

The HEMOTION trial

Alexis F. Turgeon MD MSc FRCPC

Professor, Department of Anesthesia and Critical Care Medicine, Université Laval, Québec City, Québec, Canada

Canada Research Chair in Critical Care Neurology and Trauma

Academic Disclosures and Acknowledgements



Trial management

Executive Committee

Alexis Turgeon, Dean Fergusson, François Lauzier, Marie-Pier Patton, Lucy Clayton

Steering Committee

Lucy Clayton, Marie-Pier Patton, Timothy S. Walsh, Annemarie Docherty, Luiz M. Malbouisson, Sébastien Pili-Floury, Shane W. English, Ryan Zarychanski, Lynne Moore, Paule Lessard Bonaventure, Vincent Laroche, Michael Verret, Damon C. Scales, Andreas Kramer, Vanesa Garnelo Rey, Ian Ball, Kosar Khwaja, François Lamontagne, Russell Chabanne, Almunder Algird, Andrea Rigamonti, Karen E. A. Burns, John Marshall, Donald E. Griesdale, Demetrios James Kutsogiannis, Robert Green, Emmanuel Charbonney, Paul C. Hébert, Michael Chassé, Alan Tinmouth, Maude St-Onge, François Lauzier, Dean Fergusson, Alexis Turgeon

Data safety & Monitoring Committee

Darrell Triulzi, chair (University of Pittsburgh), Claude Hemphill (University of California in San Francisco), Jonathan Cook (University of Oxford)

Anemia in critical care

Very common upon admission (60%) and during the ICU stay (85%)

Independent predictor of death in critical illness regardless of admitting diagnosis

Raasveld et al. JAMA Network 2023 Vincent et al. Crit Care Med 2018 Corwin et al. Crit care med 2004 Vincent et al. JAMA 2002

RBC transfusions in critical

care

One large RCT in critically ill adult patients

- Restrictive (7.0 g/dL) vs. Liberal (9.0 g/dL) thresholds TRICC trial in adults (N=838) (Hébert et al. NEJM 1999)
- No beneficial effect on mortality of using higher thresholds
- 7.0 g/dL became standard threshold

Are TBI patients similar from other critically ill populations ?

Not only about mortality, but about long-term function

The injured brain is vulnerable to hypoxia

The injured brain

Impaired autoregulation

• Incapacity to modify **CBF** by changing **CVR** to compensate for changes in **CPP**

Brain DO₂ = CBF x ([**Hb** x SaO₂ x 1.39] + [PaO₂ x 0.0031])

Decrease in Hb may impair oxygen delivery to the brain

Original Investigation | CARING FOR THE CRITICALLY ILL PATIENT

Effect of Erythropoietin and Transfusion Threshold on Neurological Recovery After Traumatic Brain Injury A Randomized Clinical Trial

Claudia S. Robertson, MD; H. Julia Hannay, PhD; José-Miguel Yamal, PhD; Shankar Gopinath, MD; J. Clay Goodman, MD; Barbara C. Tilley, PhD; and the Epo Severe TBI Trial Investigators

Dichotomized GOS

• OR 0.75, 95%CI: 0.36 – 1.55

Limitations

- Two centres / same institution
- Small sample size
- Large expected relative increase in favorable GOS (50%)
- Anemia was not an inclusion criteria
- Several patients did not get the intervention
 - 70% in the 10.0 g/dL
- Hb levels were not different between groups



JAMA 2014





To evaluate whether a **liberal** RBC transfusion strategy as compared to a **restrictive** strategy could improve clinically important and patient-centred outcomes in **critically ill patients with TBI**

- Funded by the Canadian Institutes of Health Research (CIHR)
- Sponsor: CHU de Québec-Université Laval and Université Laval
- ClinicalTrials.gov : NCT03260478







Design/Setting

Multicenter open-label randomized control trial (**P**ragmatic **R**andomized **O**pen **B**linded **E**ndpoint)

34 centres in 4 countries (Canada/UK/France/Brazil)

BMJ Open Haemoglobin transfusion threshold in traumatic brain injury optimisation (HEMOTION): a multicentre, randomised, clinical trial protocol

BMJ Open 2022

Eligibility Criteria

Inclusion criteria

- Adult patients
- Admitted to an ICU
- Acute moderate or severe blunt TBI (Glasgow Coma Score [GCS] \leq 12)
- Hb level $\leq 10.0 \text{ g/dL}$

Eligibility Criteria

Exclusion Criteria (at time of randomization)

- Active life-threatening bleeding with hemorrhagic shock or active lifethreatening bleeding requiring an urgent surgical procedure
- Contraindications or known objection to transfusions
- RBC transfusion initiated after ICU admission (OK if transfused in the ER/OR)
- Brain-based definition of death
- GCS of 3 with bilateral fixed dilated pupils
- Decision to withhold or withdraw life-sustaining therapies
- No fixed address

Interventions

Study groups

- Liberal strategy (threshold of Hb \leq 10.0 g/dL) or
- Restrictive strategy (threshold of Hb \leq 7.0 g/dL)

Transfusion strategy

- Within 3 hours after meeting the transfusion threshold
- A single unit at a time
- Until ICU discharge

Primary outcome measure

Glasgow Outcome Scale extended (GOSe) at 6 months

1 = Dead

2 = Vegetative state

Absence of awareness of self & environment

3 = Lower severe disability

Full assistance in activities of daily living

4 = Upper severe disability

Partial assistance in activities of daily living

5 = Lower moderate disability

Independent, but cannot resume work/school or previous social activities

6 = Upper moderate disability

Some disability, but can partly resume work/school or previous social activities

7 = Lower good recovery

Minor physical / mental deficits affecting activities of daily living

8 = Upper good recovery

Full recovery

Secondary outcome measures

Overall functional outcome (Functional Independence Measure-FIM) Overall quality of life (EQ-5D-5L) TBI-specific quality of life (Qolibri) Depression scale (PHQ-9) Mortality*

Outcome assessment done centrally at 6 months *Mortality also assessed at ICU and hospital

Tertiary outcome measures

Number of RBC units transfused Lowest daily Hb Infections Duration of mechanical ventilation ICU and hospital length of stay

Outcome assessment done at each site No adjudication of tertiary outcomes or adverse events



Based on the proportion of patients with an unfavorable outcome (GOSe ≤ 4)

712 patients

- Absolute risk reduction of 10%
- 40% risk of unfavorable outcome in the restrictive group
- Power of 80% and a type 1 error of 5%

Interim analyses

Primary outcome

- At 50% of enrolment
- Blinded assessment
- Haybittle-Peto criteria (P<0.001)

Study metrics

- At 25, 50 and 75% of enrolment
- Pooled data

DSMC recommended to continue

Sample size augmented to 742 to account for 2% lost to follow-up

Analytic plan

Main analysis of the primary outcome

- Sliding dichotomy approach
 - Baseline prognostic assessed by the TBI-IMPACT prognostic score
 - Age, pupils, GCS motor score, CT scan Marshall score, epidural hematoma, traumatic subarachnoid hemorrhage, hypotension, hypoxemia, Hb, glucose

Sliding dichotomy

Glasgow Outcome Scale extended (GOSe) at 6 months



Worst prognosis group

Intermediate prognosis group

Best prognosis group



Results





Patient characteristics

Characteristics	Liberal Strategy (N = 369)	Restrictive Strategy (N = 367)
TBI-IMPACT prognostic model variables Moderate traumatic brain injury — no./total no. (%) GCS motor score — median (Q1-Q3) GCS motor score — no./total no. (%)	98/369 (26.6) 4 (1-5)	99/367 (27.0) 4 (1-5)

TBI-IMPACT probability of unfavourable outcome at 6 months

	Normal flexion	79/366 (21.6)	86/367 (23.4)
Pupil reactivity — no./1 0.54±0.23	0.5	20/367 (25.3)	
	None	45/362 (12.4)	51/362 (14.1)
	One	32/362 (8.8)	51/362 (14.1)
	Both	285/362 (78.7)	260/362 (71.8)
Hypotension — no./total no. (%)		83/366 (22.7)	105/364 (28.8)
Hypoxemia — no./total no. (%)		94/365 (25.8)	96/361 (26.6)
Injury classification on basis of CT imaging — no./total no. (%)			
	1	5/369 (1.4)	12/367 (3.3)
	11	188/369 (50.9)	192/367 (52.3)
	III or IV	39/369 (10.6)	41/367 (11.2)
	V or VI	137/369 (37.1)	122/367 (33.2)
Traumatic subarachnoid hemorrhage — no./total no. (%)		324/369 (87.8)	324/367 (88.3)
Epidural mass lesion — no./total no. (%)		65/369 (17.6)	67/367 (18.3)
Glucose — mmol/L		9.2±3.6	9.1±3.8
Hemoglobin — g/dL		13.3±1.8	13.1±1.7

Daily hemoglobin levels



Time to Transfuse



Primary outcome

	Liberal Strategy (N = 369)	Restrictive Strategy (N = 367)	Risk Ratio (95% CI)
Primary Outcome — no./total no. (%)			
Sliding dichotomy of the GOSe for unfavorable outcome			
Overall	249/364 (68.4)	263/358 (73.5)	0.93 (0.83 to 1.04)

Absolute risk reduction by 5.4% (95%CI –2.9 to 13.7%)

Primary outcome

	Liberal Strategy (N = 369)	Restrictive Strategy (N = 367)	Risk Ratio (95% CI)
Primary Outcome — no./total no. (%)			
Sliding dichotomy of the GOSe for unfavorable outcome			
Overall	249/364 (68.4)	263/358 (73.5)	0.93 (0.83 to 1.04)
Worst prognosis group (GOSe ≤3)	89/119 (74.8)	98/121 (81.0)	0.92 (0.79 to 1.08)
Intermediate prognosis group (GOSe ≤4)	81/120 (67.5)	84/121 (69.4)	0.96 (0.81 to 1.14)
Best prognosis group (GOSe ≤5)	79/125 (63.2)	81/116 (69.8)	0.90 (0.76 to 1.07)
Dichotomized unfavorable outcome (GOSe ≤4 for all patients)	225/364 (61.8)	240/358 (67.0)	0.92 (0.83 to 1.03)

Subgroup analyses

	Liberal strategy	Restrictive strategy		Summary Esti	mates	Risk Ratio (95% Cl)
Overall	249/364 (68.4)	263/358 (73.5)				0.93 (0.83 to 1.04)
Age > 55 yrs <= 55 yrs	116/147 (78.9) 133/217 (61.3)	114/143 (79.7) 149/215 (69.3)				0.98 (0.84 to 1.14) 0.88 (0.76 to 1.03)
Sex Female Male	54/88 (61.4) 195/276 (70.6)	81/109 (74.3) 182/249 (73.1)	-	•		0.83 (0.65 to 1.04) 0.97 (0.86 to 1.09)
TBI severity Moderate Severe	65/98 (66.3) 184/266 (69.2)	67/95 (70.5) 196/263 (74.5)				0.94 (0.75 to 1.18) 0.93 (0.82 to 1.05)
Country Canada UK France Brazil	155/232 (66.8) 68/90 (75.6) 10/22 (45.4) 16/20 (80.0)	164/230 (71.3) 75/88 (85.2) 13/21 (61.9) 11/19 (57.9)		 		0.93 (0.79 to 1.10) 0.88 (0.66 to 1.18) 0.77 (0.41 to 1.44) 1.38 (0.78 to 2.44)
History of heart disease Yes No	16/20 (80.0) 233/344 (67.7)	22/27 (81.5) 241/331 (72.8)	_			0.95 (0.61 to 1.47) 0.93 (0.82 to 1.05)
Neurosurgical intervention Yes No	107/146 (73.3) 142/218 (65.1)	98/127 (77.2) 165/231 (71.4)		- -		0.94 (0.80 to 1.09) 0.91 (0.79 to 1.06)
Previous Transfusion Yes No	35/55 (63.6) 214/309 (69.3)	51/65 (78.5) 212/293 (72.3)	-			0.82 (0.64 to 1.04) 0.95 (0.83 to 1.09)
		L	iberal strategy better 0 0.5	Restrictive	strategy better	

GOSe distribution



Sensitivity analyses

	Liberal Strategy	Restrictive Strategy	Risk Ratio
Prespecified Sensitivity Analyses — no./total no. (%)	(10 = 309)	(N = 307)	(95% CI)
Sliding dichotomy for unfavorable outcome			
Multivariate imputation by chained equations	249/364 (68.4)	263/358 (73.5)	0.93 (0.83 to 1.04)
Complete case analysis	239/350 (68.3)	255/347 (73.5)	0.93 (0.83 to 1.04)
Per protocol analysis	241/351 (68.7)	258/351 (73.5)	0.93 (0.83 to 1.04)
Best-case scenario	249/372 (66.9)	273/368 (74.2)	0.90 (0.81 to 1.01)
Worst-case scenario	257/372 (69.1)	263/368 (71.5)	0.97 (0.87 to 1.08)
Prespecified Additional Analyses — no./total no. (%)			
Hierarchical proportional odds analysis			0.85 (0.65 to 1.11)
Death	99/364 (27.2)	96/358 (26.8)	—
Vegetative state	6/364 (1.6)	8/358 (2.2)	—
Lower severe disability	89/364 (24.4)	109/358 (30.4)	—
Upper severe disability	31/364 (8.5)	27/358 (7.5)	—
Lower moderate disability	57/364 (15.7)	55/358 (15.4)	—
Upper moderate disability	42/364 (11.5)	33/358 (9.2)	—
Lower good recovery	25/364 (6.9)	21/358 (5.9)	—
Upper good recovery	15/364 (4.1)	9/358 (2.5)	—
Dichotomized unfavorable outcome			
Robust hierarchical Poisson regression	225/364 (61.8)	240/358 (67.0)	0.94 (0.79 to 1.11)
Chi-square test	225/364 (61.8)	240/358 (67.0)	0.92 (0.83 to 1.03)

Secondary outcomes

	Liberal Strategy (N = 369)	Restrictive Strategy (N = 367)	Hazard Ratio, Risk Ratio or Median Difference (95% CI)
Secondary Outcomes			
Mortality — no./total no. (%)			
In the ICU	63/369 (17.1)	56/367 (15.3)	1.13 (0.77 to 1.65)
In the hospital	85/369 (23.0)	79/367 (21.5)	1.07 (0.78 to 1.47)
At 6 months	99/369 (26.8)	96/365 (26.3)	1.01 (0.76 to 1.35)
Functional Independence Measure			
Overall	119 (95-125)	115 (76-124)	4.34 (0.22 to 8.45)
Motor	88 (71-91)	86 (50-91)	3.95 (0.63 to 7.27)
Cognitive	32 (24-35)	30 (22-34)	1.15 (-0.16 to 2.46)
EuroQoL Analogue Scale	70 (50-80)	60 (40-75)	5.19 (0.52 to 9.86)
EuroQoL 5-Dimension 5-Level Utility Index	0.74 (0.45-0.87)	0.64 (0.33-0.82)	0.06 (0.01 to 0.10)
Quality of Life after Brain Injury	64 (45-80)	56 (39-77)	3.72 (-1.13 to 8.56)
Patient Health Questionnaire-9			
Median score	7 (3-13)	8 (3-14)	-0.51 (-1.91 to 0.90)
Score ≥ 10	82/227 (36.1)	95/222 (42.8)	0.85 (0.63 to 1.17)

Secondary outcomes

	Liberal Strategy (N = 369)	Restrictive Strategy (N = 367)	Hazard Ratio, Risk Ratio or Median Difference (95% CI)
Secondary Outcomes			
Mortality — no./total no. (%)			
In the ICU	63/369 (17.1)	56/367 (15.3)	1.13 (0.77 to 1.65)
In the hospital	85/369 (23.0)	79/367 (21.5)	1.07 (0.78 to 1.47)
At 6 months	99/369 (26.8)	96/365 (26.3)	1.01 (0.76 to 1.35)
Functional Independence Measure			
Overall	119 (95-125)	115 (76-124)	4.34 (0.22 to 8.45)
Motor	88 (71-91)	86 (50-91)	3.95 (0.63 to 7.27)
Cognitive	32 (24-35)	30 (22-34)	1.15 (-0.16 to 2.46)
EuroQoL Analogue Scale	70 (50-80)	60 (40-75)	5.19 (0.52 to 9.86)
EuroQoL 5-Dimension 5-Level Utility Index	0.74 (0.45-0.87)	0.64 (0.33-0.82)	0.06 (0.01 to 0.10)
Quality of Life after Brain Injury	64 (45-80)	56 (39-77)	3.72 (-1.13 to 8.56)
Patient Health Questionnaire-9			
Median score	7 (3-13)	8 (3-14)	-0.51 (-1.91 to 0.90)
Score ≥ 10	82/227 (36.1)	95/222 (42.8)	0.85 (0.63 to 1.17)

Tertiary outcomes

	Liberal Strategy (N = 369)	Restrictive Strategy (N = 367)	Median Difference (95% Cl)
Tertiary Outcomes			
Number of red-cell units transfused	1516	307	
Number of red-cell transfused per patient	3 (2-5)	0 (0-1)	3.0 (3.0 to 10.82)
Any infection	204/369 (55.3)	192/367 (52.3)	1.06 (0.92 to 1.21)
Pneumonia	130/369 (35.2)	121/367 (33.0)	1.07 (0.87 to 1.31)
Bacteremia	24/369 (6.5)	27/367 (7.4)	0.88 (0.52 to 1.50)
Sepsis/septic shock	21/369 (5.7)	28/367 (7.6)	0.75 (0.43 to 1.29)
Ventriculitis/meningitis/brain abscess	12/369 (3.2)	15/367 (4.1)	0.80 (0.38 to 1.68)
Patients with transfusion reactions — no./total no. (%)	6/365 (1.6)	1/141 (0.7)	2.33 (0.35 to 58.32)
Duration of mechanical ventilation— days	12 (8-17)	11 (7-17)	1.00 (-0.52 to 2.52)
Length of ICU stay— days	15 (10-22)	15 (10-22)	0.00 (-1.85 to 1.85)
Length of hospital stay— days	33 (18-50)	33 (19-55)	0.00 (-4.20 to 4.20)

Post-randomization events

	Liberal Strategy (N = 369)	Restrictive Strategy (N = 367)
Cardiopulmonary		
Acute respiratory distress syndrome	12/369 (3.3)	3/367 (0.8)
Congestive heart failure	0/369 (0.0)	1/367 (0.3)
ST elevation MI	1/369 (0.3)	0/367 (0.0)
Non-ST elevation MI	0/369 (0.0)	3/367 (0.8)
Neurological		
Stroke	6/369 (1.6)	4/367 (1.1)
Convulsion/seizure	26/369 (7.0)	23/367 (6.3)
Hematological		
Any thromboembolic event	31/369 (8.4)	31/367 (8.4)
Deep venous thrombosis	25/369 (6.8)	23/367 (6.3)
Pulmonary embolism	11/369 (3.0)	9/367 (2.5)
Major bleeding	6/369 (1.6)	3/367 (0.8)
Transfusion reactions	6/365 (1.6)	1/141 (0.7)

In summary

- We did not observe a statistically significant decrease on the risk of an unfavourable neurological outcome at 6 months in critically ill adult patients with traumatic brain injury, based on the GOSe
 - We cannot exclude the possibility of up to a 13.7% absolute reduction (or up to a 2.9% increase) in risk of an unfavourable outcome with a liberal transfusion strategy

• A potential beneficial effect was observed with the functional independence measure and quality of life



Considering the overall findings, a liberal transfusion strategy is likely the best approach to use in critically ill adult patients with acute TBI



The NEW ENGLAND JOURNAL of MEDICINE

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Liberal or Restrictive Transfusion Strategy in Patients with Traumatic Brain Injury

A.F. Turgeon, D.A. Fergusson, L. Clayton, M.-P. Patton, X. Neveu, T.S. Walsh,
A. Docherty, L.M. Malbouisson, S. Pili-Floury, S.W. English, R. Zarychanski,
L. Moore, P.L. Bonaventure, V. Laroche, M. Verret, D. Scales, N. Adhikari,
J. Greenbaum, A. Kramer, V.G. Rey, I. Ball, K. Khwaja, M. Wise, D. Harvey,
F. Lamontagne, R. Chabanne, A. Algird, S. Krueper, J. Pottecher, F. Zeiler,
J. Rhodes, A. Rigamonti, K.E.A. Burns, J. Marshall, D.E. Griesdale, L.S. Sisconetto,
D.J. Kutsogiannis, C. Roger, R. Green, G. Boyd, J. Wright, E. Charbonney, P. Nair,
T. Astles, E. Sy, P.C. Hébert, M. Chassé, A. Gomez, T. Ramsay, M. Taljaard,
A. Fox-Robichaud, A. Tinmouth, M. St-Onge, O. Costerousse, and F. Lauzier,
for the HEMOTION Trial Investigators on behalf of the Canadian Critical Care
Trials Group, the Canadian Perioperative Anesthesia Clinical Trials Group,
and the Canadian Traumatic Brain Injury Research Consortium*