the provision that appropriate technical and interpretive expertise is available.

# **Evidence**

Recommendation 8.1: page 97.

# 8.2 Troponin Levels

We recommend that troponin (either I or T) levels measured q12h be standard monitoring for both clinical and prognostic information.

# **Key Considerations**

• Troponin levels should not be used in isolation to reject hearts for transplantation.

# **Evidence**

Recommendation 8.2: page 97.

# 8.3 Coronary Angiography

We recommend the following with respect to coronary angiography:

- a. The following donor characteristics are indications for coronary angiography:
  - male > 55 years or female > 60 years
  - male > 40 years or female > 45 years in the presence of two risk factors (see Key Considerations)
  - presence of three or more risk factors at any age (see Key Considerations)
  - history of cocaine use.
- b. If the hospital has angiography facilities and indications for angiography are present, an angiogram should always be performed to document coronary anatomy to assist in decision making.
- c. There should be no absolute threshold for coronary luminal obstruction; decisions should be made in the context of the recipient status, heart function and the potential to intervene through coronary artery bypass grafting or percutaneous coronary interventions, e.g., stent.
- d. The inability to perform an angiogram should not preclude transplantation. Where coronary angiography is not available, cardiac donor organ suitability should still be considered based on:
  - 2D echocardiographic assessment of ejection fraction > 40% and/or
  - hemodynamic stability and/or
  - surgical inspection at time of procurement.
- e. The option for patient transfer to a procurement hospital with angiogram capabilities should be considered on a case-by-case basis with full consent of the donor family.

# **Key Considerations**

- Risk factors for coronary heart disease include:
  - 1. smoking
  - 2. hypertension
  - 3. diabetes
  - 4. hyperlipidemia
  - 5. body mass index > 32
  - 6. family history
  - 7. prior history of coronary artery disease
  - 8. ischemic EKG
  - 9. anterolateral regional wall motion abnormalities on echo
  - 10. 2D echocardiographic assessment of ejection fraction  $\leq 40\%$ .
- To minimize the risk of contrast nephropathy:
  - Normovolemia should be ensured.
  - N-acetylcysteine should be given prophylactically at doses of 600–1000 mg enterally bid with the first dose administered as soon as it is recognized that angiography is indicated. Alternatively, N-acetylcysteine may be administered intravenously at 150 mg/kg in 500 ml normal saline over 30 minutes immediately before contrast, followed by 50mg/kg in 500 ml of normal saline over 4 hours.
  - Angiograms should be performed with a low-risk radiocontrast agent (non-ionic, iso-osmolar), using minimum radiocontrast volume and without a ventriculogram.

# **Evidence**

Recommendation 8.3: page 97.

# 9. Lungs

Note: For recommendations related to corticosteroids and lung protection, see recommendation 5.2.

# **Existing Canadian Practice**

The following were identified as areas of well-accepted practice and endorsed a priori:

- Pulse oximetry, serial arterial blood gas monitoring, endotracheal tube suctioning, chest x-ray, bronchoscopy and bronchoalveolar lavage
- Mechanical ventilation with the following targets:
  - Fraction of inspired oxygen (FiO<sub>2</sub>) titrated to keep oxygen saturation ≥ 95%, partial pressure of arterial oxygen (PaO<sub>2</sub>) ≥ 80 mmHg
  - pH 7.35-7.45, PaCO<sub>2</sub> 35-45 mmHg
  - Positive end expiratory pressure (PEEP) of 5 cm H<sub>2</sub>O.

# 9.1 Oxygenation Impairment

*In cases where the PaO*<sub>2</sub>/FiO<sub>2</sub> (P/F) ratio is < 300, we recommend the following:

- a. Positional rotation therapy should be routine and defined as rotation to a lateral position q2h.
- b. Routine suctioning and physiotherapy should be standard care.
- c. PEEP of 5 cm $H_2O$  is recommended but periodic increases of PEEP up to 15 cm $H_2O$  are an acceptable form of alveolar recruitment.
- d. Sustained inflations (peak inspiratory pressure [PIP] of 30 cm $H_20$  x 30–60 sec) are an acceptable form of alveolar recruitment.
- e. Diuresis to normovolemia should be initiated when indicated.

# **Key Considerations**

- P/F ratio evaluation is performed on PEEP of 5 cmH<sub>2</sub>O and FiO<sub>2</sub> = 1.0.
- Recruitment maneuvers should be utilized periodically in all donors regardless of P/F ratio and should continue through the intraoperative period.
- Prone positioning is not recommended for adult or pediatric donors.

# **Evidence**

Recommendation 9.1: page 101.

# 9.2 Lower Limits of the Partial Pressure of Arterial Oxygen/Fraction of Inspired Oxygen Ratio

*We recommend the the following with respect to lower limits of the P/F ratio:* 

- a. There should be no predefined lower limit for the P/F ratio that precludes transplantation.
- b. Timing of evaluation, temporal changes, response to alveolar recruitment and recipient status should be considered.
- c. In cases of unilateral lung injury, pulmonary venous partial pressure of oxygen (PvO<sub>2</sub>) during intraoperative assessment is required to reliably evaluate contralateral lung function.

# **Key Considerations**

Not applicable.

# **Evidence**

Recommendation 9.2: page 101.

# 9.3 Optimal Targets for Tidal Volume and PIP

We recommend the following optimal targets for tidal volume PIP:

- a. Tidal volume should be 8 to 10 ml/kg.
- b. The upper limit of PIP should be  $\leq 30$  cm  $H_2O$ .

# **Key Considerations**

• Lung-protective strategies are currently used in patients with acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) or at risk of ARDS, where pressure-limited ventilation is defined by a PIP < 35 cmH<sub>2</sub>O and tidal volume of 6–8 ml/kg). Benefits of these strategies apply to ARDS patients, and corresponding data are not available in organ donors.

# **Evidence**

Recommendation 9.3: page 101.

# 9.4 Bronchoscopy and Antimicrobial Therapy

We recommend the following with respect to bronchoscopy and antimicrobial therapy:

- a. Bronchoscopy can be performed by the local hospital expert and reported to the responsible transplant surgeon.
- b. Antimicrobial therapy should be tailored to bronchial wash gram stain or culture results or suspected or confirmed bronchopneumonia.
- c. Empiric broad spectrum antibiotics are not routinely indicated but may be used in donors at high risk for bronchopneumonia.
- d. Intensive Care Unit (ICU) length of stay is not an independent indication for antimicrobial therapy.

# **Key Considerations**

- Technology should be developed to enable:
  - remote review of bronchoscopy and chest x-ray images
  - three-way communication linkages between ICUs, organ procurement organizations (OPOs) and transplant surgeons.
- Nephrotoxic antimicrobials should be avoided when possible.

# **Evidence**

Recommendation 9.4: page 99.

# 10. Liver

# **Existing Canadian Practice**

The following was identified as an area of well-accepted practice and endorsed *a priori*:

- Potential liver donors should be assessed for the following:
  - History of jaundice, hepatitis, excessive alcohol ingestion
  - Aspartate aminotransferase (AST), alanine aminotransferase (ALT), bilirubin (direct and indirect where available), INR (or prothrombin time [PT]), repeat q6h
  - Serum electrolytes, creatinine, urea
  - Hepatitis B surface antigen (HBsAG), hepatitis B antibody (HBcAb), hepatitis C virus antibody positive (HCV Ab).

# 10.1 Upper Limits of Hepatic AST and ALT

We recommend that there be no upper limits of hepatic AST and ALT that preclude liver transplantability. All livers should be offered; decisions related to transplantability depend on organ status, trends in liver function over time and recipient status.

# **Key Considerations**

Not applicable.

# **Evidence**

Recommendation 10.1: page 103.

# 10.2 Hepatic Ultrasound

We recommend that there is no requirement for prospective liver donors to have an hepatic ultrasound.

# **Key Considerations**

Not applicable.

# **Evidence**

Recommendation 10.2: page 103.

# 10.3 Indications for Liver Biopsy

We recommend the following indications for ultrasound-guided percutaneous liver biopsy in the Intensive Care Unit (ICU) prior to procurement, in consultation with the liver transplant team, to enable decisions about transplantability:

 Weight >100 kg or body mass index > 30 or hepatitis C virus antibody-positive donor

and

Distant procurement, i.e., when a procurement team is not immediately available.

Intraoperative biopsy by liver retrieval team is recommended in all other instances where liver biopsy is indicated.

If the biopsy cannot be done in the ICU and biopsy indications exist, the liver should be offered and transplantation should always be considered at the discretion of the liver transplant team.

# **Key Considerations**

Not applicable.

# **Evidence**

Recommendation 10.3: page 103.

# 11. Kidney

# **Existing Canadian Practice**

The following were identified as areas of well-accepted practice and endorsed a priori:

- A normal creatinine clearance (> 80 ml/min/1.73 m<sup>2</sup>) defines the optimal function threshold for transplantation. However, an abnormal serum creatinine or calculated creatinine clearance in a donor does not necessarily preclude use of the donor kidneys.
- Urinalysis is essential to rule out kidney abnormalities.
- Creatinine and serum urea (blood urea nitrogen) measurements should be taken q6h.

# 11.1 Creatinine Clearance

We recommend that creatinine clearance be based on the Cockroft-Gault equation. Urine collection to measure creatinine clearance is not indicated.

# **Key Considerations**

• There is no absolute contraindication to kidney donation based on a serum creatinine level or creatinine clearance alone.

# **Evidence**

Recommendation 11.1: page 106.

# 11.2 Renal Ultrasound

We recommend that renal ultrasounds be performed on a case-by-case basis, taking into account factors such as a history of renal disease.

# **Key Considerations**

• In general, there are no firm indications for renal ultrasound; this investigation tends to be low yield.

# **Evidence**

Recommendation 11.2: page 106.

# 11.3 Indications for Kidney Biopsy

We recommend that the following variables be considered in determining the need for intraoperative kidney biopsy at the time of procurement to enable decisions about transplantability:

- age > 65, or a younger age with a history of any of the following:
  - creatinine level > 133 μmol/L
  - hypertension
  - diabetes
  - abnormal urinalysis.

# **Key Considerations**

Histological evaluation, assessing for glomerulosclerosis and/or vasculopathy, is required
prior to excluding kidneys. The biopsy should be performed intraoperatively at the time of
procurement, rather than in the ICU.

# **Evidence**

Recommendation 11.3: page 106.

# Part III – Other Systemic Challenges

# 12. Optimal Time of Organ Procurement and Decisions Regarding Transplantability

# 12.1 Optimal Time of Organ Procurement

It is important to take the necessary time in the Intensive Care Unit (ICU) to optimize multi-organ function for the purposes of improving transplant outcomes.

Reversible organ dysfunction can be improved with resuscitation and re-evaluation. This treatment period can range from 12–24 hours and should be accompanied by frequent re-evaluation to demonstrate improvement in organ function toward defined targets.

Once optimized, donors should have surgical procurement procedures arranged emergently.

# **Existing Canadian Practice**

In general, after neurological death has been declared and consent to organ donation has been given, efforts are made to complete logistics and initiate procurement as quickly as possible.

# **Key Considerations**

- This existing paradigm of care should be adjusted in view of the following situations that may be correctable or may benefit from resuscitation and re-evaluation:
  - myocardial/cardiovascular dysfunction
  - oxygenation impairment related to potentially reversible lung injury
  - invasive bacterial infections
  - hypernatremia
  - the need to evaluate temporal trends in aspartate aminotransferase (AST) and alanine aminotransferase (ALT)
  - the need to evaluate temporal trends in creatinine
  - any other potentially treatable situation.
- Extending the interval of donor care in the ICU to optimize transplant outcomes should be factored into donation consent discussions and should be consistent with the wishes of the family or surrogate decision maker.

# **Evidence**

Recommendation 12.1: page 110.

# 12.2 Decisions Regarding Transplantability

We recommend that there be no predefined demographic factor or organ dysfunction thresholds that preclude consent for individual organs or the offering of organs for transplantation, and that:

- a. Consent should be requested for all organs.
- b. Within the context of existing legal and regulatory frameworks, all organs should be offered.
- c. Ultimate decisions about transplantability rest with the individual transplant programs represented by the organ-specific transplant doctors.

# **Existing Canadian Practice**

Significant practice variation.

# **Key Considerations**

- Accountability for non-utilization of organs is required from procurement and transplant services. The limited data currently provided to the Canadian Organ Replacement Register on reasons for the non-use of organs are inadequate.
- The utilization of organs should be linked with corresponding transplant graft and patient outcomes.
- Issues related to transmissible virus or malignancy should comply with existing Canadian standards and guidelines.

# **Evidence**

Recommendation 12.2: page 110.

# 13. Pediatric Age-Related Adjustments

# 13.1 Pediatric Age-Related Adjustments

We recommend that the Forum recommendations (1-12) for Medical Management to Optimize Donor Organ Potential (MEMODOP) be applied to infants, children and adolescents with the following qualifications:

# **Overarching**

- The pediatric organ donor is defined as:
  - newborn to 18 yearsand
  - care provided within a Pediatric Intensive Care Unit (PICU).
- Dosing recommendations apply to children  $\leq$  60 kg, beyond which adult dosing should apply.

# Section 1: Systemic Arterial Hypertension Related to Intracranial Pressure

• Thresholds for treating arterial hypertension after neurological determination of death are:

Newborns-3 months > 90/60 > 3 m-1 year > 110/70 > 1 yr-12 yrs > 130/80 > 12 yrs-18 yrs > 140/90.

# Section 2: Cardiovascular Performance, Monitoring and Hemodynamic Support

• Experienced pediatric intensive care practitioners adjust therapies to general, rather than specific, age-related targets. For information purposes, a guide to age-related norms for heart rate and blood pressure is provided under "Key Considerations."

# Section 2.1: Central or Mixed Venous Oxygen Saturation Monitoring

• Central venous oximetry is currently used in many Canadian PICUs as a monitoring technique in patients with hemodynamic instability and is recommended for the pediatric donor. Therapy should be titrated to a central venous oximetry of  $\geq$  60%.

# 13.1 Pediatric Age-Related Adjustments (cont'd)

# Section 2.3: Indications for Pulmonary Arterial Catheterization

- The use of pulmonary arterial catheterization (PAC) is limited in PICU practice and is not routinely recommended in pediatric donors. PAC may be utilized at the discretion of the PICU practitioner who is experienced with its application and interpretation.
- Serial echocardiography is the recommended method to re-evaluate myocardial function for the purposes of transplantation. Its role as a potential tool to guide hemodynamic therapy should be individually tailored.

# <u> Section 2.4: Second-Line Agents for Hemodynamic Support – Vasopressin</u>

• The pediatric dose range for arginine vasopressin (AVP) is 0.0003–0.0007 U/kg/min (0.3–0.7 mU/kg/min) to a maximum dose of 2.4 U/hour.

# <u>Section 2.5: Second-Line Agents for Hemodynamic Support – Norepinephrine, Epinephrine and Phenylephrine</u>

• In the absence of PAC data, hemodynamic therapy should be titrated to clinical and biochemical evaluations.

# Section 4.1: Diabetes Insipidus

• The pediatric dose range for AVP in diabetes insipidus is 0.0003–0.0007 U/kg/min (0.3–0.7 mU/kg/min) to a maximum dose of 2.4 U/hour.

# Section 5.1: Thyroid Hormone, Vasopressin and Methylprednisolone

- The pediatric dose range for AVP in diabetes insipidus is 0.0003-0.0007 U/kg/min (0.3-0.7 mU/kg/min) to a maximum dose of 2.4 U/hour.
- Intravenous (iv) tetra-iodothyronine (T<sub>4</sub>): The precise dosing range for iv T<sub>4</sub> infusions is not known, and T<sub>4</sub>'s biological effect when given by infusion may be affected by stability in solution and potential adherence to plastic tubing resulting from its hydrophobic nature. Adult practitioners have used up to 300–500 μg iv bolus for potential donors, which is standard dosing for myxedema coma. Given the wide dosing range cited in the literature for iv T<sub>4</sub> and the low risk of toxicity for this current indication, the dosing range for adults (20 μg iv bolus followed by 10 μg/hour iv infusion) is also recommended in children.<sup>2</sup> Alternatively, iv T<sub>4</sub> may be given as follows: 50-100 ug iv bolus followed by 25-50 ug iv q12h.

Rodriguez I, Fluiters E, Perez-Mendez LF, Luna R, Paramo C, Garcia-Mayor RV. Factors associated with mortality of patients with myxoedema coma: prospective study in 11 cases treated in a single institution. J Endocrinol 2004 Feb; 180(2):347-50.

# 13.1 Pediatric Age-Related Adjustments (cont'd)

# Section 8.1: Initial Evaluation of Cardiac Function

- Serial echocardiography is recommended to evaluate myocardial function for the purposes of transplantation. The initial echocardiogram should be performed only after stabilization with adequate volume resuscitation.
- The echocardiogram should be repeated at q6–12h intervals under the following conditions:
  - initial 2D echocardiogram demonstrates an ejection fraction ≤ 40%
     or
  - escalation of supports as defined by dopamine  $> 10 \mu g/kg/min$  and/or the use of vasopressor agents.

# Section 11.1: Creatinine Clearance

• For children > 1 year of age, a normal creatinine clearance is > 80 ml/min/1.73 m<sup>2</sup>, as estimated by the Schwartz formula.<sup>3</sup>

# Section 11.3: Indications for Renal Biopsy

• Creatinine level greater than normal for age.

# **Key Considerations**

Table 1. Age-related norms for heart rate and blood pressure (BP)

| Age     | Heart Rate<br>beats/min | Systolic BP (mmHg) | Diastolic BP (mmHg) |
|---------|-------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|
| 0–3 mo  | 100–150                 | 65–85              | 45–55               |
| 3–6 mo  | 90–120                  | 70–90              | 50–65               |
| 6–12 mo | 80–120                  | 80–100             | 55–65               |
| 1–3 yr  | 70–110                  | 90–105             | 55–70               |
| 3–6 yr  | 65–110                  | 95–110             | 60–75               |
| 6–12 yr | 60–95                   | 100–120            | 60–75               |
| >12 yr  | 55–85                   | 110–135            | 65–85               |

Adapted from: Mathers LH, Frankel LR. Stabilization of the critically ill child. Nelson Textbook of Pediatrics, 17th edition, 2004.

-

Schwartz GJ, Brion LP, Spitzer A. The use of plasma creatinine concentration for estimating glomerular filtration rate in infants, children, and adolescents. Pediatric Clinics of North America 1987; 34 (3): 571-590.

# Part IV – Standing Orders for Organ Donor Management

# 1. Standing Orders for Organ Donor Management: Adults

It is important to take the time necessary in the Intensive Care Unit (ICU) to optimize multiorgan function for the purposes of improving transplant outcomes. Resuscitation and reevaluation can improve reversible organ dysfunction (myocardial/cardiovascular dysfunction, oxygenation impairment related to potentially reversible lung injury, invasive bacterial infections, hypernatremia or any other potentially treatable situation) and can allow the evaluation of temporal trends in aspartate aminotransferase (AST), alanine aminotransferase (ALT) or creatinine. This treatment period can range from 12–24 hours and should be accompanied by frequent re-evaluation to demonstrate improvement in organ function toward defined targets. Once optimized, donors should have surgical procurement procedures arranged emergently.

There are no predefined demographic factors or organ dysfunction thresholds that preclude the consent for donation and offering of organs for transplantation.

# **Standard Monitoring**

- 1. Urine catheter to straight drainage, strict intake and output
- 2. Nasogastric tube to straight drainage
- 3. Vital signs q1h
- 4. Pulse oximetry, 3-lead electrocardiogram (EKG)
- 5. Central venous pressure monitoring
- 6. Arterial line pressure monitoring
- 7.  $\pm$  Pulmonary arterial catheterization.

# **Laboratory Investigations**

- 1. Arterial blood gas (ABG), electrolytes, glucose q4h and as needed (PRN)
- 2. CBC q8h
- 3. Blood urea nitrogen (BUN), creatinine q6h
- 4. Urine analysis
- 5. AST, ALT, bilirubin (total and direct), internal normalized ratio (INR) (or prothrombin time [PT]), partial thromboplastin time (PTT) q6h.

# **Hemodynamic Monitoring and Therapy**

# General targets:

- 1. Heart rate  $\geq 60 \leq 120$  bpm, systolic blood pressure (BP) > 100 mmHg, mean arterial pressure (MAP)  $\geq 70$  mmHg
- 2. Fluid resuscitation to maintain normovolemia, central venous pressure (CVP) 6–10 mmHg

- 3. If arterial blood pressure (ABP)  $\geq 160/90$  then:
  - a. Wean inotropes and vasopressors, and, if necessary
  - b. Start
    - nitroprusside 0.5–5.0 μg/kg/min, or
    - esmolol 100-500 μg/kg bolus followed by 100-300 μg/kg/min
- 4. Serum lactate q2–4h
- 5. Mixed venous oximetry q2-4h; titrate therapy to mixed venous oxygen (MVO<sub>2</sub>)  $\geq$  60%.

# **Agents for Hemodynamic Support**

- 1. Dopamine  $\leq 10 \,\mu g/kg/min$
- 2. Vasopressin  $\leq 2.4$  units/hour (0.04 units/minute)
- 3. Norepinephrine, epinephrine, phenylephrine (caution with doses  $> 0.2 \,\mu g/kg/min$ ).

# **Indications for Pulmonary Arterial Catheterization**

- 1. 2D echo ejection fraction  $\leq 40\%$  and/or
- 2. Dopamine >10 μg/kg/min (or equivalent) and/or
- 3. Vasopressor support (not including vasopressin if part of hormone therapy) and/or
- 4. Escalation of supports.

# **Glycemia and Nutrition**

- 1. Routine intravenous (iv) dextrose infusions
- 2. Initiate or continue enteral feeding as tolerated
- 3. Continue parenteral nutrition if already initiated
- 4. Initiate and titrate insulin infusion to maintain serum glucose 4–8 mmol/L.

# Fluid and Electrolytes

# Targets:

- 1. Urine output 0.5–3 ml/kg/hr
- 2. Serum sodium (Na)  $\geq 130 \leq 150$  mM
- 3. Normal ranges for potassium, calcium, magnesium, phosphate.

# **Diabetes Insipidus**

# Defined as:

- 1. Urine output > 4 ml/kg/hr, associated with
  - a. Rising serum Na  $\geq$  145 mmol/L and/or
  - b. Rising serum osmolarity ≥ 300 mosM and/or
  - c. Decreasing urine osmolarity  $\leq 200 \text{ mosM}$ .

# Diabetes insipidus therapy

- 1. Titrate therapy to urine output  $\leq 3 \text{ ml/kg/h}$ 
  - a. iv vasopressin infusion  $\leq 2.4$  units/hour, and/or
  - b. Intermittent 1-desamino-D-arginine vasopressin (DDAVP) 1–4 μg iv then 1–2 μg iv q6h.

# **Combined Hormonal Therapy**

# Defined as:

- 1. Tetra-iodothyronine ( $T_4$ ) 20 µg iv bolus followed by 10 µg/hour iv infusion (or 100 µg iv bolus followed by 50 µg iv bolus q12h)
- 2. Vasopressin 1 unit iv bolus followed by 2.4 Units/hour iv infusion
- 3. Methylprednisolone 15 mg/kg ( $\leq 1$  gm) iv q24h.

# **Indications:**

- 1. 2D echocardiographic ejection fraction  $\leq 40\%$ , or
- 2. Hemodynamic instability (includes shock unresponsive to restoration of normovolemia and requiring vasoactive support [dopamine >10 μg/min or any vasopressor agent])
- 3. Consideration should be given to its use in all donors.

# Hematology

- 1. Hemoglobin (Hgb): optimal  $\geq$  90–100 g/L for unstable donors, lowest acceptable  $\geq$  70 g/L
- 2. Platelets, INR, PTT: no predefined targets, transfuse in cases of clinically relevant bleeding
- 3. No special transfusion requirements.

# Microbiology (baseline, q24h and PRN)

- 1. Daily blood cultures
- 2. Daily urine cultures
- 3. Daily endotracheal tube (ETT) cultures
- 4. Antibiotics for presumed or proven infection.

# **Heart Specific**

- 1. 12-lead EKG
- 2. Troponin I or T, q12h
- 3. 2D echocardiography
  - a. Should only be performed after fluid and hemodynamic resuscitation
  - b. If 2D echo ejection fraction  $\leq 40\%$  then,
    - insert pulmonary arterial catheter (PAC) and titrate therapy to the following targets:

- pulmonary capillary wedge pressure (PCWP) 6–10 mmHg
- cardiac index (CI) > 2.4 L/min-m<sup>2</sup>
- systemic vascular resistance (SVR) 800–1200 dynes/sec-cm<sup>5</sup>
- left ventricular (LV) stroke work index > 15 g/kg-min
- PAC data is relevant for hemodynamic therapy and evaluation for suitability of heart transplantation independent of echo findings
- c. Consider repeat echocardiography at q6–12h intervals.
- 4. Coronary angiography

# Indications:

- a. History of cocaine use
- b. Male > 55 yrs or female > 60 yrs
- c. Male > 40 yrs or female > 45 yrs in the presence of  $\ge$  two risk factors
- d.  $\geq 3$  risk factors at any age.

# Risk factors:

- smoking
- hypertension
- diabetes
- hyperlipidemia
- body mass index > 32
- family history
- prior history of coronary artery disease
- ischemic EKG
- anterolateral regional wall motion abnormalities on echo
- 2D echocardiographic ejection fraction  $\leq 40\%$ .

# Precautions:

- 1. Ensure normovolemia
- 2. Prophylactic N-acetylcysteine 600–1000 mg enterally bid (1st dose as soon as angiography indicated) or iv 150 mg/kg in 500 ml normal saline (NS) over 30 minutes immediately before contrast followed by 50 mg/kg in 500 ml NS over 4 hrs
- 3. Low-risk radiocontrast agent (non-ionic, iso-osmolar), using minimum radiocontrast volume, no ventriculogram.

# **Lung Specific**

- 1. Chest x-ray q24h and PRN
- 2. Bronchoscopy and bronchial wash gram stain and culture
- 3. Routine ETT suctioning, rotation to lateral position q2h

- 4. Mechanical ventilation targets:
  - a. Tidal volume (Vt) 8–10 ml/kg, positive end expiratory pressure (PEEP) 5 cm H20, peak inspiratory pressure (PIP)  $\leq$  30 cm H<sub>2</sub>O
  - b. pH 7.35–7.45, partial pressure of arterial carbon dioxide (PaCO<sub>2</sub>) 35–45 mmHg, partial pressure of arterial oxygen (PaO<sub>2</sub>)  $\geq$  80 mmHg, oxygen (O<sub>2</sub>) sat  $\geq$  95%.
- 5. Recruitment maneuvers for oxygenation impairment may include:
  - a. Periodic increases in PEEP up to 15 cm H<sub>2</sub>O
  - b. Sustained inflations (PIP @  $30 \text{ cmH}_2\text{O} \times 30\text{--}60 \text{ sec}$ )
  - c. Diuresis to normovolemia.

# 2. Standing Orders for Organ Donor Management: Pediatrics

(Apply from newborn to 18 years; intended for care provided within a Pediatric Intensive Care Unit [PICU])

It is important to take the time necessary in the PICU to optimize multi-organ function for the purposes of improving transplant outcomes. Resuscitation and re-evaluation can improve reversible organ dysfunction (myocardial/cardiovascular dysfunction, oxygenation impairment related to potentially reversible lung injury, invasive bacterial infections, hypernatremia or any other potentially treatable situation) and can allow for the evaluation of temporal trends in aspartate aminotransferase (AST), alanine aminotransferase (ALT) or creatinine. This treatment period can range from 12–24 hours and should be accompanied by frequent re-evaluation to demonstrate improvement in organ function toward defined targets. Once optimized, donors should have surgical procurement procedures arranged emergently.

There are no predefined demographic factors or organ dysfunction thresholds that preclude the consent for donation and offering of organs for transplantation.

Note: Dosing recommendations apply to children  $\leq$  60 kg, beyond which adult dosing should apply.

# **Standard Monitoring**

- 1. Urine catheter to straight drainage, strict intake and output
- 2. Nasogastric tube to straight drainage
- 3. Vital signs q1h
- 4. Pulse oximetry, 3-lead electrocardiogram (EKG)
- 5. Central venous pressure (CVP) monitoring
- 6. Arterial line pressure monitoring.

# **Laboratory Investigations**

- 1. Arterial blood gas (ABG), electrolytes, glucose q4h and PRN
- 2. CBC q8h
- 3. Blood urea nitrogen (BUN), creatinine q6h
- 4. Urine analysis
- 5. AST, ALT, bilirubin (total and direct), international normalized ratio (INR) (or prothrombin time [PT]), partial thromboplastin time (PTT) q6h.

# **Hemodynamic Monitoring and Therapy**

General targets: age-related norms for pulse and blood pressure (BP)

- 1. Fluid resuscitation to maintain normovolemia, CVP 6–10 mmHg
- 2. Age-related treatment thresholds for arterial hypertension:

```
Newborns-3 months > 90/60
> 3m - 1 year > 110/70
> 1 yr - 12 yrs > 130/80
> 12 yrs -18 yrs > 140/90
```

- a. Wean inotropes and vasopressors, and, if necessary,
- b. Start
  - nitroprusside 0.5–5.0 μg/kg/min, or
  - esmolol 100–500 μg/kg bolus followed by 100–300 μg/kg/min
- 3. Serum lactate q2–4h
- 4. Central venous oximetry q2–4h; titrate therapy to central MVO<sub>2</sub>  $\geq$  60%.

# **Agents for Hemodynamic Support**

- 1. Dopamine  $\leq 10 \,\mu\text{g/kg/min}$
- 2. Vasopressin 0.0003–0.0007 U/kg/min (0.3–0.7 mU/kg/min) to a maximum dose of 2.4 U/hour
- 3. Norepinephrine, epinephrine, phenylephrine (caution with doses  $> 0.2 \mu g/kg/min$ ).

# **Glycemia and Nutrition**

- 1. Routine intravenous (iv) dextrose infusions
- 2. Initiate or continue enteral feeding as tolerated
- 3. Continue parenteral nutrition if already initiated
- 4. Initiate and titrate insulin infusion to maintain serum glucose 4–8 mmol/L.

# Fluid and Electrolytes

# Targets:

- 1. Urine output 0.5–3 ml/kg/hr
- 2. Serum sodium (Na)  $\geq 130 \leq 150$  mM
- 3. Normal ranges for potassium, calcium, magnesium, phosphate.

# **Diabetes Insipidus**

# Defined as:

- 1. Urine output > 4 ml/kg/hr, associated with:
  - a. Rising serum Na ≥ 145 mmol/L and/or
  - b. Rising serum osmolarity ≥ 300 mosM and/or
  - c. Decreasing urine osmolarity  $\leq 200 \text{ mosM}$ .

# Diabetes insipidus therapy

- 1. Titrate therapy to urine output  $\leq 3 \text{ ml/kg/h}$ 
  - a. iv vasopressin infusion 0.0003 0.0007 U/kg/min (0.3 0.7 mU/kg/min) to a maximum dose of 2.4 U/hour, and/or
  - b. Intermittent 1-desamino-D-arginine vasopression (DDAVP) 0.25 to 1 µg iv q6h.

# **Combined Hormonal Therapy**

# Defined as:

- 1. Tetra-iodothyronine (T<sub>4</sub>) 20 μg iv bolus followed by 10 μg/hour iv infusion (or 50-100 μg iv bolus followed by 25-50 μg iv bolus q12h)
- 2. Vasopressin 0.0003–0.0007 U/kg/min (0.3–0.7 mU/kg/min) to a maximum dose of 2.4 U/hour.
- 3. Methylprednisolone 15 mg/kg ( $\leq$  1 gm) iv q24h.

# Indications:

- 1. 2D echocardiographic ejection fraction  $\leq 40\%$ , or
- 2. Hemodynamic instability (includes shock unresponsive to restoration of normovolemia and requiring vasoactive support [dopamine >10 μg/min or any other vasopressor agent))
- 3. Consideration should be given to its use in all donors.

# **Hematology**

- 1. Hemoglobin (Hgb) optimal  $\geq 90-100$  g/L for unstable donors, lowest acceptable  $\geq 70$  g/L
- 2. Platelets, INR, PTT no predefined targets, transfuse in cases of clinically relevant bleeding
- 3. No special transfusion requirements.

# Microbiology (baseline, Q24h and PRN)

- 1. Daily blood cultures
- 2. Daily urine cultures
- 3. Daily endotracheal tube (ETT) cultures
- 4. Antibiotics for presumed or proven infection.

# **Heart Specific**

- 1. 12-lead EKG
- 2. Troponin I or T, q12h
- 3. 2D echocardiography
  - a. Should only be performed after fluid and hemodynamic resuscitation
  - b. If 2D echo ejection fraction  $\leq 40\%$  then repeat echocardiography at q6–12h intervals.

# **Lung Specific**

- 1. Chest x-ray q24h and PRN
- 2. Bronchoscopy and bronchial wash gram stain and culture
- 3. Routine ETT suctioning, rotation to lateral position q2h
- 4. Mechanical ventilation targets:
  - a. Tidal volume (Vt) 8–10 ml/kg, positive end expiratory pressure (PEEP) 5 cm  $H_2O$ , peak inspiratory pressure (PIP)  $\leq$  30 cm  $H_2O$
  - b. pH 7.35–7.45, partial pressure of arterial carbon dioxide (PaCO<sub>2</sub>) 35–45 mmHg, partial pressure of arterial oxygen (PaO<sub>2</sub>)  $\geq$  80 mmHg, oxygen (O<sub>2</sub>) sat  $\geq$  95%
- 5. Recruitment maneuvers for oxygenation impairment may include:
  - a. Periodic increases in PEEP up to 15 cm H<sub>2</sub>O
  - b. Sustained inflations (PIP @ 30 cm H<sub>2</sub>O x 30–60 sec)
  - c. Diuresis to normovolemia.

# Part V – National Research Agenda

# **National Research Agenda**

Forum participants concluded that there are significant limitations to existing clinical research that can support Forum recommendations. Participants encouraged the development of local and multi-centre research initiatives, as well as those at national and international levels. The following potential research topics were collected during small group discussions.

# 1. Questions Amenable to Survey Methodology

 A cross-sectional survey examining current practice in the cardiopulmonary support of donors, including hemodynamic targets and supports, ventilation and lung recruitment strategies.

# 2. Questions Amenable to Observational Studies

The following questions include survey methodology, prospective and retrospective studies and database reviews:

- Contribution of serial echocardiography or dobutamine stress echocardiography to the evaluation of patients with reduced myocardial function compared to PAC alone
- Effect of radiographic contrast exposure (during cerebral angiography or coronary angiography) on renal graft function
- Investigation of the factors contributing to variability of organ utilization rates between centres and correlates to post-transplant function
- Optimal vasopressor and inotrope combinations and the influence of delayed procurement on organ utilization.

# 3. Questions Amenable to Non-randomized Intervention Studies

- Effect of serial lung recruitment maneuvers in organ donors on the PaO<sub>2</sub>/FiO<sub>2</sub> ratio and lung procurement rates
- Pharmacological studies of orally and intravenously administered T<sub>4</sub> and T<sub>3</sub> in humans, including kinetics, biological effects, optimal dosing, peripheral conversion times and effect of corticosteroids.

# 4. Topics Amenable to Simple Randomized Controlled Clinical Trials

- Does combined hormonal therapy improve hemodynamics, organ function or organ utilization?
- Do PAC and goal-directed therapy improve hemodynamics, organ function or organ utilization?
- Evaluating the use of prophylactic N-acetyl cysteine to prevent constrast nephropathy and delayed graft function of deceased donor kidneys.

# Part VI – Logistics and Knowledge Transfer

Medical Management to Optimize Donor Organ Potential: A Canadian Forum

# **Logistics and Knowledge Transfer**

Optimizing donor management and organ utilization for transplantation requires widespread communication of MEMODOP recommendations combined with the broad engagement of individuals and organizations across the health system. To address these interdependent requirements, a Logistics and Knowledge Transfer (LKT) Group met throughout the Forum to address the question,

How can we ensure that the agreements developed at this Forum are transferred into the field efficiently and effectively so that improvements in medical management occur as soon as possible?

Members of the LKT Group developed recommendations to address both logistical and knowledge transfer challenges in relation to clinical practice and systemic change.

# **Logistical Challenges**

For the purposes of this Forum, logistical challenges included the identification and description of supports (e.g., clinical excellence and constructive policies) and blocks (e.g., logistical, systemic and fiscal restraints) and recommendations for addressing them (e.g., consideration of resource implications for extended stays in the ICU, reduced organ recovery options due to lack of access to operating room time and definition of what constitutes an organ procurement hospital).

Members of the LKT Group identified the following recommendations (alphabetical order) in response to their discussions:

- Develop a cost/benefit analysis of donation, including implications for the health care system and quality-of-life issues.
- Identify logistical challenges (barriers and supports) in maximizing donation.
- Monitor and report on the resolution of logistical challenges on an individual case basis with respect to impacts on optimal organ utilization.

# **Knowledge Transfer Challenges**

The Canadian Institutes of Health Research (CIHR) describe knowledge transfer (KT) as the process that transfers research results from knowledge producers to knowledge users for the benefit of Canadians. It comprises three interlinked components:

- knowledge exchange
- knowledge synthesis
- ethically sound application of knowledge.

The goal of KT is to improve health processes, services and products as well as the health care system itself.

Members of the LKT Group identified the following recommendations (alphabetical order) to maximize KT and enhance organ utilization:

- Develop policies to guide the donation process that clearly identify roles and responsibilities for health care professionals, e.g., incorporation of MEMODOP recommendations in standard operating procedures at OPOs and hospitals.
- Encourage national and international exchange of information to advance knowledge and care within and beyond Canada.
- Ensure that allocation issues do not complicate utilization, resulting in unused organs.
- Include the identification of potential organ and tissue donors as part of quality end-of-life care to maximize organ utilization.
- Provide health care professionals with ongoing education and skill development in support
  of quality care for donors and their families. To enable knowledge transfer, develop quick
  reference tools for protocols and guidelines.
- Support the implementation of MEMODOP recommendations in organizations and institutions affiliated with the Forum.
- Support initiatives to enhance reporting and accountability. Encourage standardization of data and terminology both nationally and internationally. Develop quality measurements that reflect directly on the donation process, including identification of donors, requests for consent, consents and utilization of organs.

# **Appendix #1: Summaries of Evidence**

# Contents

| 1.  | Systemic Arterial Hypertension Related to Intracranial Pressure             | 73  |
|-----|---|-----|
| 2.  | Cardiovascular Performance, Monitoring and Hemodynamic Support              | 75  |
|     | a. Cardiovascular Performance and Monitoring                                | 75  |
|     | b. Hemodynamic Targets and Supports   | 78  |
| 3.  | Glycemia and Nutrition  | 82  |
| 4.  | Diabetes Insipidus and Hypernatremia  | 84  |
| 5.  | Combined Hormonal Therapy   | 88  |
| 6.  | Transfusion Thresholds  | 95  |
| 7.  | Invasive Bacterial Infections   | 96  |
| 8.  | Heart   | 97  |
| 9.  | Lungs   | 99  |
|     | a. Bronchoscopy, Bronchopulmonary Infections and Antimicrobial Therapy      | 99  |
|     | b. Donor Lung Injury, Oxygenation and Ventilator Strategies                 | 101 |
| 10. | Liver   | 103 |
| 11. | Kidney  | 106 |
| 12. | Optimal Time of Organ Procurement and Decisions Regarding Transplantability | 110 |

Medical Management to Optimize Donor Organ Potential: A Canadian Forum

# 1. Systemic Arterial Hypertension Related to Intracranial Pressure

In the face of markedly elevated intracranial pressure (ICP), mean arterial pressure (MAP) rises in an effort to maintain cerebral perfusion pressure. As ICP rises further, cerebral herniation into the brainstem ensues, and brainstem ischemia is initiated in an orderly, rostral-caudal fashion. Initial apnea, bradycardia, hypotension and drop in cardiac output are mediated by vagal (parasympathetic) activation resulting from midbrain ischemia (Van Bakel 1997). Brainstem ischemia then progresses toward the pons, where sympathetic stimulation is superimposed on the initial vagal response, resulting in bradycardia and hypertension (Cushing's reflex). During this period, the EKG may be characterized by sinus bradycardia, junctional escape beats and even complete heart block (Novitzky 1997). Further extension into the medulla oblongata occurs, at which point the vagal cardiomotor nucleus becomes ischemic, preventing tonic vagal stimuli (Tuttle-Newhall et al. 2003). This results in unopposed sympathetic stimulation which may last for minutes to hours and manifests as hypertension with elevated cardiac output with the potential for tachyarrhythymias (Novitzky 1997). This period of unopposed sympathetic stimulation is often termed "autonomic storm," during which time severe vasoconstriction may compromise end organ perfusion.

The autonomic storm is also believed responsible for potentially reversible myocardial injury and cardiovascular dysfunction associated with intracranial hypertension best studied in subarachnoid hemorrhage (Mayer et al. 1994) and termed "neurogenically stunned myocardium" (Kono et al. 1994). Endogenous catecholamine-related increases in peripheral resistance (Novitzky et al. 1984) may result in a sudden increase in myocardial work and oxygen consumption leading to myocardial ischemia or infarction and subsequent elevation of cardiac troponin I and T (Macmillan et al. 2002). Patients dying of acute intracranial events show scattered foci of transmural myocardial injury that are not seen in patients dying of noncerebral causes (Kolin et al. 1984). Myocardial necrosis after subarachnoid hemorrhage is a neurally mediated process that is dependent on the severity of neurological injury (Tung et al. 2004). The magnitude of the rise of epinephrine after brain death and the extent of myocardial damage also depend on the rate of rise in ICP in a canine model (Shivalkar et al. 1993; Takada et al. 1998). Dogs given a sudden rise in ICP demonstrated a higher epinephrine surge and poorly functioning donor hearts.

Animal studies suggest that sympathetic blockade in ICP-related catecholamine cardiotoxicity may effectively prevent the electrophysiologic, biochemical and pathologic changes characteristic of neurogenic injury in the heart, lung, gut and other organs. Labetalol, a mixed alpha- and beta-adrenergic antagonist administered before brain death in animals, blunts the hemodynamic storm triggered by increased cardiac sympathetic activation and preserves myocardial contractile function (Siaghy et al. 1998). However, because of the rapid appearance of neurogenic lesions, these agents may be effective only when given prophylactically before sympathetic storm occurs (Cruickshank et al. 1987). Intravenous atenolol significantly lowered the incidence of myocardial band creatine kinase elevation and electrocardiographic abnormality in a group of head-injured patients (Cruickshank et al. 1987). The alpha-2-agonist clonidine diminishes central adrenergic outflow and has a protective role against myocardial injury induced by hypertension (Frohlich et al. 1984).

- Cruickshank JM, Meil-Dwyer G, Degaute JP, Kuurne T, Kytta J, Carruthers ME, Patel S. Reduction of stress/catecholamine-induced cardiac necrosis by beta 1 selective blockade. Lancet 1987; 2:585-9.
- Frohlich ED, Messerli FH, Pegram ML, Kardon MB. Hemodynamic and cardiac effects of centrally acting antihypertensive drugs. Hypertension 1984; 6-II:76-81.
- Kolin A, Norris JW: Myocardial damage from acute cerebral lesions. Stroke 1984; 15:990-3.
- Kono T, Morita H, Kuroiwa T, Onaka H, Takatsuka H, Fujiwara A. Left ventricular wall motion abnormalities in patients with subarachnoid hemorrhage: neurogenic stunned myocardium. J Am Coll Cardiol 1994; 24:636-40.
- Macmillan CSA, Grant IS, Andrews PJD. Pulmonary and cardiac sequelae of subarachnoid haemorrhage: time for active management? Intensive Care Med 2002; 28:1012-23.
- Mayer SA, Fink ME, Raps EC, et al. Cardiac injury associated with neurologic pulmonary edema following subarachnoid hemorrhage. Neurology 1994; 44:815-20.
- Novitzky D, Wicomb WN, Cooper DKC, Rose AG, Fraser RC, Barnard CW. Electrocardiographic, hemodynamic and endocrine changes occurring during experimental brain death in the chacma baboon. Heart Transplantation 1984; 4:63-9.
- Novitzky D. Detrimental effects of brain death on the potential organ donor. Transplantation Proceedings 1997; 29:3770-2.
- Shivalkar B, VanLoon J, Wieland W, Tjandra-Maga T, Borgers M, Plets C, Fleming W. Variable effects of explosive or gradual increase of intracranial pressure on myocardial structure and function. Circulation 1993; 230-9.
- Siaghy EM, Halejcio-Delophont P, Mertes PM, et al. Protective effects of labetalol on myocardial contractile function in brain-dead pigs. Transplant Proc 1998; 30:2842-3.
- Takada M, Nadeau KC, Hancock WW, Mackenzie HS, Shaw GD, Waaga AM, Chandraker A, Sayegh MH, Tilney NL. Effects of explosive brain death on cytokine activation of peripheral organs in the rat. Transplantation 1998; 65:1533-42.
- Tung P, Kopelnik A, Banki N, Ong K, Ko N, Lawton MT, Gress D, Drew B, Foster E, Parmley W, Zaroff J.Stroke. Predictors of neurocardiogenic injury after subarachnoid hemorrhage.2004 Feb;35(2):548-51. Epub 2004 Jan 22.
- Tuttle-Newhall JE, Collins BH, Kuo PC, Schroeder R. Organ donation and treatment of multi-organ donor. Curr Probl Surg 2003; 40(5):266-310.
- Van Bakel AB. The Cardiac Transplant donor: identification, assessment, and management. Heart Transplantation Symposium 1997; 314(3):152-63.

# 2. Cardiovascular Performance, Monitoring and Hemodynamic Support

## a. Cardiovascular Performance and Monitoring

#### **Biochemical Perfusion Markers**

Traditional hemodynamic assessment on the basis of physical findings, vital signs, central venous pressure and urinary output may fail to detect persistent global tissue ischemia/hypoxia. A more definitive resuscitation strategy involves goal-oriented manipulation of cardiac preload, afterload and contractility to achieve a balance between systemic oxygen delivery and oxygen demand (Beal et al. 1994). Resuscitation endpoints include normalized values for mixed venous oxygen saturation, serum lactate, base deficit and pH (Elliot, 1998; 3rd European Conference of ICM, 1996). Mixed venous oxygen saturation has been shown to be a surrogate for cardiac index as a target for hemodynamic therapy (Gattinoni et al. 1995). In cases in which the insertion of a pulmonary-artery catheter is impractical, venous oxygen saturation can be measured in the central circulation (Reinhart et al. 1989). This is common practice in pediatric care. Venous oximetry can be measured by intermittent blood sampling or by the use of continuous oximetric catheters. A randomized controlled trial (RCT) of early goal-directed therapy titrated to CVP, central venous O<sub>2</sub> saturation and blood pressure provides evidence of significant mortality and morbidity benefits in adults with septic shock (Rivers et al. 2001).

Elevated initial and 24-hour lactate levels are significantly correlated with mortality and are superior to base deficit levels in critically ill surgical patients (Husain et al. 2003). Lactate clearance time may be used to predict morbidity and mortality (Husain et al. 2003). Lactate is the best marker of perfusion, which best discriminates survivors from non-survivors of childhood sepsis (Duke et al., ICM, 1997) and is predictive of adverse events after pediatric cardiac surgery (Duke et al., JTCVS, 1997).

# **Echocardiography**

Echocardiographic parameters have also been demonstrated to be beneficial in predicting successful cardiac transplant outcomes (Dujardin et al. 1996; Yokoyama et al. 1992). In a study of 66 consecutive patients with brain death, echocardiographic systolic myocardial dysfunction was present in 42% and associated with ventricular arrhythmias (Dujardin et al. 1991). Diffuse wall motion abnormalities are a risk factor for 30-day heart transplant mortality (Young et al. 1994). Single echocardiographic evaluations may have limitations in detecting the reversible myocardial dysfunction often seen after brain injury (Kono et al. 1994). Dobutamine stress echocardiographic studies can detect dobutamine-responsive wall motion abnormalities that may distinguish the potentially reversible myocardial dysfunction in a "neurogenically stunned myocardium" (Kono et al. 1999). Evaluation of the utility of serial echocardiograms, with or without dobutamine stress tests, to evaluate improvement in myocardial dysfunction in the brain dead donor and to better predict cardiac allograft survival has not been reported.

# **Pulmonary Arterial Catheterization and Thermodilution Cardiac Output Monitoring**

Right-sided pressures may underestimate left-sided pressures after brain death and may increase risk for elevated left-sided filling pressures and pulmonary edema (Pennefather et al. 1993). A similar disparity between right and left ventricular heart function has also been observed in experimental canine models of brain death (Bittner et al. 1996). Expert consensus supports Pulmonary arterial catheterization (PAC) and cardiac output monitoring, particularly if the donors are hemodynamically unstable (Rosengard et al. 2002; Wheeldon et al. 1995). Pulmonary arterial catheterization and goal-directed hemodynamic therapy of initially unacceptable donors, in conjunction with hormonal therapy (glucocorticoids, insulin, vasopressin, and triodothyronine (T<sub>3</sub>), may improve the rate of organ procurement without compromising transplant outcomes (Wheeldon et al. 1995). The Transplantation Committee of the American College of Cardiology has recommended titrating volume infusions and dopamine to thermodilution indices (Hunt et al. 1996).

The variety of changes in volume status, cardiac inotropy, and peripheral vascular resistance that occur after brain death are similar to those in any critically ill patient with shock of diverse etiologies. The clinical value of data obtained from PAC remains unproven (Cooper et al. 1996). Evolving ICU practice for hemodynamic management has reduced the use of the PAC, given concerns about increased mortality risks and a lack of therapeutic benefit in large prospective cohort studies (Conners et al. 1996) and systematic reviews (Heyland et al. 1996; Ivanov et al. 1997). Clinical management involving the early use of a PAC in patients with shock, ARDS or both does not significantly affect mortality and morbidity (Richard et al. 2003). A large Canadian RCT showed no benefit in PAC-directed therapy over standard care in elderly, high-risk surgical ICU patients (Sandham et al. 2003).

Proponents argue that physiological measurements provided by the use of a PAC permit refinements of treatment that improve patient outcomes. Although unproven, this argument has driven the use of a PAC in the preoperative, perioperative, and postoperative treatment of high-risk surgical patients. The use of PAC in pediatric ICU care is extremely limited.

- Beal AL, Cerra FB. Multiple organ failure syndrome in the 1990s: systemic inflammatory response and organ dysfunction. JAMA 1994; 271:226-33.
- Bittner HB, Kendall SWH, Chen EP, Van Trigt P. The combined effects of brain death and cardiac graft preservation on cardiopulmonary hemodynamics and function before and after subsequent heart transplantation. J Heart Lung Transplant 1996; 15:764-77.
- Connors AF Jr, Speroff T, Dawson NV, Thomas C, Harrell FE Jr, Wagner D, Desbiens N, Goldman L, Wu AW, Califf RM, Fulkerson WJ Jr, Vidaillet H, Broste S, Bellamy P, Lynn J, Knaus WA. The effectiveness of right heart catheterization in the initial care of critically ill patients. JAMA 1996; 276:889-97.
- Cooper AB, Doig GS, Sibbald WJ. Pulmonary artery catheters in the critically ill: an overview using the methodology of evidence-based medicine. Crit Care Clin 1996; 12:777-94.
- Darmon PL, Catoire P, Delaunay L, Wigdorowicz C, Bonnet F. Utility of transesophageal echocardiography in heart collection decision making. Transplantation Proceedings 1996; 28(5):2895.
- Dujardin KS, McCully RB, Wijdicks EF, Tazelaar HD, Seward JB, McGregor CG, Olson LJ. Myocardial dysfunction associated with brain death: clinical, echocardiographic, and pathologic features. J Heart Lung Transplant 2001; 20:350-7.
- Duke T, Butt W, South M, Karl TR. Early markers of major adverse events in children after cardiac operations. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg. 1997 Dec; 114(6):1042-52.
- Duke TD, Butt W, South M. Predictors of mortality and multiple organ failure in children with sepsis. Intensive Care Med. 1997 Jun; 23(6):684-92.

- Elliott DC. An evaluation of the end points of resuscitation. J Am Coll Surg 1998;187:536-47.
- Gattinoni L, Brazzi L, Pelosi P, Latini R, Tognoni G, Pesenti A, Fumagalli R. A trial of goal-oriented hemodynamic therapy in critically ill patients. N Engl J Med 1995; 333:1025-32.
- Heyland DK, Cook DJ, King D, Kernerman P, Brun-Buisson C. Maximizing oxygen delivery in critically ill patients: a methodologic appraisal of the evidence. Crit Care Med 1996; 24:517-24.
- Hunt SA, Baldwin J, Baumgartner W, Bricker JT, Costanzo MR, Miller L, Mudge G, O'Connell JB. Cardiovascular management of a potential heart donor: a statement from the Transplantation Committee of the American College of Cardiology. Crit Care Med 1996; 24:1599-601.
- Husain FA, Martin MJ, Mullenix PS, Steele SR, Elliott DC. Serum lactate and base deficit as predictors of mortality and morbidity. Am J Surg. 2003 May; 185(5):485-91.
- Ivanov RI, Allen J, Sandham JD, Calvin JE. Pulmonary artery catheterization: a narrative and systematic critique of randomized controlled trials and recommendations for the future. New Horiz 1997; 5:268-76.
- Kono T, Morita H, Kuroiwa T, Onaka H, Takatsuka H, Fujiwara A. Left ventricular wall motion abnormalities in patients with subarachnoid hemorrhage: neurogenic stunned myocardium. J Am Coll Cardiol 1994; 24:636-40.
- Kono T, Nishina T, Morita H, Hirota Y, Kawamura K, Fujiwara A. Usefulness of low-dose dobutamine stress echocardiography for evaluating reversibility of brain death-induced myocardial dysfunction. Am J Cardiol 1999; 84:578-82.
- Pennefather S, Bullock RE, Dark JH. The effect of fluid therapy on alveolar arterial oxygen gradient in brain-dead organ donors. Transplantation 1993; 56(6):1418-22.
- Pennefather SH, Bullock RE, Mantle D, Dark JH. Use of low dose arginine vasopressin to support brain-dead organ donors. Transplantation 1995; 59(1):58-62.
- Reinhart K, Rudolph T, Bredle DL, Hannemann L, Cain SM. Comparison of central-venous to mixed-venous oxygen saturation during changes in oxygen supply/demand. Chest 1989; 95:1216-21.
- Richard C, Warszawski J, Anguel N, Deye N, Combes A, Barnoud D, Boulain T, Lefort Y, Fartoukh M, Baud F, Boyer A, Brochard L, Teboul JL. Early use of the pulmonary artery catheter and outcomes in patients with shock and acute respiratory distress syndrome: a randomized controlled trial. French Pulmonary Artery Catheter Study Group. JAMA. 2003 Nov 26; 290(20): 2713-20.
- Rivers E, Nguyen B, Havstad S, Ressler J, Muzzin A, Knoblich B, Peterson E, Tomlanovich M. Early goal-directed therapy in the treatment of severe sepsis and septic shock. Early Goal-Directed Therapy Collaborative Group. N Engl J Med. 2001 Nov 8; 345(19):1368-77.
- Rosengard BR, Feng S, Alfrey EJ, Zaroff JG, Emond JC, Henry ML, Garrity ER, Roberts JP, Wynn JJ, Metzger RA, Freeman RB, Port FK, Merion RM, Love RB, Busuttil RW, Delmonico FL. Report of the crystal city meeting to maximize the use of organs recovered from the cadaver donor. Americal Journal of Transplantation 2002; 2:701-11.
- Sandham JD, Hull RD, Brant RF, Knox L, Pineo GF, Doig CJ, Laporta DP, Viner S, Passerini L, Devitt H, Kirby A, Jacka M; Canadian Critical Care Clinical Trials Group. A randomized, controlled trial of the use of pulmonary-artery catheters in high-risk surgical patients.N Engl J Med. 2003 Jan 2; 348(1):5-14.
- Third European Consensus Conference in Intensive Care Medicine. Tissue hypoxia: how to detect, how to correct, how to prevent. Societé de Réanimation de Langue Française. No authors listed. The American Thoracic Society. European Society of Intensive Care. Medicine. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 1996 Nov; 154(5):1573-8.
- Wheeldon DR, Potter CDO, Oduro A, Wallwork J, Large SR. Transforming the "unacceptable" donor: outcomes from the adoption of a standardized donor management technique. J Heart Lung Transplant 1995; 14:734-42.
- Yokoyama Y, Cooper DKC, Sasaki H, Snow TR, Akutsu T, Zuhdi N. Donor-heart evaluation by monitoring the left ventricular pressure-volume relationship: clinical observations. J Heart Lung Transplant 1992; 11:685-92.
- Young JB, Naftel DC, Bourge RC, Kirklin JK, Clemson BS, Porter CB, Rodeheffer RJ. Matching the heart donor and heart transplant recipient. Clues for successful expansion of the donor pool: a multivariable, multi-institutional report. J Heart Lung Transplant 1994: 13:353-65.

# b. Hemodynamic Targets and Supports

Following the autonomic storm, a reduction in sympathetic flow results in a normotensive or hypotensive phase. This stage is characterized by impaired cardiac inotropy and chronotropy, impaired vascular tone and a reduced cardiac output (Pratschke et al. 1999). Clinical deterioration (progressive hypotension, oligo-anuria ± cardiac arrest) during the interval from brain death to procurement is common without aggressive intervention (Lagiewska et al. 1996).

#### **Preload**

Significant volume depletion is anticipated in brain-injured patients after neurological determination of death (NDD) due to fluid restriction and diuretics, hyperosmolar therapy, 3rd space losses, hemorrhage or diabetes insipidus. In addition, a low systemic vascular resistance (SVR) state may result in relative hypovolemia. In a Canadian study of 77 pediatric organ donors, 41 (53.2%) suffered from sustained hypotension and 35% deteriorated to cardiac arrest. This was more common in patients treated with inotropic agents in the presence of a low central venous pressure and in those without anti-diuretic hormone replacement, emphasizing the importance adequate restoration of intravascular volume (Finfer et al. 1996).

The optimal volume status of the brain dead patient is controversial and transplant-organ specific. Disparity exists between lung and kidney interests (dry lungs versus wet kidneys). In a study of crystalloid fluid management in 26 brain dead donors, a significant increase in the alveolar-arterial oxygen gradient was seen in those who achieved a central venous pressure (CVP) of 8–10 compared to those whose CVP was maintained at 4–6 mmHg (Pennefather et al. 1993). Some authors advocate maintaining a CVP of 10–12 mmHg to volume replete those patients in whom only abdominal organs are to be procured, a CVP < 8 mmHg for potential lung donors and a CVP of 8–10 mmHg if both thoracic and abdominal organs are to be harvested (Tuttle-Newhall et al. 2003).

# Systemic Afterload/Vascular Resistance and Vasoconstrictor Agents

The sympathectomy associated with brain death results in a low SVR that often requires the use of vasopressor agents. The concern over the use of alpha-agonists such as norepinephrine has arisen because of the fear of inducing central and peripheral vasoconstriction and subsequent ischemia in vascular beds supplying potentially transplantable organs. However, in studies of other causes of shock states with low SVR (septic patients), norepinephrine, as compared to dopamine, was demonstrated to increase mean perfusion pressures without adverse effects to renal and splanchnic blood flow (Marik et al. 1994; Martin et al. 1993).

#### **Vasopressin and Catecholamine Sparing in Brain Death**

Brain death and hypotension are often associated with vasopressin deficiency (Chen et al. 1999). Low-dose arginine vasopressin (AVP) infusions have been shown to improve hemodynamic stability and spare catecholamine use (Kinoshita et al. 1990; Chen et al. 1999). Prolonged hemodynamic stability can be maintained after brain death with low-dose AVP (1–2 units/hour), permitting a significant decrease in epinephrine and extended preservation of renal function (Yoshioka et al. 1986). In a rigorous randomized study of volume-resuscitated brain dead organ donors supported with dopamine, 300 μU/kg/min infusion of AVP significantly increased MAP

and SVR and spared dopamine use compared to further fluid loading (Pennefather et al. 1995). Pediatric donors given AVP ( $41 \pm 69 \text{ mU/kg/hr}$ ) respond by increasing MAP and weaning alphaagonists (norepinephrine, epinephrine, phenylephrine) without significant differences in the quality of kidneys, livers and hearts recovered (Katz et al. 2000).

Similar catecholamine-sparing effects of AVP have been demonstrated in septic shock patients with low SVR (Landry et al.1997; Malay et al. 1999; Holmes et al. 2001). Although it is suggested that doses of AVP exceeding 0.04 U/min (approx. 40 mU/kg/hr) may be associated with excessive vasoconstriction in sepsis (Holmes et al. 2001), brain dead donors requiring catecholamine vasopressors can respond to AVP infusion of 0.04 to 0.1 U/min (40 to 100 mU/kg/hr) by increasing mean arterial pressure (MAP) and sparing other vasopressors (Chen et al. 1999). No histologic evidence of cardiac damage is demonstrable at this dose (Kinoshita et al. 1990).

Although optimal dosing of AVP and its effects on organ procurement and graft survival are unclear, available literature suggests that the use of AVP at doses up to 0.04 U/min (2.4 U/hr, 40 mU/kg/hr) can be recommended to support the MAP and spare catecholamines in adult and pediatric brain dead organ donors. The variety of dosing units expressed in the literature are a source of confusion.

# **Dosing Ranges for Arginine Vasopressin (AVP)**

|                          | Diabetes Insipidus    | Cardiovascular Support in<br>Vasodilatory Shock |
|--------------------------|-----------------------|---|
| Adults 0.013–0.017 U/min |                       | ≤ 0.04 U/min                                    |
|                          | (0.8–1.0 U/hour)      | (≤ 2.4 U/hour)                                  |
|                          | (13–17 mU/kg/hour)    | (≤ 40 mU/kg/hour)                               |
|                          | (0.22-0.28 mU/kg/min) | (≤ 0.67 mU/kg/min)                              |
|                          |                       |   |
|                          |                       | < 0.067 U/min                                   |
|                          |                       | (hormonal cocktail recommendation)              |
| Pediatrics               | 0.008-0.25 mU/kg/min  | 0.1–2.0 mU/kg/min                               |
|                          | (0.5–15 mU/kg/hour    | (6.0–120 mU/kg/hour)                            |

# Clinical trials of low-dose vasopressin in vasodilatory shock states

Adapted from Holmes CL, Landry DW, Granton JT. Science Review: Vasopressin and the cardiovascular system part 2—Clinical physiology. Crit Care. 2004;8(1):15-23. Epub 2003 Jun 26.

| Reference   | Year | Trial                            | n  | Patients                                       | Findings                        |
|-------------|------|----------------------------------|----|--|---------------------------------|
| Landry      | 1997 | Case series                      | 5  | Septic shock                                   | A, B, C                         |
| Landry      | 1997 | Matched cohort                   | 19 | Septic shock                                   | A, B, D in septic group         |
|             |      |                                  | 12 | Cardiogenic shock                              |                                 |
| Malay       | 1999 | RCT                              | 10 | Septic shock – trauma                          | A, B                            |
| Patel       | 2000 | RCT                              | 24 | Septic shock                                   | A, B, C, D                      |
| Dunser      | 2001 | Retrospective                    | 60 | Septic and postcardiotomy shock                | A, B, CI                        |
| Tuseneyoshi | 2001 | Prospective, case-<br>controlled | 16 | Septic shock                                   | A, B, C                         |
| Argenziano  | 1998 | Retrospective case series        | 40 | Postbypass vasodilatory shock                  | A, B, D                         |
| Argenziano  | 1997 | RCT Placebo: NS                  | 10 | Vasodilatory shock post-<br>LVAD implant       | A, B in treatment arm; D in all |
| Argenziano  | 1999 | Case series                      | 20 | Vasodilatory shock post-<br>cardiac transplant | A, B                            |
| Rosenzweig  | 1999 | Case series                      | 11 | Pediatric – vasodilatory shock postbypass      | A, B, D                         |
| Morales     | 2000 | Retrospective case series        | 50 | Vasodilatory shock post-<br>LVAD implantation  | A, B                            |
| Dunser      | 2002 | Retrospective                    | 41 | Postcardiotomy shock                           | A, B                            |
| Chen        | 1999 | Case series                      | 10 | Organ donors with vasodilatory shock A, D      |                                 |
| Gold        | 2000 | Case series                      | 7  | Milrinone – hypotension                        | A, B, C                         |

Findings are classified as follows:

A = increase in BP CI = cardiac index

B = decrease or discontinuance of catecholamines LVAD = left ventricular assist device

C = increase in urine output NS = normal saline

D = low plasma vasopressin levels in subjects RCT = randomized controlled trial

# Contractility

The preferred choice of contractility agents in ICU practice varies according to individual centre. Traditionally, dopamine has been used as the initial inotrope of choice in the brain dead patient. However, no RCTs exist comparing the hemodynamic effects of dopamine to other inotropes or vasopressors and their influence on graft survival. Despite frequent recommendations on "renal dose" dopamine in transplant practice, there is now substantial scientific evidence that low-dose dopamine is ineffective for the prevention and treatment of acute renal failure and does not improve hepatosplanchnic circulation in adults and children (Bellomo et al. 2000; Debaveye et al. 2004; Prins et al. 2001).

Acronyms:

- Bellomo R, Chapman M, Finfer S, Hickling K, Myburgh J. Low-dose dopamine in patients with early renal dysfunction: a placebocontrolled randomised trial. Australian and New Zealand Intensive Care Society (ANZICS) Clinical Trials Group. Lancet. 2000 Dec 23-30; 356(9248):2139-43.
- Chen JM, Cullinane S, Spanier TB, Artrip JH, John R, Edwards NM, Oz MC, Landry DW. Vasopressin deficiency and pressor hypersensitivity in hemodynamically unstable organ donors. Circulation 1999; 100 (suppl II):II-246.
- Debaveye YA, Van den Berghe GH. Anesth Analg. Is there still a place for dopamine in the modern intensive care unit? 2004 Feb; 98(2):461-8.
- Finfer S, Bohn D, Colpitts D, Cox P, Fleming F, Barker G. Intensive care management of paediatric organ donors and its effect on post-transplant organ function. Intensive Care Med 1996; 22:1424-32.
- Holmes CL, Patel BM, Russell JA, Walley KR. Physiology of vasopressin relevant to management of septic shock. Chest 2001; 120:989-1002.
- Hunt SA, Baldwin J, Baumgartner W, Bricker JT, Costanzo MR, Miller L, Mudge G, O'Connell JB. Cardiovascular management of a potential heart donor: a statement from the Transplantation Committee of the American College of Cardiology. Crit Care Med 1996; 24:1599-601.
- Katz K, Lawler J, Wax J, O'Connor R, Nadkarni V. Vasopressin pressor effects in critically ill children during evaluation for brain death and organ recovery. Resuscitation 2000; 47:33-40.
- Kinoshita Y, Okamoto K, Yahata K, Yoshioka T, Sugimoto T, Kawaguchi N, Onishi S. Clinical and pathological changes of the heart in brain death maintained with vasopressin and epinephrine. Pathol Res Pract 1990; 186:173-9.
- Kinoshita Y, Yahata K, Yoshioka T, Onishi S, Sugimoto T. Long-term renal preservation after brain death maintained with vasopressin and epinephrine. Transplant Int 1990; 3:15-18.
- Lagiewska B, Pacholczyk M, Szostek M, Walaszewski J, Rowinski W. Hemodynamic and metabolic disturbances observed in brain dead organ donors. Transplant Proc 1996; 28:165-6.
- Landry DW, Levin HR, Gallant EM, Seo S, D'Alessandro D, Oz M, Oliver JA. Vasopressin pressor hypersensitivity in vasodilatory septic shock. Critical Care Med 1997; 25:1279-82.
- Malay MB, Ashton RC, Landry DW, Townsend RN. Low-dose vasopressin in the treatment of vasodilatory septic shock. The Journal of Trauma: Injury, Infection, and Critical Care 1999; 47:699-705.
- Marik PE, Mohedin M. The contrasting effects of dopamine and norepinephrine on systemic and splanchnic oxygen utilization in hyperdynamic sepsis. JAMA 1994; 272:1354-7.
- Martin C, Papazian L, Perrin G, Saux P, Gouin F. Norepinephrine or dopamine for the treatment of hyperdynamic septic shock? Chest 1993; 103:1826-31.
- Pennefather S, Bullock RE, Dark JH. The effect of fluid therapy on alveolar arterial oxygen gradient in brain-dead organ donors. Transplantation 1993; 56(6):1418-22.
- Pennefather SH, Bullock RE, Mantle D, Dark JH. Use of low dose arginine vasopressin to support brain-dead organ donors. Transplantation 1995; 59(1):58-62.
- Pratschke J, Wilhelm MJ, Kusaka M, Hancock WW, Tilney NL. Activation of proinflammatory genes in somatic organs as a consequence of brain death. Transplantation Proceedings 1999; 31:1003-5.
- Prins I, Plotz FB, Uiterwaal CS, van Vught HJ. Low-dose dopamine in neonatal and pediatric intensive care: a systematic review. Intensive Care Med. 2001 Jan; 27(1):206-10.
- Tuttle-Newhall JE, Collins BH, Kuo PC, Schroeder R. Organ donation and treatment of multi-organ donor. Curr Probl Surg 2003; 40(5):266-310.
- Yoshioka T, Sugimoto H, Uenishi M. Prolonged haemodynamic maintenance by the combined administration of vasopressin and epinephrine in brain death: a clinical study. Neurosurgery 1986; 18:565-7.

# 3. Glycemia and Nutrition

# **Recommendation 3.1: Glycemic Control**

Hyperglycemia is common in brain dead donors. It may be secondary to insulin resistance, as pancreatic function appears to be preserved (Masson et al. 1993), and aggravated by corticosteroid therapy andor dextrose-based fluid replacements used in diabetes insipidus. Glucose concentrations greater than 11 mmol/L were observed in 60% of donors in a Warsaw study irrespective of hemodynamic stability (Lagiewska et al. 1996). The hypothesis that tight glycemic control in the brain dead donor improves graft survival has not been tested. Insulin is variably and inconsistently considered as part of hormonal resuscitation cocktails. However, strict glucose control (4.4–6.1 mM) has been well demonstrated to improve survival, primarily by reducing septic deaths in populations of critically ill patients (Van den Berghe et al. 2001).

#### **Recommendation 3.2: Nutrition**

Hyperglycemia has been shown to be an independent risk factor for poor outcome after severe brain injury in children and adults (Cochran et al. 2003; Bruno et al. 2002; Rovlias et al. 2000). Dextrose infusions and nutrition are generally withheld in the acute ICU management after brain injury (Kelly 1994), a practice supported by animal models (Cherian et al. 1998). Malnutrition or depletion of cellular glycogen stores may be common during the phase of care leading to NDD (Singer et al. 2001).

The influence of donor nutrition on graft survival has been studied in several small animal studies but not formally in humans. In a rabbit and porcine model, improved liver transplant survival was shown from donors receiving enteral nutrition versus fasting donors (Boudjema et al. 1990). Similarly, a significant improvement in hepatic sinusoidal lining cell viability has been demonstrated in rats receiving liver grafts from donors receiving enteral feeding and intraperitoneal glucose prior to liver procurement. Glycogen protects the hepatic graft upon rewarming in rats (Wakiyama et al. 1997). Donor pigs provided with parenteral nutrition in the form of 20% glucose had reduced preservation-reperfusion injury to their livers related to Kupffer cell activation as compared to donor pigs who were provided with an enteral laboratory diet.

The importance of nutritional support in the human multi-organ donor, however, is not clear. Studies of donor-specific predictors of graft function following liver transplantation have identified a length of stay in the ICU of greater than 3 days as a risk factor. A contributing factor to this association may be the effect of starvation on the liver with depletion of glycogen stores. In a controlled prospective randomized study of 32 patients it was shown that an intraportal infusion of insulin (1 IU/kg/hr) and glucose (to maintain systemic glucose at 14 mmol/l) could reglycogenate the liver, increase glycogen utilization during cold and rewarming periods and improve certain outcome measures (including peak postoperative aspartate aminotransferase [AST]) (Cywes et al. 1992). However, the only human series of liver transplants that included donor nutritional status failed to identify an independent effect of donor nutrition on postoperative liver graft function (Gonzalez et al. 1994).

- Boudjema K, Lindell SL, Southard JH, Belzer FO. The effects of fasting on the quality of liver preservation by simple cold storage. Transplantation 1990; 50:943-8.
- Bruno A, Levine SR, Frankel MR, Brott TG, Lin Y, Tilley BC, Lyden PD, Broderick JP, Kwiatkowski TG, Fineberg SE; NINDS rt-PA Stroke Study Group. Admission glucose level and clinical outcomes in the NINDS rt-PA Stroke Trial. Neurology. 2002 Sep 10; 59(5):669-74.
- Cherian L, Goodman JC, Robertson CS. Effect of glucose administration on contusion volume after moderate cortical impact injury in rats. J Neurotrauma. 1998 Dec; 15(12):1059-66.
- Cochran A, Scaife ER, Hansen KW, Downey EC. Hyperglycemia and outcomes from pediatric traumatic brain injury. J Trauma. 2003 Dec; 55(6):1035-8.
- Cywes R, Greig PD, Morgan GR, Sanabria JR, Clavien PA, Harvey PR, Strasberg SM. Rapid donor liver nutritional enhancement in a large animal model. Hepatology. 1992; 16:1271-9.
- Gonzalez FX, Rimola A, Grande L, Antolin M, Garcia-Valdecasas JC, Fuster J, Lacy AM, Cugat E, Visa J, Rodes J. Predictive factors of early postoperative graft function in human liver transplantation. Hepatology 1994; 20:565-73.
- Kelly DF. Neurosurgical postoperative care. Neurosurg Clin North Am 1994; 5:789-810.
- Lagiewska B, Pacholczyk M, Szostek M, Walaszewski J, Rowinski W. Hemodynamic and metabolic disturbances observed in braindead organ donors. Transplant Proc 1996; 28:165-6.
- Masson F, Thicoipe M, Gin H, De Mascarel A, Angibeau RM, Favarel-Garrigues JF, Erny P. The endocrine pancreas in brain-dead donors. Transplantation 1993: 56(2):363-7.
- Rovlias A, Kotsou S. The influence of hyperglycemia on neurological outcome in patients with severe head injury. Neurosurgery. 2000 Feb; 46(2):335-42; discussion 342-3.
- Singer P, Cohen J, Cynober L. Effect of nutritional state of brain-dead organ donor on transplantation. Nutrition. 2001 Nov-Dec; 17(11-12):948-52.
- van den Berghe G, Wouters P, Weekers F, Verwaest C, Bruyninckx F, Schetz M, Vlasselaers D, Ferdinande P, Lauwers P, Bouillon R. Intensive insulin therapy in critically ill patients. N Engl J Med 2001; 345:1359-67.
- Wakiyama S, Yanaga K, Soejima Y, Nishizaki T, Sugimachi K. Significance of donor nutritional status for rewarming injury of the hepatic graft in rats. Eur Surg Res. 1997; 29(5):339-45.

# 4. Diabetes Insipidus and Hypernatremia

Dysfunction of the posterior pituitary in brain dead donors is common; anterior pituitary function is often preserved (Howlett et al.1989). Histologic observations of the pituitary gland demonstrate various degrees of edema, hemorrhage and tissue necrosis depending on the mechanism and site of traumatic or ischemic brain injury (Gramm et al. 1992). This is likely to be a result of compromised blood supply to the cell bodies arising in the deep supraventricular and paraventricular nuclei of the hypothalamus, whose neurons supply the posterior pituitary and regulate arginine vasopressin (AVP) secretion. Anterior pituitary function is often preserved, implying that some blood supply via the hypophyseal arteries, which arise extradurally, is reaching the median eminence of the hypothalamus (Howlett et al. 1989).

# **Diabetes insipidus**

Undetectable levels of antidiuretic hormone (ADH) have been noted in 75% of brain dead donors, and diabetes insipidus is present in up to 87% of brain dead donors (Tuttle-Newhall et al. 2003; Finfer et al. 1996; Howlett et al. 1989; Gramm et al. 1992; Sazontseva et al. 1991). Diabetes insipidus may commonly appear prior to the diagnosis of BD (Gramm et al. 1992) and is associated with hemodynamic instability and the compromise of transplantable organ function (Lagiewska et al. 1996; Finfer et al. 1996).

# Vasopressin

Vasopressin (known as arginine vasopressin or ADH) is the natural hormone released from the posterior pituitary and produces its physiological effects through three different receptors:  $V_1$ ,  $V_2$ , and  $V_3$  (Robinson 2003), as follows:

- V<sub>1</sub> receptors: vascular smooth muscle vasopressor effect
- V<sub>2</sub> receptors: renal collecting duct epithelia antidiuretic effect
  - vascular endothelial cells increase factor VIII production
- V<sub>3</sub> receptors are located on the anterior pituitary to stimulate adenocorticotropic hormone (ACTH) release in response to AVP and corticotrophin releasing hormone acting together.

Vasopressin has a half-life of approximately 15 minutes and is available intravenously (recommended), subcutaneously or intranasally.

# **Dosing Ranges for Arginine Vasopressin (AVP)**

|            | Diabetes Insipidus    | Cardiovascular Support in Vasodilatory<br>Shock |
|------------|-----------------------|---|
| Adults     | 0.013 – 0.017 U/min   | ≤ 0.04 U/min                                    |
|            | (0.8 – 1.0 U/hour)    | (≤ 2.4 U/hour)                                  |
|            | (13–17 mU/kg/hour)    | (≤ 40 mU/kg/hour)                               |
|            | (0.22-0.28 mU/kg/min) | (≤ 0.67 mU/kg/min)                              |
|            |                       | < 0.067 U/min                                   |
|            |                       | (hormonal cocktail recommendation)              |
| Pediatrics | 0.008-0.25 mU/kg/min  | 0.1–2.0 mU/kg/min                               |
|            | (0.5–15 mU/kg/hour    | (6.0–120 mU/kg/hour)                            |

DDAVP (known as analog 1-desamino-8-D-arginine vasopressin, or desmopressin) has a relatively pure antidiuretic effect; it is an analog of AVP with only 0.01 % of the vasopressor activity (Richardson et al. 1985). DDAVP is highly selective for the vasopressin V<sub>2</sub> receptor subtype found in the renal collecting duct with no significant vasopressor activity. The removal of the terminal amine increases the half-life of the parent hormone. DDAVP has multiple potential routes of administration (iv, IM, SC, intranasal, ETT) and corresponding variability of dose recommendations.

# **DDAVP and Diabetes Insipidus**

DDAVP is commonly used for the treatment of brain death–related diabetes insipidus without adverse effect on early or late graft function after renal transplantation (Ourahma et al. 1998). Its duration of action ranges from 6–20 hours and may be given at doses of 2–6 µg iv every 6–8 hours (Van Bakel, 1997). If DDAVP is administered, care should be taken not to administer it close to the time of organ procurement, given its long duration of action. Doses of 0.5–2.0 µg of DDAVP administered subcutaneously or intravenously every 6–8 hours are recommended for most patients with hypothalamic diabetes insipidus (Robinson et al. 2003). A randomized trial of 97 brain dead donors showed that DDAVP therapy had no adverse effect on early or late graft function after renal transplantation (Ourahma et al. 1998).

#### **AVP and Diabetes Insipidus**

Many authors have advocated the use of AVP for the treatment of diabetes insipidus in organ donors (Hunt et al. 1996; Van Bakel, 1997; Rosengard et al. 2002; Rosendale et al. 2002; Zaroff et al. 2002). In case series of pediatric and adult traumatic brain injury, doses of vasopressin between 0.25 and 2.7 mU/kg/hr have been used to successfully treat hypothalamic diabetes insipidus (Lugo et al.1997; Lee et al.1995; Lee et al. 1995; Chanson et al. 1987; Ralston et al.1990).

Doses between 0.5 and 15 U/hr of AVP have been advocated with concerns about high doses causing coronary, renal and splanchnic vasoconstriction, potentially jeopardizing cardiac, renal, pancreatic and hepatic function (Van Bakel, 1997). An early study of AVP use in brain dead donors suggested its use resulted in poor function of transplanted kidneys (Schneider et al. 1983). The safety and efficacy of a combination of DDAVP (for its antidiuretic effect) with AVP as a vasopressor on cardiovascular and laboratory endpoints has been described (Pennefather et al. 1995).

Although clinical trials of the optimal dosage of vasopressin in brain dead donors are lacking, based on a rigorous review of the limited use of vasopressin in sepsis and published series of traumatic brain injured and brain dead patients, a safe approach would be to limit the dose of vasopressin to 0.04 U/min (40 mU/kg/hr) (Holmes et al. 2001). This upper limit is within the recommendations of the Transplantation Committee of the American College of Cardiology, which advocates the use of vasopressin infusion at 0.8–1.0 U/hr (13–17 mU/kg/hr) to treat diabetes insipidus (Hunt et al. 1996).

#### **Serum Sodium**

Hypernatremia is frequently encountered, resulting from the preceding hyperosmolar therapy for initial brain injury or poorly controlled diabetes insipidus. Donor hypernatremia > 155 mmol/L at procurement has been shown to be independently associated with hepatic dysfunction or graft loss after transplantation (Gonzalez et al. 1994; Totsuka et al. 1999, Figueras et al. 1996, Avolio et al. 1991). A prospective study has demonstrated the benefit of correcting donor sodium (Na) ≤ 155 mmol/L with equivalent graft success compared to donors who were never hypernatremic (Totsuka et al. 1999). The mechanism of hepatic injury related to hypernatremia is unclear but may be related to the accumulation of idiogenic osmoles resulting in intracellular swelling after transplantation into the normonatremic recipient.

- Avolio AW, Agnes S, Magalini SC, Foco M, Castagneto M. Importance of donor blood chemistry data (AST, serum sodium) in predicting liver transplant outcome. Transplant Proc 1991; 23:2451-2.
- Chanson P, Jedynak CP, Dabrowski G, Rohan JE, Bouchama A, de Rohan-Chabot P, Loirat P. Ultralow doses of vasopressin in the management of diabetes insipidus. Crit Care Med 1987; 15:44-6.
- Figueras J, Busquets J, Grande L, Jaurrieta E, Perez-Ferreiroa J, Mir J, Margarit C, Lopez P, Vazquez J, Casanova D, Bernardos A, De-Vicente E, Parrilla P, Ramon JM, Bou R. The deleterious effect of donor high plasma sodium and extended preservation in liver transplantation. A multivariate analysis. Transplantation 1996; 61:410-3.
- Finfer S, Bohn D, Colpitts D, Cox P, Fleming F, Barker G. Intensive care management of paediatric organ donors and its effect on post-transplant organ function. Intensive Care Med 1996; 22:1424-32.
- Gonzalez FX, Rimola A, Grande L, Antolin M, Garcia-Valdecasas JC, Fuster J, Lacy AM, Cugat E, Visa J, Rodes J. Predictive factors of early postoperative graft function in human liver transplantation. Hepatology 1994; 20:565-73.
- Gramm H, Meinhold H, Bickel U, Zimmermann J, Von Hammerstein B, Keller F, Dennhardt R, Voigt K. Acute endocrine failure after brain death. Transplantation 1992; 54(5):851-7.
- Holmes CL, Patel BM, Russell JA, Walley KR. Physiology of vasopressin relevant to management of septic shock. Chest 2001; 120:989-1002.
- Howlett TA, Keogh AM, Perry L, Touzel R, Rees LH. Anterior and posterior pituitary function in brain-stem-dead donors. Transplantation 1989; 47:828-34.
- Hunt SA, Baldwin J, Baumgartner W, Bricker JT, Costanzo MR, Miller L, Mudge G, O'Connell JB. Cardiovascular management of a potential heart donor: a statement from the Transplantation Committee of the American College of Cardiology. Crit Care Med 1996; 24:1599-601.
- Lagiewska B, Pacholczyk M, Szostek M, Walaszewski J, Rowinski W. Hemodynamic and metabolic disturbances observed in braindead organ donors. Transplant Proc 1996; 28:165-6.
- Lee YJ, Shen EY, Huang FY, Kao HA, Shyur SD. Continuous infusion of vasopressin in comatose children with neurogenic diabetes insipidus. J Pediatr Endocrinol Metab 1995; 8:257-62.
- Lee YJ, Yang D, Shyur SD, Chiu NC. Neurogenic diabetes insipidus in a child with fatal Coxsackie virus B1 encephalitis. J Pediatr Endocrinol Metab 1995; 8:301-4.
- Lugo N, Silver P, Nimkoff L, Caronia C, Sagy M. Diagnosis and management algorithm of acute onset of central diabetes insipidus in critically ill children. J Pediatr Endocrinol Metab 1997; 10:633-9.
- Ourahma S, Guesde R, Leblanc I, Riou B, Goarin JP, Bitker MO, Coriat P. Administration of desmopressin in brain-dead donors does not modify renal function in kidney recipients. Transplantation Proceedings 1998; 30:2844.
- Pennefather SH, Bullock RE, Mantle D, Dark JH. Use of low dose arginine vasopressin to support brain-dead organ donors. Transplantation 1995; 59(1):58-62.
- Ralston C, Butt W. Continuous vasopressin replacement in diabetes insipidus. Arch Dis Child 1990; 65:896-7.
- Richardson DW, Robinson AG. Desmopressin. Ann Intern Med 1985; 103:228-39.
- Robinson AG, Verbalis JG. Posterior pituitary gland. In: Larsen PR, Kronenberg HM, Melmed S, Polonsky KS, editors. Williams Textbook of Endocrinology. 10th ed. Philadelphia: Saunders, 2003:281-329.
- Rosendale JD, Chabalewski FL, McBride MA, Garrity ER, Rosengard BR, Delmonico FL, Kauffman HM. Increased transplanted organs from the use of a standardized donor management protocol. Americal Journal of Transplantation 2002; 2:761-8.

- Rosengard BR, Feng S, Alfrey EJ, Zaroff JG, Emond JC, Henry ML, Garrity ER, Roberts JP, Wynn JJ, Metzger RA, Freeman RB, Port FK, Merion RM, Love RB, Busuttil RW, Delmonico FL. Report of the Crystal City meeting to maximize the use of organs recovered from the cadaver donor. Americal Journal of Transplantation 2002; 2:701-11.
- Sazontseva IE, Kozlov IA, Moisuc YG, Ermolenko AE, Afonin VV, Illnitskiy VV. Hormonal response to brain death. Transplantation Proceedings 1991; 23(5):2467.
- Totsuka E, Dodson F, Urakami A, Moras N, Ishii T, Lee MC, Gutierrez J, Gerardo M, Molmenti E, Fung JJ. Influence of high donor serum sodium levels on early postoperative graft function in human liver transplantation: effect of correction of donor hypernatremia. Liver Transpl Surg 1999; 5:421-8.
- Tuttle-Newhall JE, Collins BH, Kuo PC, Schroeder R. Organ donation and treatment of multi-organ donor. Curr Probl Surg 2003; 40(5):266-310.
- Van Bakel AB. The Cardiac Transplant donor: identification, assessment, and management. Heart Transplantation Symposium 1997; 314(3):152-63.
- Zaroff JG, Rosengard BR, Armstrong WF, Babcock WD, D'Alessandro A, Dec GW, Edwards NM, Higgins RS, Jeevanandum V, Kauffman M, Kirklin JK, Large SR, Marelli D, Peterson TS, Ring WS, Robbins RC, Russell SD, Taylor DO, Van Bakel A, Wallwork J, Young JB. Consensus conference report Maximizing use of organs recovered from the cadaver donor: cardiac recommendations. Circulation 2002; 106:836-41.

# 5. Combined Hormonal Therapy

# Recommendation 5.1: Thyroid Hormone, Vasopressin and Methylprednisolone

# **Thyroid Hormone**

The thyroid gland produces all circulating tetra-iodothyronine (T<sub>4</sub>) and 20% of circulating tri-iodothyronine (T<sub>3</sub>) (Sypniewski 1993). T<sub>3</sub> is the active form of the hormone, largely generated by peripheral conversion (deiodination) of T<sub>4</sub> to T<sub>3</sub>, a process that is inhibited during critical illness and after brain death. Low serum T<sub>3</sub> is common in hospitalized patients (Chopra 1997) and predicts mortality in advanced congestive heart failure (Hamilton et al. 1993). The low T<sub>3</sub>-low T<sub>4</sub> syndrome (a form of sick euthyroid state) is observed more frequently in critically ill patients and correlates with a poorer prognosis (Slag et al. 1981) but is generally left untreated due to lack of clear treatment efficacy in early studies (Chopra 1997).

Thyroid hormone increases cardiac output by improving contractility, chonotropy and decreasing systemic vascular resistance (Kacsoh 2000, Klein et al. 2001). The use of thyroid hormone therapy in brain dead donors appears to be largely based on experimental animal models and human case series (Howlett et al. 1989). Investigators describe variable levels of thyroid hormones after brain death and varying effects of thyroid hormone administration.

Thyroid-stimulating hormone (TSH), T<sub>4</sub> and T<sub>3</sub> levels were below normal in a majority of 22 brain dead donors (Sazontseva et al. 1991). Other studies have shown that these patients are suffering from "sick euthyroid syndrome" rather than TSH deficiency and do not require thyroid supplementation (Howlett et al. 1989). In the baboon model, T<sub>3</sub> levels become depleted after brain death and the resulting transition to anaerobic metabolism is reversed with T<sub>3</sub> replacement (Novitzky et al. 1988). In a comparative study in brain dead patients, T<sub>3</sub>, cortisol and insulin promoted aerobic metabolism, reduced the need for inotropic support and improved the rate of cardiac graft procurement (Novitzky et al. 1990; Novitzky et al. 1987). Other investigators were unable to demonstrate any improvement in echocardiographic function or organ retrieval rates with a similar hormone regimen (Roels et al. 2000). Serum free T<sub>3</sub> concentrations in organ donors may not correlate with hemodynamic stability (Gramm et al. 1992). T<sub>4</sub> infusion does not reduce vasopressor requirements in pediatric donors (Katz et al. 2000), but this may be related to impaired peripheral conversion to T<sub>3</sub>.

The strongest evidence supporting the use of thyroid hormone in organ donors comes from the UNOS database with a 46% reduced odds of death within 30 days and a 48% reduced odds of early cardiac graft dysfunction with the use of triple hormonal therapy (Rosendale et al. 2003). Benefit was also found in those donors receiving corticosteroids alone or in combination with  $T_3/L$ -thyroxine.

T<sub>3</sub> may attenuate ischemia-reperfusion injury in the heart through effects on high-energy phosphate metabolism and membrane stability (Becker et al. 2002; Port et al. 2002; Tullius et al. 2001). Results of studies using T<sub>3</sub> in patients undergoing coronary artery bypass grafting (CABG) have been conflicting, varying from beneficial (Novitzky et al. 1989, Mullis-Jansson et al. 1999) to no clinically significant effect (Teiger et al. 1993; Bennett-Guerrero et al. 1996).

Randomized clinical trials (RCTs) of thyroid hormone therapy in heart transplantation, donation, CABG, heart failure and pediatric cardiac surgery are summarized in tables 1–3.

In Canada, the enteral forms of  $T_3$  and  $T_4$  and parenteral  $T_4$  are all readily available and inexpensive (table 4). Parenteral  $T_3$  is not commercially available in Canada outside special access. The hospital pharmacist may also prepare parenteral  $T_3$  by dissolving L- $T_3$  in 0.1N NaOH (Weiss et al. 1998), but in-house sterility and stability testing is prudent.

Table 1. Summary of trials of DITPA (T<sub>3</sub> analog) use in congestive heart failure and iv T<sub>3</sub> in heart transplant recipients

| Author      | n  | Procedure  | Intervention                       | Outcome             | Treatment vs.<br>Control | p Value |
|-------------|----|--|------------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|---------|
|             |    |  |                                    | Atrial fibrillation | No difference            | NA      |
|             |    |  | 1.875mg/kg DITPA                   | CI                  | Improved                 | 0.04    |
| vr. 1:      | 19 | Congestive   | X 2 weeks                          | SVR                 | Decreased                | 0.02    |
| Morkin      | 19 | Heart Failure  | 2.75 / DITDA W                     | Inotropic support   | No difference            | NA      |
|             |    |  | 3.75mg/kg DITPA X<br>2 weeks       | Death               | No difference            | NA      |
|             |    |  |                                    | Length of stay      | Not assessed             | NA      |
|             |    | Heart  |                                    | Atrial fibrillation | Not reported             | N/A     |
|             |    | Transplant   |                                    | CI                  | Not reported             | N/A     |
|             |    | Donors   | 0.4 μg/kg bolus each               | SVR                 | Not reported             | N/A     |
|             |    | (poor pre-   | hour for a maximum dose: 1.2 µg/kg | Inotropic support   | Improved on T3           | N/A     |
|             |    | operative<br>function)   |                                    | Death               | 5 hearts declined        | N/A     |
| leevanandam | 74 |  |                                    | Length of stay      | not applicable           | N/A     |
| reevananuam | /4 | Heart  | No T <sub>3</sub> given            | Atrial fibrillation | Not reported             | N/A     |
|             |    | Transplant   |                                    | CI                  | Not reported             | N/A     |
|             |    | Donors   |                                    | SVR                 | Not reported             | N/A     |
|             |    | (good pre-   |                                    | Inotropic support   | No change                | N/A     |
|             |    | operative<br>function)   |                                    | Death               | No hearts declined       | N/A     |
|             |    |  |                                    | Length of stay      | Not applicable           | N/A     |
|             |    | Heart<br>Transplant<br>Recipients<br>(6 months<br>following<br>transplant) |                                    | Atrial fibrillation | Not assessed             | N/A     |
|             |    |  |                                    | CI                  | No difference            | N/R     |
|             |    |  | No T <sub>3</sub> given            | SVR                 | Not assessed             | N/A     |
| eevanandam  | 74 |  |                                    | Inotropic support   | Not applicable           | N/A     |
|             |    |  |                                    | Death               | No difference            | N/R     |
|             |    |  |                                    | Length of stay      | Not applicable           | N/A     |
|             |    |  |                                    | EF (%)              | No difference            | N/R     |
|             |    |  |                                    | Atrial fibrillation | Not assessed             | N/A     |
|             |    |  | 0.4 μg/kg before donor heart       | CI                  | Not assessed             | N/A     |
|             |    | Heart  | reperfusion                        | SVR                 | Not assessed             | N/A     |
| eevanandam  | 19 | Transplant   |                                    | Inotropic support   | Less required            | < 0.05  |
|             |    | Recipients   | 0.8 μg/kg/hr X 6                   | Death               | Not assessed             | N/A     |
|             |    |  | hours                              | Length of stay      | Not assessed             | N/A     |

Intervention is iv  $T_3$  unless otherwise indicated; NS = not statistically significant; NR = not reported; N/A = not assessed

Table 2. Summary of trials of iv T<sub>3</sub> use in coronary artery bypass

| Author          | n   | Procedure                   | Intervention  | Outcome  | Treatment vs<br>Control  | p Value                                       |
|-----------------|-----|-----------------------------|---|--|--|---|
| Mullis-Jansson  | 170 | Elective CABG               | 0.4 µg/kg bolus<br>and<br>0.1 µg/kg infusion<br>(6 hours)   | Atrial Fibrillation<br>CI<br>SVR<br>Inotropic support<br>Death<br>Length of stay | No difference<br>Improved<br>No difference<br>No difference<br>No difference<br>Not assessed       | 1.00<br>0.0001<br>0.21<br>0.43<br>0.23<br>N/A |
| Klemperer       | 142 | Elective CABG<br>EF < 40%   | 0.8 μg/kg bolus<br>and<br>0.113 μg/kg<br>infusion (6 hours)   | Atrial fibrillation<br>CI<br>SVR<br>Inotropic support<br>Death<br>Length of stay | No difference<br>Improved<br>Decreased<br>Not assessed<br>No difference<br>No difference           | NR<br>0.007<br>0.003<br>N/A<br>NR<br>NR       |
| Gudden          | 60  | Elective CABG<br>EF < 40%   | 0.8 μg/kg bolus<br>and<br>0.113 μg/kg<br>infusion (6 hours)   | Atrial fibrillation CI SVR Inotropic support Death Length of stay                | No difference<br>No difference<br>Decreased<br>Not assessed<br>No difference<br>No difference      | NR<br>NR<br>< 0.001<br>N/A<br>NR<br>NR        |
| Bennet-Guerrero | 211 | Elective CABG               | 0.8 μg/kg<br>and<br>0.12 μg/kg/hr for 6<br>hours  | Atrial fibrillation<br>CI<br>SVR<br>Inotropic support<br>Death<br>Length of stay | No difference<br>No difference<br>No difference<br>No difference<br>No difference<br>No difference | NR<br>NS<br>NS<br>NR<br>NR                    |
|                 | 24  | Elective CABG<br>(EF < 30%) | At CPB:<br>0.1 µg/kg bolus<br>At cross clamp<br>removal:<br>+5 mins: 0.075<br>µg/kg bolus<br>+ 4 hours 0.05<br>µg/kg bolus<br>+ 8 hours 0.05<br>µg/kg bolus | Atrial fibrillation<br>CI<br>SVR<br>Inotropic support<br>Death<br>Length of stay | Not assessed<br>No difference<br>No difference<br>Less required<br>No difference<br>Not assessed   | N/A<br>NS<br>NS<br>< 0.01<br>NS<br>N/A        |
| Novitzky        | 24  | Elective CABG<br>(EF > 40%) | At CPB 0.2 μg/kg bolus At cross clamp removal: +4 hours 0.15 μg/kg bolus +8 hours 0.1 μg/kg bolus +12 hours 0.05 μg/kg bolus +20 hours 0.05 μg/kg bolus     | Atrial aibrillation<br>CI<br>SVR<br>Inotropic support<br>Death<br>Length of stay | Not assessed<br>Improved<br>No difference<br>No difference<br>None<br>Not assessed                 | N/A<br>< 0.009<br>NS<br>NS<br>NS<br>NS        |

Intervention is iv  $T_3$  unless otherwise indicated; NS = not statistically significant; NR = not reported; N/A = not assessed

Table 3. Summary of trials of iv T<sub>3</sub> use in pediatric cardiac surgery

| Author     | n  | Age                    | Procedure                      | Intervention   | Outcome  | Treatment vs<br>Control  | p<br>Value                             |
|------------|----|------------------------|--------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Portman    | 14 | < 1 day                | VSD repair<br>or<br>Tetralogy  | 0.4 µg/kg iv bolus<br>pre CPB<br>and<br>0.4 µg/kg iv bolus<br>post CPB | Atrial fibrillation CI SVR Inotropic support Death Length of stay                | 1 reported case<br>Not assessed<br>Not assessed<br>No difference<br>Not assessed<br>Not assessed | NS<br>N/A<br>N/A<br>NS<br>N/A          |
| Bettendorf | 40 | 2 days<br>to<br>10 yrs | Varied<br>all requiring<br>CPB | 2 μg/kg iv bolus<br>POD<br>and<br>1 μg/kg iv bolus<br>daily POD1–POD12 | Atrial fibrillation<br>CI<br>SVR<br>Inotropic support<br>Death<br>Length of stay | Not assessed<br>Improved<br>Not assessed<br>less<br>none<br>shorter                              | N/A<br>0.004<br>N/A<br>NS<br>N/A<br>NS |

Intervention is iv  $T_3$  unless otherwise indicated; NS = not statistically significant; NR = notreported; N/A = not assessed

**Table 4. Thyroid hormone preparations** 

| Drug  | Brand Name<br>(Manufacturer)                       | Route | How Supplied   | Cost/day (\$CAD)  |
|---|--|-------|--|---|
| Tetra-  | Synthroid (Abbott)                                 | РО    | 25, 50, 75, 88, 100, 112, 125, 150, 175, 200, 300 mcg tabs | 0.06a   |
| iodothyronine<br>(levothyroxine, T <sub>4</sub> ) |  | iv    | 500 mcg/10mL<br>vial                                       | 30.76 <sup>b, f</sup>   |
|   | Eltroxin (GlaxoSmithKline)                         | РО    | 50, 100, 150, 200,<br>300 mcg tabs                         | 0.03ª   |
|   | Cytomel (Theramed)                                 | PO    | 5 and 25 mcg tabs  | 0.23 <sup>c</sup>   |
| Tri-iodothyronine (liothyronine, T <sub>3</sub> ) | Triostat (King) <sup>d</sup>                       | iv    | 10 mcg/ml vial   | 1966.44 (based on q8h–q6h dosing of a 10 μg dose) to 2621.91e |
|   | Hospital based conversion of enteral T3 into iv T3 | iv    | 25 μg/ml   | ~1.00   |

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Based on average maintenance dose of 150 mcg/day <sup>b</sup>Based on average maintenance dose of 100 mcg/day

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup>Based on average dose of 50 mcg/day

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>d</sup>Triostat is not commercially available in Canada and thus is only available from the United States through the Special Access Program of Health Canada (1-613-941-2108)

Based on average dose of 10 mcg iv q6h and a conversion rate of 0.7392 \$USD/\$CAD

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>f</sup>As of publication, iv thryoxine is now manufactured by American Pharmaceutical Partners

- Becker YT, Leverson GE, D'Alessandro AM, Sollinger HW, Becker BN. Diabetic kidneys can safely expand the donor pool. Transplantation 2002; 74:141-5.
- Bennett-Guerrero E, Jimenez JL, White WD, D'Amico EB, Baldwin BI, Schwinn DA. Cardiovascular effects of intravenous triiodothyronine in patients undergoing coronary artery bypass graft surgery. A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. JAMA 1996; 275:687-92.
- Chopra I. Euthyroid sick syndrome: Is it a misnomer? Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism 1997; 82(2):329.
- Gramm H, Meinhold H, Bickel U, Zimmermann J, Von Hammerstein B, Keller F, Dennhardt R, Voigt K. Acute endocrine failure after brain death. Transplantation 1992; 54(5):851-7.
- Hamilton M. Prevalence and clinical implications of abnormal thyroid hormone metabolism in advanced heart failure. Annals of Thoracic Surgery 1993; 56(1):S48.
- Howlett TA, Keogh AM, Perry L, Touzel R, Rees LH. Anterior and posterior pituitary function in brain-stem-dead donors. Transplantation 1989; 47:828-34.
- Hwang S, Moon DB, Lee SG, Park KM, Kim KH, Ahn CS, Lee YJ, Chu CW, Yang HS, Cho SH, Oh KB, Ha TY, Min PC. Safety of anti-hepatitis B core antibody-positive donors for living-donor liver transplantation. Transplantation 2003; 75:S45-8.
- Kacsoh B, In Endocrine Physiology: McGraw Hill 2000; 307-59.
- Katz K, Lawler J, Wax J, O'Connor R, Nadkarni V. Vasopressin pressor effects in critically ill children during evaluation for brain death and organ recovery. Resuscitation 2000; 47:33-40.
- Klein I, Ojamaak K. Thyroid hormone and the cardiovascular system. NEJM 2001; 344(7): 501.
- Mullis-Jansson SL, Argenziano M, Corwin S, Homma S, Weinberg AD, Williams M, Rose EA, Smith CR. A randomized double-blind study of the effect of triiodothyronine on cardiac function and morbidity after coronary bypass surgery. J Thorc Cardiovasc Surg 1999; 117:1128-35.
- Novitzky D, Cooper DKC, Barton CI, Greer A, Chaffin J, Grim J, Zuhdi N. Triiodothyronine as an inotropic agent after open heart surgery. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 1989; 98:972-8.
- Novitzky D, Cooper DKC, Chaffin JS, Greer AE, Debault LE, Zuhdi N. Improved cardiac allograft function following triiodothyronine therapy to both donor and recipient. Transplantation 1990; 49(2):311-6.
- Novitzky D, Cooper DKC, Morrell D, Isaacs S. Change from aerobic to anaerobic metabolism after brain death, and reversal following triiodothyronine therapy. Transplantation 1988; 45(1):32-6.
- Novitzky D, Cooper DKC, Reichart B. Hemodynamic and metabolic responses to hormonal therapy in brain-dead potential organ donors. Transplantation 1987; 43(2):852-4.
- Port FK, Bragg-Gresham JL, Metzger RA, Dykstra DM, Gillespie BW, Young EW, Delmonico FL, Wynn JJ, Merion RM, Wolfe RA, Held PJ. Donor characteristics associated with reduced graft survival: an approach to expanding the pool of kidney donors. Transplantation 2002; 74:1281-6.
- Roels L, Pirenne J, Delooz H, Lauwers P, Vandermeersch E. Effect of triiodothyronine replacement therapy on maintenance characteristics and organ availability in hemodynamically unstable donors. Transplantation Proceedings 2000; 32:1564-6.
- Rosendale JD, Kauffman HM, McBride MA, Chabalewski FL, Zaroff JG, Garrity ER, Delmonico FL, Rosengard BR. Hormonal resuscitation yields more transplanted hearts, with improved early function. Transplantation 2003; 75(8):1336-41.
- Sazontseva IE, Kozlov IA, Moisuc YG, Ermolenko AE, Afonin VV, Illnitskiy VV. Hormonal response to brain death. Transplantation Proceedings 1991; 23(5):2467.
- Slag MF, Morley JE, Elson MK, Crowson TW, Nuttall FQ, Shafer RB. Hypothyroxinemia in critically ill patients as a predictor of high mortality. JAMA 1981; 245:43-5.
- Sypniewski E. Comparative pharmacology of the thyroid hormones. Annals of Thoracic Surgery 1993;56:S2.
- Teiger E, Menasche P, Mansier P, Chevalier B, Lajeunie E, Bloch G, Piwnica A. Triiodothyronine therapy in open-heart surgery: from hope to disappointment. European Heart Journal 1993; 14:629-33.
- Tullius SG, Volk HD, Neuhaus P. Transplantation of organs from marginal donors. Transplantation 2001; 72:1341-9.
- Weiss RE, Refetoff S. In: Principles of Critical Care, 2nd ed. JB Hall, GA Schmidt and LDH Wood, eds. New York: McGraw-Hill, 1998:1207.

## **Recommendation 5.2: Corticosteroids and Lung Protection**

## **Corticosteroid Replacement**

Compared to the rise of serum cortisol in response to traumatic brain injury, 50% of brain dead donors appear relatively ACTH deficient as defined by a serum cortisol less than 400 nmol/L (Howlett et al. 1989). Consequently, there may be some justification for corticosteroid replacement in brain dead donors without evidence for benefit in terms of graft survival. In critically ill patients suffering from septic shock, serum cortisol levels in response to ACTH have been demonstrated to predict survival, and supplementing hydrocortisone and fludrocortisone has been demonstrated to improve survival in those patients deemed to be relatively adrenal insufficient (Annane et al. 2000; Annane et al. 2002). The use of corticosteroid replacement is recommended in fluid-resuscitated, catecholamine-resistant septic shock in children (Carcillo et al. 2002).

#### Immunomodulating Doses and the Lung

Brain death is associated with the induction of the inflammatory response (Avlonitis et al. 2003), and several publications have advocated the use of high-dose methylprednisolone in an effort to diminish inflammation thought to be present in donor lungs (Zaroff et al. 2002; Rosendale et al. 2003). The evidence for this is largely based on a single retrospective analysis of 118 consecutive lung donors administered a non-uniform protocol of methylprednisolone (mean 14.5 mg/kg) compared with 38 donors not receiving methylprednisolone and demonstrating a significant improvement in donor oxygenation and lung procurement rate (Follette et al. 1998). A recent analysis of the California Donor Network database demonstrated an independent effect of methylprednisolone on the successful procurement of lungs from the donor (McElhinney et al. 2001). Corticosteroids have also been successfully utilized in treating non-infected patients with acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) during the later phase of the disease (Meduri et al. 1998). Evidence that neurogenic pulmonary edema may be alleviated with glucocorticoids also suggests that an inflammatory component exists in this process (Minnear et al. 1982; Edmonds et al. 1986).

The UNOS database showed that heart graft survival benefit was also found in those donors receiving corticosteroids alone (Rosendale et al. 2003).

The optimal dose and time effect (if any) of corticosteroids in brain dead donors are unresolved.

- Avlonitis VS, Fisher AJ, Kirby JA, Dark JH. Pulmonary transplantation: the role of brain death in donor lung injury. Transplantation 2003; 75:1928-33.
- Edmonds HLJ, Cannon HCJ, Garretson HD, Dahlquist G. Effects of aerosolized methylprednisolone on experimental neurogenic pulmonary injury. Neurosurgery 1986; 19:36-40.
- Follette DM, Rudich SM, Babcock WD. Improved oxygenation and increased lung donor recovery with high-dose steroid administration after brain death. Journal of Heart and Lung Transplantation 1998; 17(4):423-9.
- McElhinney DB, Khan JH, Babcock WD, Hall TS. Thoracic organ donor characteristics associated with successful lung procurement. Clinical Transplantation 2001; 15:68-71
- Meduri GU, Headley AS, Golden E, Carson SJ, Umberger RA, Kelso T, Tolley EA. Effect of prolonged methylprednisolone therapy in unresolving acute respiratory distress syndrome: a randomized controlled trial. JAMA 1998; 280:159-65.
- Minnear FL, Connell RS. Prevention of aconitine-induced neurogenic pulmonary oedema with hypovolemia or methylprednisolone. J Trauma 1982; 22:121-8.

#### Medical Management to Optimize Donor Organ Potential: A Canadian Forum

- Rosendale JD, Kauffman HM, McBride MA, Chabalewski FL, Zaroff JG, Garrity ER, et al. Hormonal resuscitation yields more transplanted hearts, with improved early function. Transplantation 2003; 75(8):1336-41.
- Zaroff JG, Rosengard BR, Armstrong WF, Babcock WD, D'Alessandro A, Dec GW, Edwards NM, Higgins RS, Jeevanandum V, Kauffman M, Kirklin JK, Large SR, Marelli D, Peterson TS, Ring WS, Robbins RC, Russell SD, Taylor DO, Van Bakel A, Wallwork J, Young JB. Consensus conference report Maximizing use of organs recovered from the cadaver donor: cardiac recommendations. Circulation 2002; 106:836-41.

#### 6. Transfusion Thresholds

There are no rigorous studies that assess the role of red blood cell transfusions for short-term organ preservation during organ donor maintenance. Consensus conferences recommend maintaining a hemoglobin level  $\geq 100$  g/L or a hematocrit greater than 30% (Van Bakel et al. 1997; Zaroff et al. 2002). In contrast, current adult critical care practice advocates red blood cell transfusions at a hemoglobin < 70 g/L based on a large RCT showing equivalent survival at this restricted transfusion level versus a transfusion threshold of 100 g/L (Hebert et al. 1999). However, a subgroup analysis of 257 patients with severe ischemic heart disease randomized to the restricted transfusion group had lower (but not statistically different) absolute survival rates compared to patients in the liberal group (Hebert et al. 2001).

Large platelet transfusion requirements during liver transplant surgery are independently associated with more severe hepatic dysfunction after transplantation, but this is likely more indicative of a more technically complicated procedure and sicker recipient (Gonzalez et al. 1994).

There was no literature identified to guide platelet or plasma factor replacement in the donor.

- Gonzalez FX, Rimola A, Grande L, Antolin M, Garcia-Valdecasas JC, Fuster J, Lacy AM, Cugat E, Visa J, Rodes J. Predictive factors of early postoperative graft function in human liver transplantation. Hepatology 1994; 20:565-73.
- Hebert PC, Wells G, Blajchman MA, Marshall J, Martin C, Pagliarello G, Tweeddale M, Schweitzer I, Yetisir E. A multicenter, randomized controlled clinical trial of transfusion requirements in critical care. Transfusion Requirements in Critical Care investigators, Canadian Critical Care Trials Group. N Engl J Med 1999; 340:409-17.
- Hebert PC, Yetisir E, Martin C, Blajchman MA, Wells G, Marshall J, Tweeddale M, Pagliarello G, Schweitzer I. Is a low transfusion threshold safe in critically ill patients with cardiovascular diseases? Crit Care Med 2001; 29:227-34.

  Notes: CORPORATE NAME: Transfusion Requirements in Critical Care Investigators for the Canadian Critical Care Trials Group.
- Van Bakel AB. The Cardiac Transplant donor: identification, assessment, and management. Heart Transplantation Symposium 1997; 314(3):152-63.
- Zaroff JG, Rosengard BR, Armstrong WF, Babcock WD, D'Alessandro A, Dec GW, Edwards NM, Higgins RS, Jeevanandum V, Kauffman M, Kirklin JK, Large SR, Marelli D, Peterson TS, Ring WS, Robbins RC, Russell SD, Taylor DO, Van Bakel A, Wallwork J, Young JB. Consensus conference report maximizing use of organs recovered from the cadaver donor: cardiac recommendations. Circulation 2002; 106:836-41.

#### 7. Invasive Bacterial Infections

Isolated cases of transmission of solid organ infection from donor to recipient may have significant consequences including graft infection, sepsis and poor initial graft function in a liver recipient (Gottesdiener et al. 1989; Ciancio et al. 1996; Doig et al. 1975; Weber et al. 1979; Nery et al. 1997). However, while approximately 5% of all donors will be bacteremic at the time of procurement, the routine use of prophylactic broad spectrum antibiotics (vancomycin and ceftazidime/cefotaxime) in the recipient has prevented transmission of bacterial infection in all organ recipients from a total of 124 bacteremic donors (Lumbreras et al. 2001; Freeman et al. 1999). No differences in acute mortality or graft survival were found. Other authors have described the successful transplantation of organs from donors declared brain dead from meningitis caused by *Neisseria meningitides, Streptococcus pneumoniae* and *Escherichia coli* without transmission to the recipient (Lopez-Navidad et al. 1997).

Little or no literature exists on the use of prophylactic antibiotic therapy in the organ donor.

- Ciancio G, Burke G, Roth D, Zucker K, Tzakis A, Miller J. The significance of infections in donor organs. Transplant Immunology 1996; 12:3-11. Abstract.
- Doig RL, Boyd PJ, Eykyn S. Staphylococcus aureus transmitted in transplanted kidneys. Lancet 1975; 2:243-5.
- Freeman RB, Giatras I, Falagas ME, Supran S, O'Connor K, Bradley J, Snydman DR, Delmonico FL. Outcome of transplantation of organs procured from bacteremic donors. Transplantation 1999; 68:1107-11.
- Gottesdiener KM. Transplanted infections: donor-to-host transmission with the allograft. Ann Intern Med 1989; 110:1001-16.
- Lopez-Navidad A, Domingo P, Caballero F, Gonzalez C, Santiago C. Successful transplantation of organs retrieved from donors with bacterial meningitis. Transplantation 1997; 64:365-8.
- Lumbreras C, Sanz F, Gonzalez A, Perez G, Ramos MJ, Aguado JM, Lizasoain M, Andres A, Moreno E, Gomez MA, Noriega AR. Clinical significance of donor-unrecognized bacteremia in the outcome of solid-organ transplant recipients. Clin Infect Dis 2001; 33:722-6.
- Nery JR, Weppler D, Ketchum P, Olson L, Fragulidis GP, Khan MF, Webb MG, Miller J, Tzakis AG. Donor infection and primary nonfunction in liver transplantation. Transplant Proc 1997; 29:481-3.
- Weber TR, Freier DT, Turcotte JG. Transplantation of infected kidneys: clinical and experimental results. Transplantation 1979; 27:63-5.

#### 8. Heart

Donor risk factors: In a large cohort study, donor risk factors associated with increased 30-day heart transplant mortality were older age, smaller size, greater inotropic support, diabetes mellitus, longer ischemic time and diffuse wall motion abnormalities by echocardiography (Young et al. 1994). Advances in expanding the cardiac donor pool include donor age and coronary artery disease, myocardial function, left ventricular hypertrophy, donor-recipient size mismatch and cold ischemia time (Zaroff et al. 2002). Older age is a risk factor for coronary artery disease development in the recipient (McGiffin et al. 1995) and has been associated with variable survival ranging from normal (Potapov et al. 1999) to reduced (Tenderich et al. 1998). Transplants from older donors (age > 63 years) may have increased cardiac morbidity (infarction, arrhythmias, coronary vasculopathy) without differences in ejection fraction after 1 year or survival (Potapov et al. 1999). Higher transplant mortality risk is reported from male donors (Tenderich et al. 1998). The use of hearts with mild left ventricular hypertrophy ( $\leq 13$ mm by echocardiography) have been recommended, particularly if cold ischemia time is short (Zaroff et al. 2002). High-risk donors (e.g., age > 40 years, systemic infection, ischemic time > 5h, dopamine > 10 μg/kg/min or epinephrine > 5 μg/kg/min) have 76% 12-month survival rates (Sweeney et al. 1990).

Coronary angiography is often performed on cardiac allograft donors, particularly if they are of older age (over 40 years), require high inotropic support or have other risk factors for coronary artery disease such as diabetes mellitus (McGiffin et al. 1995; Young et al. 1994). The cardiac working group at the 2001 Crystal City Consensus Conference recommended that coronary angiography should be performed in male donors > 45 years, female donors > 50 years or history of cocaine abuse or  $\ge$  3 risk factors for coronary artery disease (hypertension, diabetes, smoking) (Zaroff et al. 2002).

Donor cardiac troponins: The value of donor cardiac troponin I and T has also been studied in relationship to early cardiac graft failure (Grant et al. 1994; Potapov et al. 2001). Changes in catecholamine levels resulting in an increase in peripheral resistance may result in a sudden increase in myocardial work and oxygen consumption leading to myocardial ischemia/infarction and subsequent elevation of cardiac troponin I and T. This had been well documented in massive subarachnoid hemorrhage (Macmillan et al. 2002). In a case series, brain dead cardiac donors with cardiac troponin I values > 3.1 ng/mL were found to have diffuse subendocardial myocytolysis and coagulative necrosis, and 5/8 of these hearts were diagnosed as having graft failure after transplantation (Grant et al. 1994). Myocardial band creatine kinase (CK MB) values were not associated with cardiac troponin I levels. In a larger study of 126 consecutive brain dead donors, the odds ratio for the development of acute graft failure after heart transplantation was 42.7 for donors with cardiac troponin  $I > 1.6 \mu g/L$  and 56.9 for donors with cardiac troponin  $T > 0.1 \mu g/L$  (Potapov et al. 2001). Higher donor troponin T levels are associated with more frequent requirement of epinephrine in corresponding heart transplant recipients within 24 hours of transplantation (Anderson et al. 1994). Higher cardiac allograft rejection rates have also been associated with high donor troponin levels (Vijay et al. 1998).

- Anderson JR, Hossein-Nia M, Brown P, Holt DW, Murday A. Donor cardiac troponin-t predicts subsequent inotrope requirements following cardiac transplantation. Transplantation 1994; 58(9):1056-7.
- Grant J, Canter CE, Spray TL, Landt YS, Jeffrey E, Ladenson JH, Jaffe AS. Elevated donor cardiac troponin I: A marker of acute graft failure in infant heart recipients. Circulation 1994; 90 (6):2618-21.
- Macmillan CSA, Grant IS, Andrews PJD. Pulmonary and cardiac sequelae of subarachnoid haemorrhage: time for active management? Intensive Care Med 2002; 28:1012-23.
- McGiffin DC, Savunen T, Kirklin JK, Naftel DC, Bourge RC, Paine TD, White-Williams C, Sisto T, Early L. A multivariable analysis of pretransplantation risk factors for disease development and morbid events. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 1995; 109:1081-9.
- Potapov E, Ivanitskaia E, Loebe M, Mumlckel M, Mumller C, Sodian R, Meyer R, Hetzer R. Value of cardiac troponin I and T for selection of heart donors and as predictors of early graft failure. Transplantation 2001; 71(10):1394-400.
- Potapov EV, Loebe M, Hubler M, Musci M, Hummel M, Weng Y, et al. Medium-term results of heart transplantation using donors over 63 years of age. Transplantation 1999; 68:1834-8.
- Sweeney MS, Lammermeier DE, Frazier OH, Burnett CM, Haupt HM, Duncan JM. Extension of donor criteria in cardiac transplantation: surgical risk versus supply-side economics. Ann Thora Surg 1990; 50:7-11.
- Tenderich G, Koerner MM, Stuettgen B, Arusoglu L, Bairaktaris A, Hornik L, Wlost S, Mirow N, Minami K, Koerfer R. Extended donor criteria: hemodynamic follow-up of heart transplant recipients receiving a cardiac allograft from donors > or = 60 years of age. Transplantation 1998; 66:1109-13.
- Vijay P, Scavo VA, Morelock RJ, Sharp TG, Brown JW. Donor cardiac troponin T: a marker to predict heart transplant rejection. Ann Thorac Surg 1998; 66:1934-9.
- Young JB, Naftel DC, Bourge RC, Kirklin JK, Clemson BS, Porter CB, Rodheffer RJ, Kenzora JL. Matching the heart donor and heart transplant recipient. Clues for successful expansion of the donor pool: A multivariable, multiinstitutional report. J Heart Lung Transplant 1994; 13:353-65.
- Zaroff JG, Rosengard BR, Armstrong WF, Babcock WD, D'Alessandro A, Dec GW, Edwards NM, Higgins RS, Jeevanandum V, Kauffman M, Kirklin JK, Large SR, Marelli D, Peterson TS, Ring WS, Robbins RC, Russell SD, Taylor DO, Van Bakel A, Wallwork J, Young JB. Consensus conference report Maximizing use of organs recovered from the cadaver donor: cardiac recommendations. Circulation 2002; 106:836-41.

# 9. Lungs

# a. Bronchoscopy, Bronchopulmonary Infections and Antimicrobial Therapy

The consensus of expert opinion supports the use of bronchoscopy for the purposes of examining the tracheobronchial tree for abnormalities and collecting microbiological specimens (Rosengard et al. 2002; Rosendale et al. 2002; Davis et al. 1995). Pathological studies of lungs unsuitable for donation have indicated that bronchopneumonia, diffuse alveolar damage, and diffuse lung consolidation are the three most common reasons for being deemed unsuitable (Aziz et al. 2002).

Between 76% and 97% of bronchoalveolar lavages (BALs) will grow at least one organism (Dowling et al. 1992; Low et al. 1995). The most commonly identified organisms included *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Enterobacter*, and in 43% of transplants, similar organisms were isolated from recipient bronchoscopy. Pulmonary infection in the graft recipient results in significantly lower survival compared with recipients who do not develop early graft infection (Zenati et al. 1990). Recipients with donor BAL cultures positive for either gram positive or gram negative bacteria had longer mean mechanical ventilation times and inferior 6-month to 4-year survival than those with negative bacterial BAL cultures (Avlonitis et al. 2003).

The <u>etiology of donor death</u> is not associated with lung transplant mortality (Christie 2003) but may influence the type of organisms found on BAL and subsequent graft infection risk. Trauma donors (versus intracerebral hemorrhage) may be at higher risk for aspiration and for intubation under less sterile field conditions and were generally ventilated longer (> 48 hours). Although no differences were found in P/F ratios or incidence of culture-positive BALs, gram negative enteric bacilli are found more commonly in trauma donors and associated with 30-day recipient mortality from gram negative pneumonia with the same organisms (Waller et al. 1995).

At present, no guidelines exist for the <u>empiric use of antibiotics</u> in donors in the absence of evidence for bronchopneumonia. Some authors have recommended that empiric therapy be initiated and modified based on the results of bronchoscopy (Low et al. 1995). If pulmonary infection is suspected, the use of antibiotics to cover both *Staphylococcus aureus* and enteric gram-negative bacilli should be considered, although there is insufficient evidence in the literature to firmly support this recommendation. In a canine model of brain death and lung transplant, the use of aerosolized and iv antibiotics combined, but not either alone, prevented pneumonia in lung recipients (Dowling et al. 1992).

#### Medical Management to Optimize Donor Organ Potential: A Canadian Forum

- Avlonitis VS, Krause A, Luzzi L, Powell H, Phillips J, Corris PA, et al. Bacterial colonization of the donor lower airways is a predictor of poor outcome in lung transplantation. European Journal of Cardio-thoracic Surgery 2003; 24:601-7.
- Aziz T, El-Gamel A, Saad RAG, Migliore M, Campbell CS, Yonan NA. Pulmonary vein gas analysis for assessing donor lung function. Ann Thorac Surg 2002; 73:1559-605.
- Davis RD, Pasque MK. Pulmonary transplantation. Annals of Surgery 1995; 221(1):14-28.
- Dowling RD, Zenati M, Yousem SA, Pasculle AW, Kormos RL, Armitage JA, Griffith BP, Hardesty RL. Donor-transmitted pneumonia in experimental lung allogafts. Successful prevention with donor antibiotic therapy. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 1992; 103:767-72.
- Low DE, Kaiser LR, Haydock DA, Trulock E, Cooper JD. The donor lung: infectious and pathologic factors affecting outcome in lung transplantation. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 1995; 109:1263-4.
- Rosendale JD, Chabalewski FL, McBride MA, Garrity ER, Rosengard BR, Delmonico FL, Kauffman HM. Increased transplanted organs from the use of a standardized donor management protocol. Americal Journal of Transplantation 2002; 2:761-8.
- Rosengard BR, Feng S, Alfrey EJ, Zaroff JG, Emond JC, Henry ML, Garrity ER, Roberts JP, Wynn JJ, Metzger RA, Freeman RB, Port FK, Merion RM, Love RB, Busuttil RW, Delmonico FL. Report of the crystal city meeting to maximize the use of organs recovered from the cadaver donor. Americal Journal of Transplantation 2002; 2:701-11.
- Waller DA, Thompson AM, Wrightson WN, Gould FK, Corris PA, Hilton CJ, Forty J, Dark JH. Does the mode of donor death influence the early outcome of lung transplantation? A review of lung transplantation from donors involved in major trauma. Journal of Heart and Lung Transplantation 1995; 14(2):318-21.
- Zenati M, Dowling RD, Dummer JS, Paradis IL, Arena VC, Armitage JM, Kormos RL, Hardesty RL, Griffith BP. Influence of the donor lung on development of early infections in lung transplant recipients. J Heart Transplant 1990; 9:502-9.

# b. Donor Lung Injury, Oxygenation and Ventilator Strategies

Ideal lung donor (Orens et al. 2003)

- Age < 55
- ABO compatibility
- Clear chest radiograph
- $PaO_2 > 300$  on  $FiO_2 = 1.0$ , positive end expiratory pressure (PEEP) 5 cm  $H_2O$
- Tobacco history < 20 pack-years
- Absence of chest trauma
- No evidence of aspiration or sepsis
- No prior cardiopulmonary surgery
- Sputum gram stain: absence of organisms
- Absence of purulent secretions at bronchoscopy.

Etiologies of donor-related lung injury and dysfunction may include neurogenic pulmonary edema, aspiration, pulmonary contusion, bronchopulmonary infection, alveolar-capillary inflammation and diffuse alveolar damage. Pathological studies of lungs unsuitable for donation have indicated that bronchopneumonia, diffuse alveolar damage and diffuse lung consolidation are the three most common reasons for being deemed unsuitable (Aziz et al. 2002).

Acute pulmonary allograft failure is usually associated with inadequate lung preservation, ischemia-reperfusion injury and cellular rejection (Jahania et al. 2000). One-year survival for patients with primary lung allograft failure is 40% as compared with a 69% one-year survival for patients without graft failure (Jahania et al. 2000; Ware et al. 2002). The syndrome of primary pulmonary graft failure has pathological features of acute lung injury (ALI) and occurs in 12% to 50% of transplanted patients (Christie et al. 1998; Christie et al. 2003; Thabut et al. 2002).

<u>Traditional oxygenation criteria</u> used as a threshold in the acceptance of donor lungs include a donor  $PaO_2 > 300$  mmHg on  $FiO_2$  of 100% and PEEP of 5 cm  $H_2O$  (Sundaresan et al. 1995; Davis et al. 1995). In a study of crystalloid fluid management in 26 brain dead donors, a significant increase in the alveolar-arterial oxygen gradient was seen in those who achieved a central venous pressure (CVP) of 8–10 versus those whose CVP was maintained at 4–6 mmHg (Pennefather et al. 1993).

Improving the current criteria for donor selection: Physiological, microbiological and histological evaluation of rejected lungs from the California transplant registry show 41% of rejected lungs were judged suitable for transplantation based on pulmonary edema, intact alveolar fluid clearance and histology (Ware et al. 2002). In a case series of 15 brain dead patients, lung grafts that did not meet the usual criteria for transplantation were found to have higher dynamic and static elastance measurements than donor lungs that met standard transplantation criteria (Labrousse et al. 1996). Investigators have challenged donor PaO<sub>2</sub> criteria by arguing that many physiological donor factors influence peripheral arterial PaO<sub>2</sub> independent of isolated individual lung function (Aziz et al. 2002). Despite poor global oxygenation, parenchymal abnormalities isolated to one lung may not preclude procurement of the contralateral lung (Puskas et al. 1992). The outcomes of 49 marginal donors (i.e., failing to meet one or more of the ideal criteria) showed no significant difference in duration of post-transplant mechanical ventilation or P/F ratio compared to ideal donors (Sundaresan et al. 1995).

Alveolar recruitment and ventilation strategy: Prolonged ventilation in the supine position results in loss of alveolar expansion and microatelectasis. In an experimental rat model, donor lungs develop microatelectasis despite PEEP and a relatively short ventilatory period before organ procurement (Tasker et al. 1995). Prevention of alveolar collapse enhances post mortem preservation of pulmonary grafts in a rabbit model (Van Raemdonck et al. 1998). Recruitment maneuvers in the form of high sustained PEEP for short durations may be a useful adjunct to the lung-protective ventilatory strategies used to prevent alveolar stress and collapse in ARDS/ALI (Moran et al. 2003). Lung donors failing traditional oxygenation criteria (P/F < 300) respond to aggressive bronchial toilet using bronchoscopy, physiotherapy, increasing tidal volume and increasing PEEP with improvements in P/F ratio > 300. Lungs were subsequently transplanted without differences in ICU length of stay or 30-day mortality compared to recipients of ideal donors (Gabbay et al. 1999).

High PEEP and pressure-limited ventilator strategies to minimize tidal volumes and plateau pressures offer clear survival advantage in ARDS (ARDS Net 2000; Eichacker et al. 2002). While there is histological similarity between the diffuse alveolar damage seen in rejected donor lungs and ARDS, there are no studies comparing ventilator strategies in organ donors.

- Aziz T, El-Gamel A, Saad RAG, Migliore M, Campbell CS, Yonan NA. Pulmonary vein gas analysis for assessing donor lung function. Ann Thorac Surg 2002; 73:1559-605.
- Christie JD, Bavaria JE, Palevski HI, Litzky L, Blumenthal NP, Kaiser LR, Kotloff RM. Primary graft failure following lung transplantation. Chest 1998; 114:51-60.
- Christie JD, Kotloff RM, Pochettino A, Arcasoy SM, Rosengard BR, Landis JR, Kimmel SE. Clinical risk factors for primary graft failure following lung transplantation. Chest 2003; 124:1232-41.
- Davis RD, Pasque MK. Pulmonary transplantation. Annals of Surgery 1995; 221(1):14-28.
- Eichacker PQ, Gerstenberger EP, Banks S, Cui X, Natanson C. Meta-analysis of acute lung injury and acute respiratory distress syndrome trials testing low tidal volumes. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2002; 166:1510-4.
- Jahania MS, Mullett TW, Sanchez JA, Narayan P, Lasley RD, Mentzer RM. Acute allograft failure in thoracic organ transplantation. J Card Surg 2000; 15:122-8.
- Labrousse L, Sztark F, Kays C, Thicoipe M, Lassie P, Couraud L, Marthan R. Improvement of brain-dead lung assessment based on the mechanical properties of the respiratory system. Transplantation Proceedings 1996; 28(1):354.
- Moran I, Zavala E, Fernandez R, Blanch L, Mancebo J. Recruitment manoeuvres in acute lung injury/acute respiratory distress syndrome. Eur Respir J Suppl 2003; 42:37s-42s.
- Orens JB, Boehler A, de Perrot M, Estenne M, Glanville AR, Keshavjee S, Kotloff R, Morton J, Studer SM, Van Raemdonck D, Waddel T, Snell GI. Pulmonary Council, International Society for Heart and Lung Transplantation. A review of lung transplant donor acceptability criteria. J Heart Lung Transplant 2003; 22:1183-200.
- Pennefather S, Bullock RE, Dark JH. The effect of fluid therapy on alveolar arterial oxygen gradient in brain-dead organ donors. Transplantation 1993; 56(6):1418-22.
- Puskas JD, Winton TL, Miller JD, Scavuzzo M, Patterson GA. Unilateral donor lung function does not preclude successful contralateral single lung transplantation. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 1992; 103:1015-7.
- Sundaresan S, Semenkovich J, Ochoa L, Richardson G, Trulock EP, Cooper JD, Patterson GA. Successful outcome of lung transplantation is not compromised by the use of marginal donor lungs. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 1995; 109:1075-80.
- Taskar V, John J, Evander E, Wollmer P, Robertson B, Johnson B. Healthy lungs tolerate repetitive collapse and reopening during short periods of mechanical ventilation. Acta Anaesthesiol Scand 1995; 39:370-6
- Thabut G, Vinatier I, Stern J-B, Leseche G, Loirat P, Fournier M, Mal H. Primary graft failure following lung transplantation. Predictive factors of mortality. Chest 2002; 121:1876-82
- The Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome Network. Ventilation with lower tidal volumes as compared with traditional tidal volumes for acute lung injury and the acute respiratory distress syndrome. N Engl J Med 2000; 348:1986-94.
- Van Raemdonck DEM, Jannis NCP, De Leyn PRJ, Flameng WJ, Lerut TE. Alveolar expansion itself but not continuous oxygen supply enhances postmortem preservation of pulmonary grafts. European Journal of Cardio-thoracic Surgery 1998; 13:431-41.
- Ware R, Fang X, Wang Y, Sakuma T, Hall TS, Matthay MA. Lung edema clearance: 20 years of progress Selected Contribution: mechanisms that may stimulate the resolution of alveolar edema in the transplanted human lung. J Appl Physiol 2002; 93:1869-74.

#### 10. Liver

#### An "ideal" liver donor

- Age 1–45 years
- Normal liver biochemistry
- Normal blood gases and blood pressure (BP)
- No cardiopulmonary arrest and no vasopressors, or dopamine  $\leq 5 \mu g/kg/min$
- Normal serum sodium (Na) and creatinine
- Length of ICU stay < 3 days
- Body Mass Index < 28
- Predicted cold ischemia time (CIT) < 12 hours
- No evidence of sepsis
- 1-desamino-D-arginine vasopressin (DDAVP), not vasopressin, for diabetes insipidus
- Identical blood grouping
- Hepatitis B and C virus (HBV and HCV) negative.

Primary liver dysfunction occurring in the first week is attributable to preoperative or intraoperative variables. Primary dysfunction (PDF) is subdivided into primary nonfunction (PNF = death or retransplantation within 7 days) and initial poor function (IPF = AST > 2000 IU/L and PT > 16 on postoperative days 2 to 7). In animal models, brain death has a detrimental role on hepatic dysfunction related to immune activation and independent of hemodynamic instability (van der Hoeven et al. 2000) and magnified by longer ischemic times (van der Hoeven et al. 2001). The sinusoidal lining cells (SLC) of the liver are particularly vulnerable to the effects of preservation-reperfusion injury, the extent of which depends on the duration of cold ischemia rather than reperfusion. Cold preservation causes the SLC to become edematous and detach into the sinusoidal lumen (Clavien et al. 1992).

Donor risk factors: Reduced size livers, older donor age (> 49 years), moderate to severe fatty changes in the donor liver biopsy and prolonged preservation times (> 18 hrs) are associated with a higher risk of PDF (Ploeg et al. 1993). Poor graft function has also been associated with a prolonged stay in the ICU (> 3days) and elevated bilirubin (Greig et al. 1990). In the European cohort, cold ischemic time > 16 hours was an independent risk factor for long-term graft failure (Porte et al. 1998). Longer total ischemia time and large platelet transfusion during surgery are independently associated with more severe hepatic dysfunction after transplantation (Gonzalez et al. 1994). Large platelet requirements during surgery may be indicative of a more technically complicated procedure, sicker recipient or poorer quality graft with subsequently greater sequestration of platelets within the donor liver. In a large Spanish study, extended cold ischemia time > 12 hrs was independently associated with biliary complications and donor hypernatremia was independently associated with death or retransplantation at 30 days (Figueras et al. 1996).

<u>Donor liver age</u>: Early UNOS data from 1987 to 1990 reported a survival difference of < 10% from donors aged 45–55 years compared to those 15–45 years (Alexander et al. 1991). A Canadian study showed that grafts from older adult donors or younger pediatric donors had poorer transplant function (Greig et al. 1990). However, several studies have demonstrated equivalent liver transplant outcomes from donors > 50 years as long as no additional risk factors exist (excessive steatosis or extended cold ischemic time) (Oh et al. 2000; Wall et al. 1990; Karatzas et al. 1997; Briceno et al. 1997). Shorter graft survival is reported from donors > 70

years of age (Busquets et al. 2001; Cuende et al. 2002). Of note, recipients of older livers were themselves significantly older and suffered from a higher incidence of hepatocellular carcinoma and hepatitis C viral infection (Cuende et al. 2002). The combined effects of older donor age and prolonged cold ischemia time interact to produce worse outcomes (Busuttil et al. 2003).

Older donor age in adult transplantation and very young age in pediatric transplantation, moderate to severe steatosis on liver biopsy, prolonged cold ischemia time (> 12–18 hours) and donor hypernatremia (Na > 155 mmol/L) appear to be the most robust predictors of PNF and IPF related to the donor.

While some authors recommend routine donor liver biopsies in all liver donors in an effort to decrease the rate of IPF and PNF (Strasberg et al. 1994; Busuttil et al. 2003), the use of a biopsy in the decision making of liver suitability has generally been restricted to evaluating the amount of steatosis or in the presence of active hepatitis C in the appropriate risk groups.

#### References

- Alexander JW, Vaughn WK, Carey MA. The use of marginal donors for organ transplantation: the older and younger donors. Transplant Proc 1991; 23:905-9.
- Avolio AW, Agnes S, Magalini SC, Foco M, Castagneto M. Importance of donor blood chemistry data (AST, serum sodium) in predicting liver transplant outcome. Transplant Proc 1991; 23:2451-2.
- Briceno J, Lopez-Cillero P, Rufian S, Diaz-Iglesias C, Solorzano G, Padillo J, De la Mata M, Pera C. Impact of marginal quality donors on the outcome of liver transplantation. Transplant Proc 1997; 29:477-80.
- Brokelman W, Stel AL, Ploeg RJ. Risk factors for primary dysfunction after liver transplantation in the University of Wisconsin solution era. Transplant Proc 1999; 31:2087-90.
- Busquets J, Xiol X, Figueras J, Jaurrieta E, Torras J, Ramos E, Rafecas A, Fabregat J, Lama C, Ibanez L, Llado L, Ramon JM. The impact of donor age on liver transplantation: influence of donor age on early liver function and on subsequent patient and graft survival. Transplantation 2001; 71:1765-71.
- Busuttil RW, Tanaka K. The utility of marginal donors in liver transplantation. Liver Transpl 2003; 9:651-63.
- Clavien PA, Harvey PR, Strasberg SM. Preservation and reperfusion injuries in liver allografts. An overview and synthesis of current studies. Transplantation 1992; 53:957-78.
- Cuende N, Grande L, Sanjuan F, Cuervas-Mons V. Liver transplant with organs from elderly donors: Spanish experience with more than 300 liver donors over 70 years of age. Transplantation 2002; 73:1360.
- Figueras J, Busquets J, Grande L, Jaurrieta E, Perez-Ferreiroa J, Mir J, Margarit C, Lopez P, Vazquez J, Casanova D, Bernardos A, De-Vicente E, Parrilla P, Ramon JM, Bou R. The deleterious effect of donor high plasma sodium and extended preservation in liver transplantation. A multivariate analysis. Transplantation 1996; 61:410-3.
- Gonzalez FX, Rimola A, Grande L, Antolin M, Garcia-Valdecasas JC, Fuster J, Lacy AM, Cugat E, Visa J, Rodes J. Predictive factors of early postoperative graft function in human liver transplantation. Hepatology 1994; 20:565-73.
- Greig PD, Forster J, Superina RA, Strasberg SM, Mohamed M, Blendis LM, Taylor BR, Levy GA, Langer B. Donor-specific factors predict graft function following liver transplantation. Transplant Proc 1990; 22:2072-3.
- Karatzas T, Olson L, Ciancio G, Burke GW 3rd, Spires G, Cravero L, Buss-Henry S, Waters JD, Lykaki-Karatzas E, Demirbas A, Tsaroucha A, Miller J, Tzakis AG. Expanded liver donor age over 60 years for hepatic transplantation. Transplant Proc 1997; 29:2830-1.
- Oh CK, Sanfey HA, Pelletier SJ, Sawyer RG, McCullough CS, Pruett TL. Implication of advanced donor age on the outcome of liver transplantation. Clin Transplant 2000; 14:386-90.
- Ploeg RJ, D'Alessandro AM, Knechtle SJ, Stegall MD, Pirsch JD, Hoffmann RM, Sasaki T, Sollinger HW, Belzer FO, Kalayoglu M. Risk factors for primary dysfunction after liver transplantation—a multivariate analysis. Transplantation 1993; 55:807-13.
- Porte RJ, Ploeg RJ, Hansen B, van Bockel JH, Thorogood J, Persijn GG, Terpstra OT. Long-term graft survival after liver transplantation in the UW era: late effects of cold ischemia and primary dysfunction. European Multicentre Study Group. Transpl Int 1998; 11 Suppl 1:S164-7.
- Strasberg SM, Howard TK, Molmenti EP, Hertl M. Selecting the donor liver: risk factors for poor function after orthotopic liver transplantation. Hepatology 1994; 20:829-38.
- Totsuka E, Dodson F, Urakami A, Moras N, Ishii T, Lee MC, Gutierrez J, Gerardo M, Molmenti E, Fung JJ. Influence of high donor serum sodium levels on early postoperative graft function in human liver transplantation: effect of correction of donor hypernatremia. Liver Transpl Surg 1999; 5:421-8.

- van der Hoeven JA, Lindell S, van Schilfgaarde R, Molema G, Ter Horst GJ, Southard JH, Ploeg RJ. Donor brain death reduces survival after transplantation in rat livers preserved for 20 hr. Transplantation 2001; 72:1632-6.
- van der Hoeven JA, Ter Horst GJ, Molema G, de Vos P, Girbes AR, Postema F, Freund RL, Wiersema J, van Schilfgaarde R, Ploeg RJ. Effects of brain death and hemodynamic status on function and immunologic activation of the potential donor liver in the rat. Ann Surg 2000; 232:804-13.
- Wall WJ, Mimeault R, Grant DR, Bloch M. The use of older donor livers for hepatic transplantation. Transplantation 1990; 49:377-81.

# 11. Kidney

### **Donor Risk Factors Predicting Kidney Allograft Dysfunction**

Donor hemodynamic instability is correlated with post-transplant acute tubular necrosis in adults (Lagiewska et al. 1996; Troppmann et al. 1995; Walaszewski et al. 1991; Szostek et al. 1997) and children (Finfer et al. 1996). Reduced graft survival or acute tubular necrosis may occur in organs retrieved from donors receiving high-dose dopamine (> 10 μg/kg/min); these effects may be limited to donors who are hypotensive (mean arterial pressure [MAP] < 80 mmHg) at the time of organ retrieval (Walaszewski et al. 1991). Hemodynamic resuscitation may improve outcome as donor use of dopamine andor noradrenaline is independently associated with a lower risk of acute rejection (Schnuelle et al. 1999) and a lower rate of delayed graft function (Schnuelle et al. 2004). A single study has linked longer duration of brain death (time from declaration of brain death to onset of cold ischemia) to improved initial graft function and graft survival, suggesting that the time taken to optimize donor cardiovascular status may reduce ischemic injury (Kunzendorf et al. 2002).

Delayed renal allograft function has been associated with the development of rejection in epidemiological studies (Troppmann et al. 1995). Brain death—related <u>inflammatory activation</u> may be related to early immune-mediated tissue injury (Tullius et al. 2001). Delayed graft function also predicts the development of adverse events such as decreased graft survival, decreased recipient survival and increased allograft nephropathy (Melk et al. 2002).

#### **Cold Ischemia Time**

In an analysis of the Collaborative Transplant Study database of kidney transplants, cold ischemic preservation time > 12 hours resulted in progressively worsening recipient graft survival, particularly once the cold ischemia time (CIT) was ≥ 48 hours (Opelz 1998). Other analyses have suggested that CIT is predictive of poorer graft survival (Port et al. 2002) or function (Troppmann et al. 1995) if it was > 24 hours. Preservation incorporating pulsatile perfusion may reduce the incidence of delayed graft function (reviewed by Wight et al. 2003); currently its use is largely restricted to higher risk settings of older donor age or non-heart-beating donation.

## **Donor Age**

Age  $\geq$  40 or  $\leq$  10 years is independently associated with risk for graft failure (Port et al. 2002; Wigmore et al. 1999). Older kidneys have a higher incidence of renovascular or parenchymal injury (Wigmore et al. 1999). In a living donor study, donor age > 60 years was the single most important risk factor for long-term graft failure (Toma et al. 2001).

Donor renal insufficiency has been identified as a risk factor for both delayed graft function and poorer long-term graft survival (Port et al. 2002). Controversy exists as to the most predictive serum creatinine, although most analyses have used terminal serum creatinine or calculated

creatinine clearance. A calculated creatinine clearance using the Cockcroft-Gault formula (using ideal body weight) correlated well with inulin clearance in a small cohort of critically ill patients and was superior to either 30-minute or 24-hour measured creatinine clearance (Zarowitz et al. 1993); newer formulas to estimate glomerular filtration rate have not been evaluated in this patient population.

Donor characteristics that were independently associated with graft failure risk were creatinine > 133 μmol/L, history of hypertension independent of duration and cerebrovascular accident (CVA) as the cause of donor death (Port et al. 2002). Although traumatic (versus cerebrovascular) etiology of brain death is associated with improved renal graft survival, this association may be confounded by the lack of adjustment for the presence of atherosclerotic disease in older donors with CVAs (Ojo et al. 2001). Traumatic brain injury is associated with an increased risk of acute rejection (Schnuelle et al. 1999). Diabetes mellitus is not independently associated with graft failure (Port et al. 2002). Kidneys from type 2 diabetic donors have increased risk of renal dysfunction but have improved 5-year patient and graft survival and no difference in graft rejection rates (Becker et al. 2002).

## **Expanded Kidney Donor Criteria**

The potential kidney donor pool may be expanded by considering donors > 60 years of age, CVAs as an etiology of death, history of hypertension or diabetes mellitus, degree of glomerulosclerosis > 15 %, anatomic abnormalities (e.g., > 1 renal artery), serum creatinine > 133  $\mu$ mol/L (> 1.5 mg/dL) or creatinine clearance < 60–90 ml/min (Port et al. 2002; Carter et al. 2000; Sola et al. 2002; Becker et al. 2002; Ojo et al. 2001; Tullius et al. 2001). Combination risk factors (older age with hypertension, creatinine > 133  $\mu$ mol/L or CVA) decrease graft survival rates by approximately 4–6% at 1 year and 8–11% at 3 years (Port et al. 2002). Transplantation of marginal kidneys (defined as donors > 55 years, a > 10 year history of hypertension, diabetes mellitus > 10 years' duration, non-heart-beating donors and cold preservation time > 36 hours) results in 5-year graft and patient survival rates of 53% and 74% compared with 67% and 80% for recipients of ideal donor kidneys. The average increase in life expectancy advantage for recipients of marginal donor kidneys compared to those waiting for a kidney transplant was five years (Ojo et al. 2001). Dual kidney transplantation into a single recipient is a good alternative from older donors in the presence of glomerulosclerosis (Andres et al. 2000; Lu et al. 2000; Dietl et al. 2000).

#### **Contrast Nephropathy**

If coronary angiography is performed, the use of N-acetylcysteine with hydration both before and after the angiographic procedure has been demonstrated to reduce the risk of developing contrast nephropathy in patients with chronic renal insufficiency in several studies (Tepel et al. 2000; Efrati et al. 2003; Shyu et al. 2002; Briguori et al. 2002; Diaz-Sandoval et al. 2002; Kay et al. 2003). N-acetylcysteine is thought to exert its beneficial effect against oxidative stress. Collectively, these and other studies have been analysed in a recent meta-analysis where the administration of N-acetylcysteine and hydration was found to reduce the relative risk of contrast nephropathy by 56% (Birck et al. 2003). Although the population of patients in these studies were not organ donors, the use of acetylcysteine may have a beneficial effect in preserving the renal function of marginal kidney donors such as those with age > 60, with a terminal creatinine

clearance < 90 ml/L or with a history of diabetes or hypertension. The majority of studies have used a dose of N-acetylcysteine of 600 mg orally every 12 hours for two doses before and 2 doses after angiography; however, other authors have used a dose of 1 gram of acetylcysteine twice daily 24 hours before and after coronary angiography with 0.45% normal saline hydration (Tepel et al. 2000; Efrati et al. 2003). There is limited experience with other dosing protocols that may be more feasible in the management of a deceased donor given relatively short timelines. Durham et al. administered N-acetylcysteine 1200 mg orally 1 hour before angiography and 3 hours after angiography, however failed to see an impact of N-acetylcysteine (Durham et al. 2002). In contrast, Baker et al. used iv dosing with 150 mg/kg in 500 ml of normal saline given over 30 minutes immediately prior to contrast administration followed by 50 mg/kg in 500 ml of normal saline infused over 4 hours; in this study there was a statistically significant reduction in the incidence of contrast nephropathy from 21% to 5% (Baker et al. 2003).

#### References

- Andres A, Morales JM, Herrero JC, Praga M, Morales E, Hernandez E, Ortuno T, Rodicio JL, Martinez MA, Usera G, Diaz R, Polo G, Aguirre F, Leiva O. Double versus single renal allografts from aged donors. Transplantation 2000; 69:2060-6.
- Baker CS, Wragg A, Kumar S, De Palma R, Baker LR, Knight CJ. A rapid protocol for the prevention of contrast-induced renal dysfunction; The RAPID study. J Am Coll Cardiol 2003; 41:2114-8.
- Becker YT, Leverson GE, D'Alessandro AM, Sollinger HW, Becker BN. Diabetic kidneys can safely expand the donor pool. Transplantation 2002; 74:141-5.
- Birck R, Krzossok S, Markowetz F, Schnulle P, van der Woude FJ, Braun C. Acetylcysteine for prevention of contrast nephropathy: meta-analysis. Lancet 2003; 362:598-603.
- Briguori C, Manganelli F, Scarpato P, Elia PP, Golia B, Riviezzo G, Scarpato P, Focaccio A, Librera M, Bonizzoni E, Ricciardelli B. Acetylcysteine and contrast agent-associated nephrotoxicity. J Am Coll Cardiol 2002; 40:298-303.
- Carter JT, Lee CM, Weinstein RJ, Lu AD, Dafoe DC, Alfrey EJ. Evaluation of the older cadaveric kidney donor: the impact of donor hypertension and creatinine clearance on graft performance and survival. Transplantation 2000; 70:765-71.
- Diaz-Sandoval LJ, Kosowsky BD, Losordo DW. Acetylcysteine to prevent angiography-related renal tissue injury (the APART trial). Am J Cardiol 2002; 89:356-8.
- Dietl KH, Wolters H, Marschall B, Senninger N, Heidenreich S. Cadaveric "two-in-one" kidney transplantation from marginal donors: experience of 26 cases after 3 years. Transplantation 2000; 70:790-4.
- Durham JD, Caputo C, Dokko J, Zaharakis T, Pahlavan M, Keltz J, Dutka P, Marzo K, Maesaka JK, Fishbane S. A randomized controlled trial of N-acetylcysteine to prevent contrast nephropathy in cardiac angiography. Kid Int 2002; 62:2202-7.
- Efrati S, Dishy V, Averbukh M, Blatt A, Krakover R, Weisgarten J, Morrow JD, Stein MC, Golik A. The effect of N-acetylcysteine on renal function, nitric oxide, and oxidative stress after angiography. Kidney Int 2003; 64:2182-7.
- Finfer S, Bohn D, Colpitts D, Cox P, Fleming F, Barker G. Intensive care management of paediatric organ donors and its effect on post-transplant organ function. Intensive Care Med 1996; 22:1424-32.
- Kay J, Chow WH, Chan TM, Lo SK, Kwok OH, Yip A, Fan K, Lee CH, Lam WF. Acetylcysteine for prevention of acute deterioration of renal function following elective coronary angiography and intervention: a randomized controlled trial. JAMA 2003; 289:553-8.
- Kunzendorf U, Hohenstein B, Oberbarnscheid M, Muller E, Renders L, Schott GE, Offermann G. Duration of donor brain death and its influence on kidney graft function. Am J Transplantation 2002; 2:282-94.
- Lagiewska B, Pacholczyk M, Szostek M, Walaszewski J, Rowinski W. Hemodynamic and metabolic disturbances observed in braindead organ donors. Transplant Proc 1996; 28:165-6.
- Lu AD, Carter JT, Weinstein RJ, Stratta RJ, Taylor RJ, Bowers VD, Ratner LE, Chavin KD, Johnson LB, Kuo PC, Cole EH, Dafoe DC, Alfrey EJ. Outcome in recipients of dual kidney transplants: an analysis of the dual registry patients. Transplantation 2000; 69:281-5.
- Melk A, Gourishankar S, Halloran P. Long-term effects of nonimmune tissue injury in renal transplantation. Curr Opin Organ Transplant 2002; 7:171-7.
- Ojo AO, Hanson JA, Meier-Kriesche H, Okechukwu CN, Wolfe RA, Leichtman AB, Agodoa LY, Kaplan B, Port FK. Survival in recipients of marginal cadaveric donor kidneys compared with other recipients and wait-listed transplant candidates. J Am Soc Nephrol 2001; 12:589-97.
- Opelz G. Cadaver kidney graft outcome in relation to ischemia time and HLA match. Collaborative Transplant Study. Transplant Proc 1998; 30:4294-6.

- Port FK, Bragg-Gresham JL, Metzger RA, Dykstra DM, Gillespie BW, Young EW, Delmonico FL, Wynn JJ, Merion RM, Wolfe RA, Held PJ. Donor characteristics associated with reduced graft survival: an approach to expanding the pool of kidney donors. Transplantation 2002; 74:1281-6.
- Schnuelle P, Lorenz D, Mueller A, Trede M, Van Der Woude FJ. Donor catecholamine use reduces acute allograft rejection and improves graft survival after cadaveric renal transplantation. Kidney Int 1999; 56:738-46.
- Schnuelle P, Yard BA, Braun C, Dominguez-Fernandez E, Schaub M, Birck R, Sturm J, Post S, van der Woude FJ. Impact of donor dopamine on immediate graft function after kidney transplantation. Am J Transplantation 2004; 4:419-26.
- Shyu KG, Cheng JJ, Kuan P. Acetylcysteine protects against acute renal damage in patients with abnormal renal function undergoing a coronary procedure. J Am Coll Cardiol 2002; 40:1383-8.
- Sola R, Guirado L, Diaz JM, Lopez-Navidad A, Caballero F, Gich I. Elderly donor kidney grafts into young recipients: results at 5 years. Transplantation 2002; 73:1673-5.
- Szostek M, Gaciong Z, Danielelewicz R, Lagiewska B, Pacholczyk M, Chmura A, Laskowski I, Walaszewski J, Rowinski W. Influence of thyroid function in brain stem death donors on kidney allograft function. Transplantation Proceedings 1997; 29:3354-6.
- Tepel M, van der Giet M, Schwarzfeld C, Laufer U, Liermann D, Zidek W. Prevention of radiographic-contrast-agent-induced reductions in renal function by acetylcysteine. N Engl J Med 2000; 343:180-4.
- Toma H, Tanabe K, Tokumoto T, Shimizu T, Shimmura H. Time-dependent risk factors influencing the long-term outcome in living renal allografts. Transplantation 2001; 72(5):940-7.
- Troppmann C, Gillingham KJ, Benedetti E, Almond S, Gruessner RWG, Najarian JS, Matas AJ. Delayed graft function, acute rejection, and outcome after cadaver renal transplantation. Transplantation 1995; 59(7):962-8.
- Tullius SG, Volk HD, Neuhaus P. Transplantation of organs from marginal donors. Transplantation 2001; 72:1341-9.
- Walaszewski J, Rowinski W, Pacholczyk M, Lagiewska B, Cajzner S, Chmura A, et al. Multiple risk factor analysis of delayed graft function (ATN) after cadaveric transplantation: positive effect of lidocaine donor pretreatment. Transplant Proc 1991; 23:2475-6.
- Wight JP, Chilcott JB, Holmes MW, Brewer N. Pulsatile machine perfusion vs. cold storage of kidneys for transplantation: a rapid and systemic review. Clin Transplant 2003; 17:293-307.
- Wigmore SJ, Seeney FM, Pleass HC, Praseedom RK, Forsythe JL. Kidney damage during organ retrieval: data from UK National Transplant Database. Kidney Advisory Group. Lancet 1999; 354:1143-6.
- Zarowitz RS, Peterson BJ, Dumler F. Predictability of creatinine clearance estimates in critically ill patients. Crit Care Med 1993; 21:1487-95.

# 12. Optimal Time of Organ Procurement and Decisions Regarding Transplantability

For renal allografts, a longer duration of donor brain death does not worsen short-term or long-term renal graft function, and a longer duration of the admission to neurological determination of death (NDD) to procurement intervals may improve graft survival. A trend to poorer kidney graft survival has been observed when organs from donors after CVA (compared to trauma) were procured between 24 and 59 hours after admission and may be the result of higher inflammatory activity during this time (Muruve et al. 2001). In a large cohort study of 1106 renal transplant recipients, a strong inverse association was found between the NDD to procurement interval and the incidence of primary renal graft dysfunction (Kunzendorf et al. 2002). Temporal trends in creatinine may be helpful.

Although liver allograft dysfunction has been associated with prolonged ICU stay (Greig et al. 1990; Brokelman et al. 1999), this was supported by univariate analysis but did not hold true by multivariate analysis (Brokelman et al. 1999). In a cohort of 323 orthotopic liver transplants (OLT), longer donor hospitalization was not found to be associated with primary liver graft dysfunction by a multivariate analysis (Ploeg et al. 1993). A period of time may be needed to determine the trend of an elevated AST or ALT. The generally accepted upper limit of 250–350 may be exceeded if the levels are falling rapidly (e.g., following a hypotensive episode with resuscitation).

The available literature on the timing of organ procurement for lung transplantation is limited and conflicting. Although the California Transplant Donor Network from 1995 to 1997 demonstrated an association between longer time to donor network referral and a reduced chance of lung procurement, an Australian centre has advocated delaying organ procurement until marginal donor lungs have been optimized with aggressive bronchial toilet using bronchoscopy, physiotherapy, increasing tidal volume and increasing positive end expiratory pressure (PEEP) (Gabbay et al. 1999; McElhinney et al. 2001).

Myocardial injury related to primary brain injury or intracranial hypertension is a neurally mediated process and may be potentially reversible with time and treatment (Tung et al. 2004).

#### References

- Gabbay E, Williams TJ, Griffiths AP, Macfarlane LM, Kotsimbos TC, Esmore DS, Snell GI. Maximizing the utilization of donor organs offered for lung transplantation. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 1999; 160:265-71.
- Kunzendorf U, Hohensstein B, Oberbarnscheid M, Muller E, Reners L, Schott GE, Offerman G. Duration of donor brain death and its influence on kidney graft function. American Journal of Transplantation 2002; 2:292-4.McElhinney DB, Khan JH, Babcock WD, Hall TS. Thoracic organ donor characteristics associated with successful lung procurement. Clinical Transplantation 2001; 15:68-71.
- Muruve NA, Helling TS, Luger AM, Martinez J, Nelson PW, Pierce GE, Ross G, Shield CF, Warady BA, Aeder MI, Bryan CF. Effect of donor brain-death duration on graft outcome. Transplantation Proceedings 2001; 33:2980-1.
- Parmley W, Zaroff J.Stroke. Predictors of neurocardiogenic injury after subarachnoid hemorrhage.2004 Feb; 35(2):548-51. Epub 2004
- Ploeg RJ, D'Alessandro AM, Knechtle SJ, Stegall MD, Pirsch JD, Hoffmann RM, Sasaki T, Sollinger HW, Belzer FO, Kalayoglu M. Risk factors for primary dysfunction after liver transplantation--a multivariate analysis. Transplantation 1993; 55:807-13.
- Tung P, Kopelnik A, Banki N, Ong K, Ko N, Lawton MT, Gress D, Drew B, Foster E, Parmley W, Zaroff J.Stroke. Predictors of neurocardiogenic injury after subarachnoid hemorrhage.2004 Feb; 35(2):548-51. Epub 2004 Jan 22.

# **Appendix #2: Key Terms and Acronyms**

Ab antibody

ABG arterial blood gas ABO blood grouping

ABP arterial blood pressure

ACTH adrenocorticotropic hormone

ADH antidiuretic hormone ALI acute lung injury

ALT alanine aminotransferase (liver enzyme)
ARDS acute respiratory distress syndrome

AST aspartate aminotransferase (liver enzyme)

AVP arginine vasopressin
BAL bronchoalveolar lavage

BP blood pressure BUN blood urea nitrogen

CABG coronary artery bypass grafting

CCDT Canadian Council for Donation and Transplantation

CI cardiac index CIT cold ischemia time

CIHR Canadian Institutes of Health Research

cmH<sub>2</sub>0 centimeters of water pressure

CMV cytomegalovirus

CVA cerebrovascular accident CVP central venous pressure

DDAVP 1-desamino-8-D-arginine vasopressin; desmopressin

EF ejection fraction EKG electrocardiogram ETT endotracheal tube

FiO<sub>2</sub> fraction of inspired oxygen FRG Forum Recommendations Group

HBcAb hepatitis B virus antibody HBsAG hepatitis B surface antigen

HBV hepatitis B virus HCV hepatitis C virus Hgb hemoglobin

ICP intracranial pressure
ICU intensive care unit
IM intramuscular

INO inhaled nitric oxide

#### Medical Management to Optimize Donor Organ Potential: A Canadian Forum

INR international normalized ratio IPF initial poor function (liver)

IV intravenous

KT knowledge transfer

LKT logistics and knowledge transfer

LV left ventricular

LVAD left ventricular assist device

MAP mean arterial pressure

MEMODOP Medical Management to Optimize Donor Organ Potential

mmHg millimeters of mercury MVO<sub>2</sub> mixed venous oxygen

NDD neurological determination of death

NS normal saline

OLT orthotopic liver transplant OPO organ procurement organization

P/F PaO<sub>2</sub>/FiO<sub>2</sub> ratio

PaO<sub>2</sub> partial pressure of arterial oxygen

PAC pulmonary artery catheter

PCWP pulmonary capillary wedge pressure

PDF primary dysfunction (liver)
PEEP positive end expiratory pressure

pH measure of the relative acidity or alkalinity of a solution

PICU pediatric intensive care unit PIP peak inspiratory pressure PNF primary nonfunction (liver) PO<sub>2</sub> partial pressure of oxygen

PRG Pediatric Recommendations Group

PRN as needed

PT prothrombin time

PTT partial thromboplastin time RCT randomized controlled trial

SC subcutaneous

SLC sinusoidal lining cells (liver)

SVC superior vena cava

SVR systemic vascular resistance

T<sub>3</sub> thyroid hormone (tri-iodothyronine)
T<sub>4</sub> thyroid hormone (tetra-iodothyronine)

TSH thyroid-stimulating hormone

UNOS United Network for Organ Sharing

# **Appendix #3: Participants**

Kim Badovinac

Canadian Organ Replacement Registry

Consultant

Canadian Institute for Health Information

Toronto, ON

Andrew Baker

Canadian Critical Care Society

Canadian Anesthesiologists' Society

Trillium Gift of Life Network

Medical Director

Trauma & Neurosurgery Intensive Care Unit

St. Michael's Hospital

University of Toronto

Toronto, ON

Dana Baran

Canadian Society of Transplantation

Medical Director, Quebec-Transplant

Transplant Nephrology

McGill University Health Centre

Montreal, PO

Jeffrey Barkun

Canadian Society of Transplantation

Liver Transplantation

McGill University Health Centre

McGill University

Montreal, PQ

Bill Barrable

British Columbia Transplant Society & Research Institute

Provincial Executive Director

Vancouver, BC

Stephen Beed

Canadian Critical Care Society

Canadian Anesthesiologists' Association

Director of Critical Care

QE II Health Sciences Centre

Dalhousie University

Halifax, NS

Mary Bennett

Canadian Critical Care Society

Pediatric Critical Care

British Columbia Children's Hospital

University of British Columbia

Vancouver, BC

Tracy Brand

President

Canadian Association of Transplantation

Provincial Program Manager

Saskatchewan Transplant Program

Saskatoon, SK

Karen Burns

Canadian Critical Care Society

Clinical Scholar in Critical Care

London Health Sciences Centre

Victoria Hospital

The Health Research Methodology Program

McMaster University

Hamilton, ON

David Clarke

Canadian Neurosurgical Society

Department of Neurosurgery

QE II Health Sciences Centre

Halifax, NS

Mance Cléroux

Canadian Association for Transplantation

**Executive Director** 

Québec-Transplant

Montreal, PQ

Sandra Cockfield

Canadian Society of Transplantation

Medical Director

Kidney Transplant Program

University of Alberta Hospital

University of Alberta

Edmonton, AB

Rosemary Craen

Canadian Anesthesiologists' Society

Director of Neuroanesthesia

London Health Sciences Centre

University of Western Ontario

London, ON

David Creery

Canadian Critical Care Society

Head - Pediatric Critical Care

Children's Hospital of Eastern Ontario

Ottawa, ON

Marc Deschenes

Canadian Society of Transplantation

Medical Director

Liver Transplantation

McGill University Health Centre

Montreal, PQ

Anne Dipchand

Canadian Society of Transplantation

Clinical Head

Heart Transplant Program

The Hospital for Sick Children

Toronto, ON

#### Medical Management to Optimize Donor Organ Potential: A Canadian Forum

Christopher Doig

Canadian Critical Care Society

Canadian Council for Donation and Transplantation

Adult Critical Care Foothills Hospital University of Calgary

Calgary, AB

Thorsten Duebel Acting Director

Canadian Council for Donation and Transplantation

Secretariat Edmonton, AB

Jan Emerton

Canadian Association of Transplantation

Organ Donation Specialist

British Columbia Transplant Society

Vancouver, BC

Catherine Farrell

Canadian Critical Care Society

Pediatric Intensivist

Chair - Organ Donation Committee

Hôpital Sainte-Justine Université de Montréal

Montreal, PQ

David Forrest

Canadian Critical Care Society Associate Director (locum), ICU Vancouver General Hospital

Vancouver, BC

Peter Goldberg

Canadian Critical Care Society

Director

Intensive Care Services Royal Victoria Hospital

McGill University Health Centre

Montreal, PQ

Deborah Gordon Capital Health

Senior Operating Officer University of Alberta Hospital

Edmonton, AB

Peter Gorman

New Brunswick Organ and Tissue Procurement Program

Steering Committee

Department of Neurosurgery

Southeast Regional Health Authority

Moncton, NB

Paul D. Greig

Toronto, ON

Canadian Transplantation Society Canadian Organ Replacement Register

Director - G.I. Transplant Toronto General Hospital University of Toronto Cameron Guest

Canadian Critical Care Society

Chief Medical Officer, Trillium Gift of Life Network

Department of Critical Care Medicine

Sunnybrook and Women's College Health Sciences Centre

University of Toronto

Toronto, ON

Diane Hébert

Canadian Society of Transplantation

Pediatric Nephrology

The Hospital for Sick Children

University of Toronto

Toronto, ON

Mark Heule

Canadian Critical Care Society

Director of ICU Misericordia Hospital Edmonton, AB

Karen Hornby Pediatric Critical Care Research Coordinator Montreal Children's Hospital McGill University Health Centre

Montreal, PO

Dan Howes

Canadian Association of Emergency Physicians

Kingston General Hospital Queen's University Kingston, ON

Nora Johnston

Alberta Health and Wellness Project Team Leader

Edmonton, AB

Myron Kauffman

United Network for Organ Sharing

Kidney Transplantation

Richmond, VA

Darwin Kealey President and CEO

Trillium Gift of Life Network

Toronto, ON

Shaf Keshavjee

Canadian Society of Transplantation Director, Toronto Lung Transplant Program

University Health Network University of Toronto

Toronto, ON

James Kutsogiannis

Canadian Critical Care Society

Physician Donation Leader, Capital Health

University of Alberta Edmonton, AB Michel Lallier Canadian Society of Transplantation Surgical Director of Transplantation Hôpital Ste-Justine Montreal, PQ

Stéphan Langevin Canadian Critical Care Society Quebec Intensivist Society Hôpital de L'enfant-Jesus Laval University Quebec, PQ

Joseph Lawen Canadian Society of Transplantation Surgical Director Kidney Transplant Program QE II Health Science Centre Halifax, NS

Neil Lazar Canadian Critical Care Society Site Director, Toronto General Hospital Medical Surgical Intensive Care Unit Adult Critical Care University Health Network University of Toronto Toronto, ON

Michelle Leahey Canadian Association of Transplantation Critical Care Donor Coordinator QE II Health Sciences Centre Halifax, NS

Marie-Hélène LeBlanc Canadian Society of Transplantation Medical Director Heart Failure and Heart Transplantation Hôpital Laval Universite Laval Ste. Foy, PQ

Dale Lien Canadian Society of Transplantation Medical Director Lung Transplant Program University of Alberta Hospital University of Alberta Edmonton, AB

Burton Mattice Chair, Association of Organ Procurement Organization Procurement Council Vice President/Chief Operating Officer Carolina Donor Services Durham, NC Joyce MacMullen Canadian Association of Critical Care Nurses Health Service Manager Critical Care / Organ Donation Coordinators QE II Health Sciences Centre Halifax, NS

Karen McRae Canadian Anesthesiologists' Society Director of Anesthesia for Thoracic Surgery and Lung Transplantation University Health Network Toronto, ON

Joy Mintenko Canadian Association of Critical Care Nurses SICU/MPICU Regina General Hospital Regina, SK

Terrance Myles Canadian Neurosurgical Society Interim Director Division of Neurosurgery University of Calgary Medical School Calgary, AB

Peter Nickerson Canadian Society of Transplantation Director Immunogenetics Laboratory Canadian Blood Services Health Sciences Centre University of Manitoba Winnipeg, MB

Dimitri Novitzky Chief, Clinical Research James A. Haley Veterans Hospital Professor of Cardiothoracic Surgery University of South Florida Tampa, FL

Kevin O'Connor New England Organ Bank Director or Donation Services Newton, MA

Joe Pagliarello Canadian Critical Care Society Adult Critical Care Medical Director Organ and Tissue Donation Programme The Ottawa Hospital Ottawa, ON

Clare Payne
Canadian Association of Transplantation
Clinical Services Manager
Trillium Gift of Life Network
Toronto, ON

#### Medical Management to Optimize Donor Organ Potential: A Canadian Forum

**Sharon Peters** 

Canadian Critical Care Society

Adult Critical Care

Health Care Corporation of St. John's

St. John's, NL

Vivek Rao

Canadian Society of Transplantation

Surgical Director - Cardiac Transplant

University Health Network University of Toronto

Toronto, ON

Bruce Rosengard

The International Society for Heart & Lung Transplantation

The American Society of Transplantation

British Heart Foundation

Professor and Chair

Cardiothoracic Surgery Surgical Unit

Papworth Hospital

University of Cambridge

Cambridge, UK

Heather Ross

President

Canadian Society of Transplantation

Medical Director

Cardiac Transplant Program

University Health Network

Toronto, ON

Ann Secord

Organ Procurement Officer, Health Services Branch

New Brunswick Department of Health and Wellness

Fredericton, NB

Michael Sharpe

Canadian Critical Care Society

Adult Critical Care

London Health Sciences Centre

London, ON

Susan Shaw

Canadian Critical Care Society

Canadian Anesthesiologists' Society

Medical Director, ICU

Royal University Hospital

Saskatoon, SK

Sam D. Shemie

Canadian Critical Care Society

Canadian Council for Donation and Transplantation

Pediatric Critical Care

Montreal Children's Hospital

McGill University Health Centre

Montreal, PQ

Ken Stewart

Canadian Society of Transplantation

Surgeon, Lung Transplantation

University of Alberta Hospital

Edmonton, AB

Bernard Tremblay

Canadian Association of Transplantation

Clinical Procurement Coordinator

Quebec-Transplant

Nurse Clinician - Organ and Tissue Donation

McGill University Health Centre

Montreal, PO

Helmut Unruh

Canadian Society of Transplantation

Program Director

Manitoba Lung Transplant

University of Manitoba Health Sciences Centre

Winnipeg, MB

Corinne Weernink

President-Elect

Canadian Association of Transplantation

Transplant Donor Coordinator

London Health Sciences Centre

London, ON

Lori West

Canadian Society of Transplantation

Section Head - Heart Transplant Services

The Hospital for Sick Children

University of Toronto

Toronto, ON

Bryan Young

Canadian Neurocritical Care Group

Division of Neurology and Critical Care Medicine London

Health Sciences Centre

London, ON

Kimberly Young

Senior Policy Analyst

Canadian Council for Donation and Transplantation

Edmonton, AB

Consultants:

Strachan-Tomlinson and Associates

Ottawa, ON

Facilitation: Dorothy Strachan

Research: Paul Tomlinson

Project Management and reports:

Peter Ashley / Lisa Weiss