



Anemia and red blood cell transfusion in the adult non-bleeding patient

Carolyn D. Burns

Independent Patient Blood Management Physician, Louisville, KY, USA

Correspondence to: Carolyn D. Burns, MD. Independent Patient Blood Management Physician, President, Society for the Advancement of Patient Blood Management, 291 N. Hubbards Lane, Suite B 26, Box 159, Louisville, KY 40207, USA. Email: cburnspbm@gmail.com.

Abstract: Anemia is a global health issue. It is associated with a wide variety of disease states in both medical and surgical patients. Increased morbidity and mortality are notable in patients with even mild anemia. Clinicians often consider red blood cell (RBC) transfusions as first-line therapy for patients with anemia to raise the hemoglobin (Hgb) level and increase oxygen delivery. RBC transfusion in the hemorrhaging patient can be life- or limb-saving. However, RBC transfusion may result in serious adverse events, both acute and delayed, and thus, the medical decision to transfuse in the non-bleeding, anemic patient must be carefully considered. Recent literature identifies RBC transfusion practice, in a multitude of patient populations, can be readily avoided with attention placed on proper assessment of patient symptoms, optimal diagnosis of the etiology of the anemia, and appropriate treatment thereof. This review seeks to collate the current state of the science regarding RBC transfusions in the adult non-bleeding patient. Evidence-based alternatives to transfusion will also be briefly presented.

Keywords: Anemia; red blood cells (RBC); transfusion

Received: 06 August 2021; Accepted: 02 September 2021; Published: 31 March 2022.

doi: 10.21037/aob-21-51

View this article at: <https://dx.doi.org/10.21037/aob-21-51>

Introduction

Anemia is a global health issue affecting approximately one quarter of the world's population (1). Anemia in presurgical patients may be as high as 40% (2) and results in increased morbidity and mortality (3,4). Furthermore, an Australian study found more than 1/3 of non-anemic medical and surgical patients admitted to the hospital subsequently developed anemia (5). Even mild anemia, defined as Hgb 10.0–11.9 g/dL in women, 10.0–12.9 g/dL in men, was independently associated with increased mortality and length of stay (LOS).

While anemia is a formal and commonly used medical diagnosis, it should not be accepted as normal, but instead as a sign associated with an array of disease states in both medical and surgical patient populations. Anemia demands attention with proper identification of the underlying etiology(ies). Anemia may not cause overt symptoms depending on the severity and physiologic compensatory

mechanisms. The critical nadir hemoglobin (Hgb) level at which symptoms arise is not clear and may vary based on the etiology of the anemia, patient comorbidities, the acute or chronic nature of the anemia, and the presence or absence of overt bleeding. Clinicians often consider red blood cell (RBC) transfusion to ameliorate symptoms of anemia or to prevent perceived pending consequences of abnormal Hgb levels.

Certainly, in the hemorrhaging patient, transfusion can be life- and or limb-saving. However, in the non-bleeding patient, formulating transfusion decisions must take a risk-to-benefit perspective into consideration, such as the potential adverse events, the lack of evidence for improved oxygenation, as well as alternative evidence-based therapies.

RBC transfusions have been associated with numerous adverse events both non-immune and immune-mediated. These include, but are not limited to transfusion associated circulatory overload, transfusion-related acute lung injury, hemolytic transfusion reactions, increased wound

Table 1 Society Guidelines: all supporting Hgb transfusion thresholds of 7–8 g/dL

2009—Society of Critical Care Medicine
2011—Society Thoracic Surgeons/Cardiovascular Anesthesiologists
2012—AABB
2013—American Society of Hematologists
2015—American Society of Anesthesiologists
2016—AABB
2019—The NATA Consensus Statement
2019—Society of Cardiovascular Anesthesiologists

Hgb, hemoglobin; AABB, American Association of Blood Banks; NATA, Network for Advancement of Transfusion Alternatives.

and nosocomial infections, allergic reactions, increased hospital LOS, increased thrombo-embolic events, and the possibility of transfusion-transmitted disease (6-14). There is evidence that RBC transfusions do not necessarily improve delivery of oxygen or tissue perfusion (15-17). Additional technologies to evaluate tissue oxygenation and microcirculation may ultimately become the standard of care for assessment and identification of anemic patients and the potential benefit of RBC transfusion (18).

This review will present the current evidence to identify the elusive Hgb threshold and delineate the role of RBC transfusion and non-transfusion modalities for ameliorating morbidity associated with low Hgb levels in adult non-bleeding patients.

Clinical studies of anemia and RBC transfusion in special patient populations

Recent guidelines, systematic reviews and meta-analyses of randomized controlled trials (RCTs) have found that restrictive transfusion of RBCs provides similar if not better outcomes across a broad range of medical and surgical patient populations (19,20). This has been the impetus for numerous professional societies to advocate for the tolerance of lower Hgb levels in otherwise stable anemic patients (*Table 1*). In general, the use of a specific transfusion “trigger” should be avoided as this implies automatic RBC transfusion at a designated level as opposed to a “threshold” level above which transfusion might be safely avoided and/or alternative interventions could be employed. This concept is emphasized in the recent Patient Blood Management

Frankfort Consensus Conference (21).

Critically ill patients

By day three in the intensive care unit (ICU), up to 90% of patients will become anemic (22). Acute or chronic blood loss, poor nutrition, comorbid conditions, excessive phlebotomy, coagulopathy, and drug interactions may all contribute to anemia in the critically ill. Transfusion is performed frequently in the ICU with between 30 to 70% of patients receiving RBC transfusion based on case mix (23). The majority of these transfusions are in non-bleeding patients. A systematic review of 45 cohort studies of anemic ICU patients receiving RBC transfusion found 42 of 45 studies identified the risk of transfusion to outweigh the benefit (24).

The first RCT comparing restrictive versus liberal transfusion thresholds was published in 1999. The Transfusion Requirements in Critical Care (TRICC) trial showed a Hgb threshold of 7.0 g/dL with maintenance Hgb between 7.0–9.0 g/dL was equally effective in terms of patient outcomes, when compared to a threshold of 10.0 g/dL and higher maintenance levels of 10.0–12.0 g/dL (25). Patients with acute myocardial infarction (AMI) and unstable angina (UA) were disproportionately excluded by participating physicians, thus the TRICC investigators cautioned against extrapolating to Hgb thresholds less than 8.0 g/dL in these patients.

Patients with acute coronary syndromes (ACS)

Concerns regarding restrictive RBC transfusion in patients with ACS or with underlying significant coronary artery disease (CAD) are understandable given the need for high basal oxygen extraction by the myocardium, the limited tolerance to anaerobic metabolism, and the decreased flow through stenotic vessels. Data is conflicting in this population due to the heterogeneity among patients, for example, ST-elevation versus non-ST-elevation, lack of clear definitions for “significant”, yet “chronic” CAD, as well as the varied comorbidities which accompany these patients (26-33). The recent REALITY Trial randomized greater than 660 patients with AMI and anemia. The RBC transfusion threshold paradigm was ≤ 8.0 g/dL versus ≤ 10.0 g/dL. Those within the restrictive group had no increases in major adverse cardiac events (MACE) after 30 days when compared to the liberal group (34). Ongoing trials continue (35), but, at this time, available evidence

implies the potential for improved outcomes in patients with ACS with a Hgb threshold of 8.0 g/dL (36-38).

Patients with sepsis or septic shock

Trials in critically ill patients with sepsis have also found a lack of evidence that RBC transfusion improves outcomes (39,40). The Transfusion Requirements in Septic Shock (TRISS) trial included over 900 patients with randomization to Hgb thresholds of 7.0 versus 9.0 g/dL (41). This trial also included a single-unit transfusion strategy with assessment after each unit. No differences in 90-day mortality, need for vasopressors, duration of mechanical ventilation or occurrence of ischemic events were noted. *Post-hoc* analysis of a subgroup of patients from the TRISS trial showed no benefit to a liberal transfusion strategy in patients with significant comorbidities such as chronic lung disease, hematologic malignancies or metastatic cancer (42). Recent guidelines for management of sepsis and septic shock do not recommend transfusion to maintain a specific Hgb level as first-line treatment (43).

Mechanically ventilated patients

Expectations regarding the need for higher Hgb levels in mechanically ventilated patients have been steeped in anecdotal and historical experience often without definitive data. A subgroup analysis of mechanically ventilated patients in the TRICC trial showed no differences in duration of mechanical ventilation between the restrictive versus the liberal group (44). A retrospective cohort study of greater than 4,300 patients necessitating prolonged mechanical ventilation (≥ 96 hours), found an increased risk of death associated with Hgb levels greater than 10 g/dL and receipt of at least one unit of RBCs (45). A pilot RCT of adult patients on mechanical ventilation ≥ 4 days revealed no differences in duration of ventilation or cardiovascular events when randomized to 7.0 versus 9.0 g/dL (46). This study showed a trend toward increased mortality in the liberal group. The recent Transfusion Requirements in Cardiac Surgery III (TRICSIII) trial contained greater than 2,400 patients with available data regarding duration of mechanical ventilation and found no differences in median days (47). Data surrounding Hgb levels required as part of weaning protocols are somewhat limited, however, current evidence points to restrictive thresholds, Hgb < 7.0 g/dL (23,36,48,49).

Transfusion in cardiac surgery

The previously mentioned TRICSIII trial is the largest trial to date, including over 5,200 patients (47). This trial captured intra-operative and post-operative transfusions after randomizing to a 7.5 versus 9.5 g/dL Hgb strategy. No differences were noted between the groups for outcomes including all-cause mortality, AMI, new-onset renal failure, stroke, LOS or infections. Subsequent analysis from this trial found no differences in long-term outcomes six months after discharge, specifically mortality, AMI, stroke, or renal failure (50). Two recent meta-analyses recognized restrictive RBC transfusion is not inferior to liberal transfusion practice in cardiac surgery patients (51,52).

The Clinical Practice Guidelines on Patient Blood Management for Cardiovascular Surgery has been recently published (53). This publication supersedes the prior 2011 guidelines (54) and has several significant modifications, not the least of which is the specific change from “blood conservation” to “patient blood management” guidelines. There is also an expanded collaboration of the Society for Thoracic Surgeons and the Society of Cardiac Anesthesiologists with the American Society of Extracorporeal Technology and the Society for the Advancement of Patient Blood Management. As such, the intent of the authors was to stress the importance of a multidisciplinary evidence-based approach to the care of cardiac surgery patients. The concept of blood as a liquid organ is also emphasized, thus one must extrapolate that transfusion represents a fluid/liquid transplant. The guidelines include the above-mentioned studies regarding restrictive RBC transfusion and incorporation of goal-directed transfusion algorithms. Class and level of evidence are delineated for anemia management, optimization of coagulation, minimizing bleeding and blood loss, and multimodal interventions to conserve the patient’s own blood. These concepts all align with a patient-centered approach as a pillar of Patient Blood Management (PBM). Previous studies underscore the relevance of PBM to the cardiac surgery arena to limit transfusion exposure and provide better quality of care (55,56).

Of note, the expanding interest for anemia management in this population has been driven by recent evidence that the prevalence of pre-operative anemia in cardiac surgery patients is as high as 31% (57). Anemia and transfusion are independently associated with worse clinical outcomes (57). Iron deficiency is the most common cause of anemia in this population and studies indicate laboratory evaluation

of iron status and subsequent iron repletion can decrease transfusion rates as well as the incidence of persistent anemia post-operatively (58). A recent expert panel, using a modified RAND Delphi method, recommends all patients preparing for cardiac surgery be evaluated for iron deficiency whether or not overt anemia is present (59).

Other surgical and medical patients

Limiting unnecessary RBC transfusion in other surgical patients is likewise important. The American Society of Anesthesiologists (ASA) practice guidelines for peri-operative management advocates for thorough assessment of bleeding risk, diagnosis and treatment of anemia and use of pharmacologic adjuncts to decrease blood loss; all techniques which will minimize the need for allogeneic RBC transfusion (60). Restrictive transfusion practice is evidence-based, well-tolerated, safe, and applicable for orthopedic, burn, and surgical oncology patients (61-64). There remains some question as to the adequate Hgb level for patients undergoing major vascular surgery. Data is limited. A small pilot study of 58 patients showed higher death rates and major vascular complications with lower Hgb, <8.0 g/dL (65). The authors called for further trials prior to extrapolating general restrictive thresholds to these patients.

A recent consensus statement regarding post-operative anemia management after major surgery has been published, helping to clarify interventions which furnish continuity of care for surgical patients before and after discharge (66).

Hematology/oncology patients may have significant transfusion needs, particularly during episodes of high-dose or ablative chemotherapy, radiation therapy, or after stem cell transplantation. Transfusion reactions are frequent in these patients, as well as the increased risk of alloimmunization and transfusion-associated graft versus host disease. Current evidence speaks to the safety and efficacy of restrictive transfusion in varied hematologic patient subgroups (67-73). The American Society of Hematology (ASH) Choosing Wisely® Campaign stresses a restrictive approach with RBC transfusions considered when Hgb levels drop below 7.0-8.0 g/dL (74).

There remains some uncertainty in chronic transfusion-dependent hematologic patients, particularly in the outpatient (OP) setting. Liberal RBC transfusions come with the increased incidence of the afore-mentioned risk of acute transfusion reactions with the added risk for transfusion-related iron overload. Patients with

myelodysplasia (MDS) represent a significant number of those in the OP arena and have been found to receive the most RBC transfusions when compared to other patients with hematologic conditions (75). MDS is predominantly a disease of older patients, many of whom have comorbid cardiac disease coupled with the dysregulated iron metabolism which may cause further cardiac injury and, thus, place them at risk for ACS (76). The OP setting also raises the question of RBC transfusion to maintain a more constant or stable Hgb level as opposed to the potential fluctuations with a more restrictive transfusion strategy i.e. lower Hgb thresholds and single-unit transfusion. This may be a more significant issue for patients who are demographically farther removed from their care team. The current REDDS-2 pilot study will address issues associated with OP transfusion (77).

Single-unit transfusion

Single-unit RBC transfusion has historically been discouraged with double-unit transfusion favored, assuming more effective oxygen delivery and correction of anemia. This practice rested on opinion and was not evidence-based. In the late 1980s, the National Institute of Health (NIH) published recommendations for single-unit RBC transfusion primarily driven by the concern for patient exposure to transfusion-transmitted diseases (78). The double-unit transfusion rate, however, still remained at >98% in 1998 (79). Recent literature shows a move to more broad acceptance of single-unit RBC transfusion practice with a “treat-to-target” clinical assessment and lab-driven correlation (80,81). A 2008 survey by the College of American Pathologists (CAP) found the percent of single-unit transfusion in 124 hospitals, 6518 total transfusions, to be 60% (82). The United Kingdom National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) Guidelines implemented a single-unit policy in general medicine patients with overall reduction in RBC transfusions of 50% and an increase in single-units transfusion rate from 30% to 53% over six months (83).

Single-unit transfusion strategies have been shown to be safe and effective even in high-risk patients (84,85). Coupled with evidence that adverse outcomes are associated with even a single unit of RBCs, this sends a clear message to limit transfusion when possible (86,87). ASH and AABB strongly advocate for the use of single-unit RBC transfusion as part of restrictive practice (74,88). See comment in the previous section regarding

consideration for SUT in OPs.

Competing strategies/non-transfusion alternatives

RBC transfusion may be avoided through proper diagnosis and management of anemia. Iron deficiency is the most common etiology (89). Other nutritional deficiencies and chronic gastrointestinal or gynecological blood loss are also frequently identified. Comorbid inflammatory conditions, such as autoimmune disorders, congestive heart failure (CHF), and chronic kidney disease (CKD) induce functional iron deficiency as a result of increased hepcidin, the primary regulator of iron metabolism and absorption. Increased hepcidin limits iron absorption and thus effective erythropoiesis, even in the face of adequate total body iron stores (90).

Anemia is common in patients with CHF. This is often a result of iron deficiency. Transfusion may result in circulatory overload and thus transfusion avoidance should be encouraged when other treatment options are available. Recent study results encourage the use of iron supplementation for patients with CHF and show significant improvement in New York CHF classification and outcomes (91,92). The European Society of Cardiology recommends the use of intravenous iron in symptomatic CHF patients with low ferritin or transferrin saturation as this can improve symptoms, capacity for exercise and general quality of life (93).

Chronic blood loss causes iron deficiency in patients with inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) and these patients are much less tolerant of oral supplementation. A recent systematic review and meta-analysis found intravenous iron, when compared to oral agents, more effectively increased Hgb levels and repleted iron stores in these patients (94).

Parenteral iron in patients with CKD has been found to more rapidly increase iron stores and Hgb levels, while reducing the need for erythropoiesis-stimulating agents (ESAs) (95). A large RCT reported scheduled infusions of intravenous iron reduced mortality and comorbid cardiovascular events (96). The discovery of hypoxia-inducible factor (HIF) and its role in erythropoiesis in CKD has opened the door to development of HIF-prolyl hydroxylase inhibitors, such as roxadustat and vadadustat. These oral medications stimulate endogenous erythropoiesis by stabilizing HIF (97). Phase III trials are currently ongoing in the U.S. and some agents have been approved for both non-dialysis dependent (NDD-CKD) and dialysis-

dependent (DD-CKD) patients (98). Efficacy for anemia treatment has been shown in recent RCTs, however some questions remain as to safety (99,100).

ESAs, given either intravenously or subcutaneously, stimulates RBC production. A recent review and meta-analysis of 32 RCTs using pre-operative ESAs in surgical patients identified significant reduction in the number of peri-operative RBC transfusions without significant risk (101). Even single-dose and ultra-short (between 1–2 days) provision of ESAs in cardiac surgery patients can decrease RBC requirements (102,103). Management of cancer-associated anemia with ESAs has been recently reviewed by the American Society of Clinical Oncology (ASCO) and ASH (104). Recommendations are to consider use of ESAs in patients with chemotherapy-induced anemia without curative intent and with Hgb levels <10 g/dL. Of course, when using ESAs there should be provision of concomitant iron therapy for full repletion of iron stores which allows for the lowest dose of this agent to avoid Hgb concentrations >11.0 g/dL. This prevents hyperviscosity and the risk of thrombosis. Studies indicate no benefit for use of ESAs for patients with pre-treatment Hgb >10.0 g/dL (105,106).

Operative blood loss exacerbates pre-existing anemia and, thus, pharmacologic agents that minimize bleeding can play an active role in the overarching management of the anemic patient. The incorporation of anti-fibrinolytic agents such as tranexamic acid (TXA) or aminocaproic acid, can reduce blood loss, anemia, transfusion risk and mortality (107–111). The use of antifibrinolytics and the possibility of thrombosis have been historical safety concerns, however, a recent systematic review and meta-analysis of 216 relevant studies using TXA showed no increase for any thrombo-embolic event including deep venous thrombosis, pulmonary embolism, AMI or stroke irrespective of dosing (112).

Additionally, topical hemostatics may also contribute to control or prevention of bleeding and thus provide some mitigation from worsened anemia. An excellent review has been published by Huang *et al.* (113).

Excessive phlebotomy should be discouraged as this contributes to anemia in the hospital setting. Diagnostic testing can result in >40 milliliters of blood loss every day with a median of 200 milliliters during hospital admission (114). Best practices have been published by the Center for Disease Control (CDC) Laboratory Medical Best Practice Group, the American Society for Clinical Pathology (ASCP) Choosing Wisely® Campaign and are echoed in the Choosing Wisely® statements from the

Society for the Advancement of Patient Blood Management (SABM) (115-118).

Intra-operative blood conservation strategies such as autologous cell salvage and acute normovolemic hemodilution also reduce the need for, and the exposure to allogeneic RBCs. Timely reviews are available (119,120).

Future research needs

A recent review by Mo *et al.* highlights the need for continued study of transfusion practices in specific patient subgroups where equipoise remains, as well as suggesting other outcome measures as both necessary and appropriate (121). These could include improved tools for assessment of quality of life, attention to the patient experience, both physical and psychosocial, and study of the economic ramifications of transfusion and competing strategies. These concepts are clearly aligned with the patient-centered approach advocated in the proposed “global definition” for Patient Blood Management (122).

Conclusions

Anemia represents a global health issue. Whether acute or chronic, the signs and symptoms are myriad and etiologies are not mutually exclusive. While RBC transfusion may be life- and/or limb-saving in the actively bleeding patient, RBC transfusion should not be the default in the non-bleeding medical or surgical patient. Transfusion remains, however, one of the most commonly performed procedures in healthcare (122). As presented in this review, the preponderance of the data support restricting transfusion when Hgb is >7.0 g/dL. based on current RCTs, multiple retrospective, observational and cohort studies, along with numerous systematic reviews and meta-analyses. Transfusion may be necessary in specific patient populations, such as those with ACS, with a threshold of 8.0 g/dL and perhaps within a range of 7.0–8.0 g/dL in Hematology/Oncology patients who are chronic transfusion-dependent and clearly symptomatic.

Clinicians must address competing strategies based on the etiology(ies) of anemia, patient comorbidities, and critically consider the risks of transfusion with the notable, often poorer outcomes. The concept of blood as a fluid organ must be recognized and become part of our healthcare lexicon. Acknowledging Hgb “thresholds”, not “triggers”, clinically relevant symptoms, and active reassessment of patients after any-and-all treatments for

anemia is key to quality patient-centered care.

Acknowledgments

The author thanks Jay Menitove, MD and Carolyn Clancy, MSN, CNS, APRN for their assistance with manuscript editing.

Funding: None.

Footnote

Provenance and Peer Review: This article was commissioned by the Guest Editor (Paul D. Mintz) for the series “Transfusion Therapy: Principles and Practices” published in *Annals of Blood*. The article has undergone external peer review.

Conflicts of Interest: The author has completed the ICMJE uniform disclosure form (available at <https://aob.amegroups.com/article/view/10.21037/aob-21-51/coif>). The series “Transfusion Therapy: Principles and Practices” was commissioned by the editorial office without any funding or sponsorship. CDB reports consulting fees from Accumen, Inc., Honoraria for speaking at educational events from Zuellig Pharma, Advisory Board fee from Instrumentation Laboratories, and he serves as volunteer of Executive Board of SABM. None of these entities or their products listed in the COI form are mentioned in the current manuscript. The author has no other conflicts of interest to declare.

Ethical Statement: The author is accountable for all aspects of the manuscript and ensures that the questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and reported.

Open Access Statement: This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0), which permits the non-commercial replication and distribution of the article with the strict proviso that no changes or edits are made and the original work is properly cited (including links to both the formal publication through the relevant DOI and the license). See: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>.

References

1. McEvoy MT, Shander A. Anemia, bleeding, and blood transfusion in the intensive care unit: causes, risks, costs, and

- new strategies. *Am J Crit Care* 2013;22:eS1-13; quiz eS14.
2. Munting KE, Klein AA. Optimisation of pre-operative anaemia in patients before elective major surgery - why, who, when and how? *Anaesthesia* 2019;74 Suppl 1:49-57.
 3. Musallam KM, Tamim HM, Richards T, et al. Preoperative anaemia and postoperative outcomes in non-cardiac surgery: a retrospective cohort study. *Lancet* 2011;378:1396-407.
 4. Beattie WS, Karkouti K, Wijeyesundera DN, et al. Risk associated with preoperative anemia in noncardiac surgery: a single-center cohort study. *Anesthesiology* 2009;110:574-81.
 5. Krishnasivam D, Trentino KM, Burrows S, et al. Anemia in hospitalized patients: an overlooked risk in medical care. *Transfusion* 2018;58:2522-8.
 6. Delaney M, Wendel S, Bercovitz RS, et al. Transfusion reactions: prevention, diagnosis, and treatment. *Lancet* 2016;388:2825-36.
 7. Goel R, Tobian AAR, Shaz BH. Noninfectious transfusion-associated adverse events and their mitigation strategies. *Blood* 2019;133:1831-9.
 8. Yuan Y, Zhang Y, Shen L, et al. Perioperative Allogeneic Red Blood Cell Transfusion and Wound Infections: An Observational Study. *Anesth Analg* 2020;131:1573-81.
 9. Ferraris VA, Davenport DL, Saha SP, et al. Intraoperative transfusion of small amounts of blood heralds worse postoperative outcome in patients having noncardiac thoracic operations. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2011;91:1674-80; discussion 1680.
 10. Gupta PB, DeMario VM, Amin RM, et al. Patient Blood Management Program Improves Blood Use and Clinical Outcomes in Orthopedic Surgery. *Anesthesiology* 2018;129:1082-91.
 11. Ferraris VA, Davenport DL, Saha SP, et al. Surgical outcomes and transfusion of minimal amounts of blood in the operating room. *Arch Surg* 2012;147:49-55.
 12. Leahy MF, Hofmann A, Towler S, et al. Improved outcomes and reduced costs associated with a health-system-wide patient blood management program: a retrospective observational study in four major adult tertiary-care hospitals. *Transfusion* 2017;57:1347-58.
 13. Rohde JM, Dimcheff DE, Blumberg N, et al. Health care-associated infection after red blood cell transfusion: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *JAMA* 2014;311:1317-26.
 14. Carson JL, Triulzi DJ, Ness PM. Indications for and Adverse Effects of Red-Cell Transfusion. *N Engl J Med* 2017;377:1261-72.
 15. Turgeman A, McRae HL, Cahill C, et al. Impact of RBC Transfusion on Peripheral Capillary Oxygen Saturation and Partial Pressure of Arterial Oxygen. *Am J Clin Pathol* 2021;156:149-54.
 16. Sadaka F, Aggu-Sher R, Krause K, et al. The effect of red blood cell transfusion on tissue oxygenation and microcirculation in severe septic patients. *Ann Intensive Care* 2011;1:46.
 17. Zimmerman R, Tsai AG, Salazar Vázquez BY, et al. Posttransfusion Increase of Hematocrit per se Does Not Improve Circulatory Oxygen Delivery due to Increased Blood Viscosity. *Anesth Analg* 2017;124:1547-54.
 18. Nielsen ND, Martin-Loeches I, Wentowski C. The Effects of red Blood Cell Transfusion on Tissue Oxygenation and the Microcirculation in the Intensive Care Unit: A Systematic Review. *Transfus Med Rev* 2017;31:205-22.
 19. Carson JL, Guyatt G, Heddle NM, et al. Clinical Practice Guidelines From the AABB: Red Blood Cell Transfusion Thresholds and Storage. *JAMA* 2016;316:2025-35.
 20. Trentino KM, Farmer SL, Leahy MF, et al. Systematic reviews and meta-analyses comparing mortality in restrictive and liberal haemoglobin thresholds for red cell transfusion: an overview of systematic reviews. *BMC Med* 2020;18:154.
 21. Mueller MM, Van Remoortel H, Meybohm P, et al. Patient Blood Management: Recommendations From the 2018 Frankfurt Consensus Conference. *JAMA* 2019;321:983-97.
 22. Gattinoni L, Chiumello D. Anemia in the intensive care unit: How big is the problem? *Transfus Altern Transfus Med* 2002;4:118-20.
 23. Shah A, Oczkowski S, Aubron C, et al. Transfusion in Critical care: Past, present and future. *Transfus Med* 2020;30:418-32.
 24. Marik PE, Corwin HL. Efficacy of red blood cell transfusion in the critically ill: a systematic review of the literature. *Crit Care Med* 2008;36:2667-74.
 25. Hébert PC, Wells G, Blajchman MA, et al. A multicenter, randomized, controlled clinical trial of transfusion requirements in critical care. Transfusion Requirements in Critical Care Investigators, Canadian Critical Care Trials Group. *N Engl J Med* 1999;340:409-17.
 26. Wu WC, Rathore SS, Wang Y, et al. Blood transfusion in elderly patients with acute myocardial infarction. *N Engl J Med* 2001;345:1230-6.
 27. Rao SV, Jollis JG, Harrington RA, et al. Relationship of blood transfusion and clinical outcomes in patients with acute coronary syndromes. *JAMA* 2004;292:1555-62.
 28. Yang X, Alexander KP, Chen AY, et al. The implications

- of blood transfusions for patients with non-ST-segment elevation acute coronary syndromes: results from the CRUSADE National Quality Improvement Initiative. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2005;46:1490-5.
29. Alexander K, Chen A, Wang T, et al. Transfusion practice and outcome in non-ST-elevation acute coronary syndromes. *Am Heart* 2005;155:1047-53.
 30. Singla I, Zahid M, Good CB, et al. Impact of blood transfusions in patients presenting with anemia and suspected acute coronary syndrome. *Am J Cardiol* 2007;99:1119-21.
 31. Sabatine MS, Morrow DA, Giugliano RP, et al. Association of hemoglobin levels with clinical outcomes in acute coronary syndromes. *Circulation* 2005;111:2042-9.
 32. Aronson D, Dann EJ, Bonstein L, et al. Impact of red blood cell transfusion on clinical outcomes in patients with acute myocardial infarction. *Am J Cardiol* 2008;102:115-9.
 33. Mincu RI, Rassaf T, Totzeck M. Red blood cell transfusion in patients with ST-elevation myocardial infarction—a meta-analysis of more than 21,000 patients. *Neth Heart J* 2018;26:454-60.
 34. Ducrocq G, Gonzalez-Juanatey JR, Puymirat E, et al. Effect of a Restrictive vs Liberal Blood Transfusion Strategy on Major Cardiovascular Events Among Patients With Acute Myocardial Infarction and Anemia: The REALITY Randomized Clinical Trial. *JAMA* 2021;325:552-60.
 35. Carson JL. Clinical trials.gov: myocardial ischemia and transfusion (MINT). NCT02981407,2017.2017. (accessed August 3, 2021).
 36. Vlaar AP, Oczkowski S, de Bruin S, et al. Transfusion strategies in non-bleeding critically ill adults: a clinical practice guideline from the European Society of Intensive Care Medicine. *Intensive Care Med* 2020;46:673-96.
 37. Hare GMT, Cazorla-Bak MP, Ku SFM, et al. When to transfuse your acute care patient? A narrative review of the risk of anemia and red blood cell transfusion based on clinical trial outcomes. *Can J Anaesth* 2020;67:1576-94.
 38. Carson JL, Brooks MM, Abbott JD, et al. Liberal versus restrictive transfusion thresholds for patients with symptomatic coronary artery disease. *Am Heart J* 2013;165:964-971.e1.
 39. Mouncey PR, Osborn TM, Power GS, et al. Trial of early, goal-directed resuscitation for septic shock. *N Engl J Med* 2015;372:1301-11.
 40. ProCESS Investigators; Yealy DM, Kellum JA, et al. A randomized trial of protocol-based care for early septic shock. *N Engl J Med* 2014;370:1683-93.
 41. Holst LB, Haase N, Wetterslev J, et al. Lower versus higher hemoglobin threshold for transfusion in septic shock. *N Engl J Med* 2014;371:1381-91.
 42. Rygård SL, Holst LB, Wetterslev J, et al. Higher vs. lower haemoglobin threshold for transfusion in septic shock: subgroup analyses of the TRISS trial. *Acta Anaesthesiol Scand* 2017;61:166-75.
 43. Howell MD, Davis AM. Management of Sepsis and Septic Shock. *JAMA* 2017;317:847-8.
 44. Hébert PC, Blajchman MA, Cook DJ, et al. Do blood transfusions improve outcomes related to mechanical ventilation? *Chest* 2001;119:1850-7.
 45. Zilberberg MD, Stern LS, Wiederkehr DP, et al. Anemia, transfusions and hospital outcomes among critically ill patients on prolonged acute mechanical ventilation: a retrospective cohort study. *Crit Care* 2008;12:R60.
 46. Walsh TS, Boyd JA, Watson D, et al. Restrictive versus liberal transfusion strategies for older mechanically ventilated critically ill patients: a randomized pilot trial. *Crit Care Med* 2013;41:2354-63.
 47. Mazer CD, Whitlock RP, Fergusson DA, et al. Restrictive or Liberal Red-Cell Transfusion for Cardiac Surgery. *N Engl J Med* 2017;377:2133-44.
 48. Lui YC, Ruan SY, Huang CT, et al. Hemoglobin levels and weaning outcomes of mechanical ventilation in difficult-to-wean patients: A retrospective study. *PLoS One* 2013;8:e73742.
 49. Shander A, Javidroozi M, Lobel G. Patient Blood Management in the Intensive Care Unit. *Transfus Med Rev* 2017;31:264-71.
 50. Mazer CD, Whitlock RP, Fergusson DA, et al. Six-Month Outcomes after Restrictive or Liberal Transfusion for Cardiac Surgery. *N Engl J Med* 2018;379:1224-33.
 51. Kheiri B, Abdalla A, Osman M, et al. Restrictive versus liberal red blood cell transfusion for cardiac surgery: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *J Thromb Thrombolysis* 2019;47:179-85.
 52. Shehata N, Mistry N, da Costa BR, et al. Restrictive compared with liberal red cell transfusion strategies in cardiac surgery: a meta-analysis. *Eur Heart J* 2019;40:1081-8.
 53. Tibi P, McClure RS, Huang J, et al. STS/SCA/AmSECT/SABM Update to the Clinical Practice Guidelines on Patient Blood Management. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2021;112:981-1004.
 54. Society of Thoracic Surgeons Blood Conservation Guideline Task Force; Ferraris VA, Brown JR, et al. 2011 update to the Society of Thoracic Surgeons and

- the Society of Cardiovascular Anesthesiologists blood conservation clinical practice guidelines. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2011;91:944-82.
55. Ad N, Holmes SD, Patel J, et al. The impact of a multidisciplinary blood conservation protocol on patient outcomes and cost after cardiac surgery. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 2017;153:597-605.e1.
 56. Grau JB, Fortier JH, Kuschner C, et al. Implementing a protocol to optimize blood use in a cardiac surgery service: results of a pre-post analysis and the impact of high-volume blood users. *Transfusion* 2017;57:2483-9.
 57. Klein AA, Collier TJ, Brar MS, et al. The incidence and importance of anaemia in patients undergoing cardiac surgery in the UK - the first Association of Cardiothoracic Anaesthetists national audit. *Anaesthesia* 2016;71:627-35.
 58. Pagano D, Milojevic M, Meesters MI, et al. 2017 EACTS/EACTA Guidelines on patient blood management for adult cardiac surgery. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg* 2018;53:79-111.
 59. Corwin HL, Shander A, Speiss B, et al. Management of Perioperative Iron Deficiency in Cardiac Surgery: A Modified RAND Delphi Study. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2022;113:316-23.
 60. American Society of Anesthesiologists Task Force on Perioperative Blood Management. Practice guidelines for perioperative blood management: an updated report by the American Society of Anesthesiologists Task Force on Perioperative Blood Management. *Anesthesiology* 2015;122:241-75.
 61. Müller S, Oberle D, Drechsel-Bäuerle U, et al. Mortality, Morbidity and Related Outcomes Following Perioperative Blood Transfusion in Patients with Major Orthopaedic Surgery: A Systematic Review. *Transfus Med Hemother* 2018;45:355-67.
 62. Salehi SH, Daniali M, Motaghi P, et al. The best strategy for red blood cell transfusion in severe burn patients, restrictive or liberal: A randomized controlled trial. *Burns* 2021;47:1038-44.
 63. Petrelli F, Ghidini M, Ghidini A, et al. Red blood cell transfusions and the survival in patients with cancer undergoing curative surgery: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Surg Today* 2021;51:1535-57.
 64. Pang QY, An R, Liu HL. Perioperative transfusion and the prognosis of colorectal cancer surgery: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *World J Surg Oncol* 2019;17:7.
 65. Møller A, Nielsen HB, Wetterslev J, et al. Low vs high hemoglobin trigger for transfusion in vascular surgery: a randomized clinical feasibility trial. *Blood* 2019;133:2639-50.
 66. Muñoz M, Acheson AG, Bisbe E, et al. An international consensus statement on the management of postoperative anaemia after major surgical procedures. *Anaesthesia* 2018;73:1418-31.
 67. Berger MD, Gerber B, Arn K, et al. Significant reduction of red blood cell transfusion requirements by changing from a double-unit to a single-unit transfusion policy in patients receiving intensive chemotherapy or stem cell transplantation. *Haematologica* 2012;97:116-22.
 68. Tay J, Allan DS, Chatelain E, et al. Liberal Versus Restrictive Red Blood Cell Transfusion Thresholds in Hematopoietic Cell Transplantation: A Randomized, Open Label, Phase III, Noninferiority Trial. *J Clin Oncol* 2020;38:1463-73.
 69. DeZern AE, Williams K, Zahurak M, et al. Red blood cell transfusion triggers in acute leukemia: a randomized pilot study. *Transfusion* 2016;56:1750-7.
 70. Hoeks MPA, Kranenburg FJ, Middelburg RA, et al. Impact of red blood cell transfusion strategies in haemato-oncological patients: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Br J Haematol* 2017;178:137-51.
 71. Leahy MF, Trentino KM, May C, et al. Blood use in patients receiving intensive chemotherapy for acute leukemia or hematopoietic stem cell transplantation: the impact of a health system-wide patient blood management program. *Transfusion* 2017;57:2189-96.
 72. Lamarche MC, Hammond DE, Hopman WM, et al. Can we transfuse wisely in patients undergoing chemotherapy for acute leukemia or autologous stem cell transplantation? *Transfusion* 2019;59:2308-15.
 73. Warner MA, Jambhekar NS, Saadeh S, et al. Implementation of a patient blood management program in hematopoietic stem cell transplantation (Editorial, p. 2763). *Transfusion* 2019;59:2840-8.
 74. Hicks LK, Bering H, Carson KR, et al. The ASH Choosing Wisely® campaign: five hematologic tests and treatments to question. *Blood* 2013;122:3879-83.
 75. Tinegate H, Pendry K, Murphy M, et al. Where do all the red blood cells (RBCs) go? Results of a survey of RBC use in England and North Wales in 2014. *Transfusion* 2016;56:139-45.
 76. Wood EM, McQuilten ZK. Outpatient transfusions for myelodysplastic syndromes. *Hematology Am Soc Hematol Educ Program* 2020;2020:167-74.
 77. ANZCTR. Red blood cell transfusion schedule in myelodysplastic syndromes: Study 2 (REDDSD2) ACTRN12619001053112p. Available online: <https://>

- anzctr.org.au/Trial/Registration/TrialReview.aspx. (accessed August 2, 2021).
78. Consensus conference. Perioperative red blood cell transfusion. *JAMA* 1988;260:2700-3.
 79. Hébert PC, Wells G, Martin C, et al. A Canadian survey of transfusion practices in critically ill patients. *Transfusion Requirements in Critical Care Investigators and the Canadian Critical Care Trials Group. Crit Care Med* 1998;26:482-7.
 80. Jansen AJ, Caljouw MA, Hop WC, et al. Feasibility of a restrictive red-cell transfusion policy for patients treated with intensive chemotherapy for acute myeloid leukaemia. *Transfus Med* 2004;14:33-8.
 81. Ma M, Eckert K, Ralley F, et al. A retrospective study evaluating single-unit red blood cell transfusions in reducing allogeneic blood exposure. *Transfus Med* 2005;15:307-12.
 82. Ramsey G, Wagar EA, Grimm EE, et al. Red blood cell transfusion practices: a College of American Pathologists Q-Probes study of compliance with audit criteria in 128 hospitals. *Arch Pathol Lab Med* 2015;139:351-5.
 83. Heyes J, Kelly PA, Monaghan K, et al. A single unit transfusion policy reduces red cell transfusions in general medical in-patients. *QJM* 2017;110:735-9.
 84. Yang WW, Thakkar RN, Gehrie EA, et al. Single-unit transfusions and hemoglobin trigger: relative impact on red cell utilization. *Transfusion* 2017;57:1163-70.
 85. Warwick R, Mediratta N, Chalmers J, et al. Is single-unit blood transfusion bad post-coronary artery bypass surgery? *Interact Cardiovasc Thorac Surg* 2013;16:765-71.
 86. Goel R, Patel EU, Cushing MM, et al. Association of Perioperative Red Blood Cell Transfusions With Venous Thromboembolism in a North American Registry. *JAMA Surg* 2018;153:826-33.
 87. Bernard AC, Davenport DL, Chang PK, et al. Intraoperative transfusion of 1 U to 2 U packed red blood cells is associated with increased 30-day mortality, surgical-site infection, pneumonia, and sepsis in general surgery patients. *J Am Coll Surg* 2009;208:931-7, 937.e1-2; discussion 938-9.
 88. Callum JL, Waters JH, Shaz BH, et al. The AABB recommendations for the Choosing Wisely campaign of the American Board of Internal Medicine. *Transfusion* 2014;54:2344-52.
 89. Muñoz M, Gómez-Ramírez S, Besser M, et al. Current misconceptions in diagnosis and management of iron deficiency. *Blood Transfus* 2017;15:422-37.
 90. Pasricha SR, Tye-Din J, Muckenthaler MU, et al. Iron deficiency. *Lancet* 2021;397:233-48.
 91. Tim Goodnough L, Comin-Colet J, Leal-Noval S, et al. Management of anemia in patients with congestive heart failure. *Am J Hematol* 2017;92:88-93.
 92. Filippatos G, Farmakis D, Colet JC, et al. Intravenous ferric carboxymaltose in iron-deficient chronic heart failure patients with and without anaemia: a subanalysis of the FAIR-HF trial. *Eur J Heart Fail* 2013;15:1267-76.
 93. Ponikowski P, Voors AA, Anker SD, et al. 2016 ESC Guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of acute and chronic heart failure: The Task Force for the diagnosis and treatment of acute and chronic heart failure of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC) Developed with the special contribution of the Heart Failure Association (HFA) of the ESC. *Eur Heart J* 2016;37:2129-200.
 94. Bonovas S, Fiorino G, Allocca M, et al. Intravenous Versus Oral Iron for the Treatment of Anemia in Inflammatory Bowel Disease: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Randomized Controlled Trials. *Medicine (Baltimore)* 2016;95:e2308.
 95. O'Lone EL, Hodson EM, Nistor I, et al. Parenteral versus oral iron therapy for adults and children with chronic kidney disease. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2019;2:CD007857.
 96. Macdougall IC, White C, Anker SD, et al. Intravenous Iron in Patients Undergoing Maintenance Hemodialysis. *N Engl J Med* 2019;380:447-58.
 97. Portolés J, Martín L, Broseta JJ, et al. Anemia in Chronic Kidney Disease: From Pathophysiology and Current Treatments, to Future Agents. *Front Med (Lausanne)* 2021;8:642296.
 98. Dhillon S. Roxadustat: First Global Approval. *Drugs* 2019;79:563-72.
 99. Eckardt KU, Agarwal R, Aswad A, et al. Safety and Efficacy of Vadadustat for Anemia in Patients Undergoing Dialysis. *N Engl J Med* 2021;384:1601-12.
 100. Chertow GM, Pergola PE, Farag YMK, et al. Vadadustat in Patients with Anemia and Non-Dialysis-Dependent CKD. *N Engl J Med* 2021;384:1589-600.
 101. Cho BC, Serini J, Zorrilla-Vaca A, et al. Impact of Preoperative Erythropoietin on Allogeneic Blood Transfusions in Surgical Patients: Results From a Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *Anesth Analg* 2019;128:981-92.
 102. Weltert L, Rondinelli B, Bello R, et al. A single dose of erythropoietin reduces perioperative transfusions in cardiac surgery: results of a prospective single-blind randomized controlled trial. *Transfusion* 2015;55:1644-54.

103. Spahn DR, Schoenrath F, Spahn GH, et al. Effect of ultra-short-term treatment of patients with iron deficiency or anaemia undergoing cardiac surgery: a prospective randomised trial. *Lancet* 2019;393:2201-12.
104. Bohlius J, Bohlke K, Castelli R, et al. Management of cancer-associated anemia with erythropoiesis-stimulating agents: ASCO/ASH clinical practice guideline update. *Blood Adv* 2019;3:1197-210.
105. Corwin HL, Gettinger A, Pearl RG, et al. Efficacy of recombinant human erythropoietin in critically ill patients: a randomized controlled trial. *JAMA* 2002;288:2827-35.
106. Silver M, Corwin MJ, Bazan A, et al. Efficacy of recombinant human erythropoietin in critically ill patients admitted to a long-term acute care facility: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Crit Care Med* 2006;34:2310-6.
107. Franchini M, Mengoli C, Marietta M, et al. Safety of intravenous tranexamic acid in patients undergoing major orthopaedic surgery: a meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials. *Blood Transfus* 2018;16:36-43.
108. Yoon BH, Kim TY, Ko YS, et al. Optimal use of tranexamic acid for total hip arthroplasty: A network meta-analysis. *PLoS One* 2018;13:e0206480.
109. Sentilhes L, Sénat MV, Le Lous M, et al. Tranexamic Acid for the Prevention of Blood Loss after Cesarean Delivery. *N Engl J Med* 2021;384:1623-34.
110. Kashanian M, Dadkhah F, Tabatabaei N, Sheikhsansari N. Effects of tranexamic acid on the amount of bleeding following vaginal delivery and its adverse effects: a double-blind placebo controlled randomized clinical trial. *J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med* 2021. doi: 10.1080/14767058.2021.1888911.
111. Franchini M, Mannucci PM. The never ending success story of tranexamic acid in acquired bleeding. *Haematologica* 2020;105:1201-5.
112. Täuber I, Weibel S, Herrmann E, et al. Association of Intravenous Tranexamic Acid With Thromboembolic Events and Mortality: A Systematic Review, Meta-analysis, and Meta-regression. *JAMA Surg* 2021;156:e210884.
113. Huang L, Liu GL, Kaye AD, et al. Advances in Topical Hemostatic Agent Therapies: A Comprehensive Update. *Adv Ther* 2020;37:4132-48.
114. Quinn JG, Levy AR, Cheng CK, et al. A contemporary description of patients' estimated blood losses from diagnostic phlebotomy in a census of hospital episodes from a Canadian tertiary care center. *Transfusion* 2019;59:2849-56.
115. Whitehead NS, Williams LO, Meleth S, et al. Interventions to prevent iatrogenic anemia: a Laboratory Medicine Best Practices systematic review. *Crit Care* 2019;23:278.
116. Choosing Wisely®: thirty-five things physicians and patients should question. American Society for Clinical Pathology. 2014-2020. Available online: <https://www.choosingwisely.org/societies/american-society-for-clinical-pathology/> (accessed August 2, 2021).
117. Rhomey J. Blood belongs in the patient, not in a tube. AABB® Patient Blood Management resource, April 2021.
118. Burns CD, Brown JP, Corwin HL, et al. Special Report From the Society for the Advancement of Blood Management: The Choosing Wisely Campaign. *Anesth Analg* 2019;129:1381-6.
119. Frank SM, Sikorski RA, Konig G, et al. Clinical Utility of Autologous Salvaged Blood: a Review. *J Gastrointest Surg* 2020;24:464-72.
120. Shander A, Brown J, Licker M, et al. Standards and Best Practice for Acute Normovolemic Hemodilution: Evidence-based Consensus Recommendations. *J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth* 2020;34:1755-60.
121. Mo A, Stanworth SJ, Shortt J, et al. Red cell transfusions: Is less always best?: How confident are we that restrictive transfusion strategies should be the standard of care default transfusion practice? *Transfusion* 2021;61:2195-203.
122. Shander A, Hardy JF, Ozawa S, et al. Patient Blood Management: A global definition. In Press, accepted *Anesth Analg* 2021.

doi: 10.21037/aob-21-51

Cite this article as: Burns CD. Anemia and red blood cell transfusion in the adult non-bleeding patient. *Ann Blood* 2022;7:2.